DEBRA A. VALENTINE 1 General Counsel Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission 3 CONSTANCE VECELLIO PABLO M. ZYLBERGLAIT SARAH L. KNAPP, CA Bar No. 200694 4 Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission Division of Âé¶¹´«Ã½ 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580 6 (202) 326-2966 voice 326-2558 fax KENNETH ABBE, CA Bar No. 172416 8 Local counsel Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824-4318 or 824-4343 voice (310) 824-4380 fax 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, 16 CV-99-12828 HLH (AJWx) Plaintiff 17 STIPULATED ORDER FOR v. PERMANENT INJUNCTION NATIONAL SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION 18 CENTER, INC., a corporation, et al., AND SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS FOR MONETARY Defendants. 19 RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANTS NATIONAL 20 SUPPLY AND DISTRIBUTION CENTER, 21 INC., DATA DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, 22 INC., AND STEVEN RAYMAN 23 24 Plaintiff, the Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission ("FTC" or 25 "Commission"), filed a complaint on December 7, 1999 seeking a 26 permanent injunction and other equitable relief, pursuant to 27 Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission Act ("FTC 28

Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57(b), and Section 6(b) of the

Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act, 15
U.S.C. § 6105(b), against defendants National Supply and
Distribution Center Inc. ("NSDC"), Data Distribution Services,
Inc. ("DDS"), and Steven Rayman ("Rayman"). On April 25, 2000,
plaintiff filed a second amended complaint naming Larry Ellis, Lee
Siegel, and Scott Earl as additional defendants.

Now the Commission and defendants NSDC, DDS, and Rayman agree to a settlement of this action, including violations alleged in the second amended complaint of the FTC Act and the FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule, without trial or adjudication of any issue of law or fact herein. The Commission and defendants NSDC, DDS, and Rayman consent to entry of this Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Settlement of Claims for Monetary Relief ("Order").

FINDINGS

- 1. This is an action by the Commission instituted under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6105(b).
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case, and jurisdiction over the defendants NSDC, DDS and Rayman. Venue in the Central District of California is proper as to NSDC, DDS and Rayman.
- 3. The complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted as to defendants NSDC, DDS and Rayman.
- 4. The Commission has the authority under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention

- 5. The activities of defendants NSDC, DDS and Rayman described in the complaint are in or affecting commerce, as defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 6. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as a finding or a conclusion of law that the defendants engaged in any violation of law or fraud, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, or gross negligence committed in a professional capacity, except as noted in Paragraphs V.D. and VI. of this Order.
 - 7. Entry of this Order is in the public interest.

DEFINITIONS

- A. "Defendants" shall refer inclusively to NSDC, DDS and Rayman, and any combination thereof.
- B. "Document" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term in Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a), and includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, audio and video recordings, computer records, and other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated, if necessary, through detection devices into reasonably usable form. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of the term.
- C. "Consumer" means any person, including any individual, group, unincorporated association, limited or general partnership, corporation or other business entity.
- D. "Telemarketing" means any business activity (which includes, but is not limited to, initiating or receiving telephone calls, managing others who initiate or receive telephone calls,

operating an enterprise that initiates or receives telephone calls, owning an enterprise that initiates or receives telephone calls, or otherwise participating as an officer, director, employee or independent contractor in an enterprise that initiates or receives telephone calls), that involves attempts to induce consumers to purchase any item, good, service, partnership interest, trust interest or other beneficial interest, or to enter a contest for a prize, by means of telephone sales presentations, either exclusively or in conjunction with the use of other forms of marketing. *Provided* that the term "telemarketing" shall not include transactions that are not completed until after a face-to-face contact between the seller or solicitor and the consumer solicited.

- E. "Assisting others" means knowingly providing any of the following goods or services to another entity: (1) performing customer service functions, including, but not limited to, receiving or responding to consumer complaints; (2) formulating or providing, or arranging for the formulation or provision of, any telephone sales script or any other marketing material; (3) providing names of, or assisting in the generation of, potential customers; or (4) performing marketing services of any kind.
 - F. The terms "and" and "or" have both conjunctive and disjunctive meanings.
 - G. "Employment" includes any affiliation with any business, including the performance of services as an officer, owner, manager, supervisor, employee, consultant, or independent contractor; and "Employer" includes any and all individuals or

entities for whom defendant Rayman performs services as an employee, consultant, or independent contractor.

H. A requirement that any defendant "notify the Commission" shall mean that the defendant, or his counsel, shall send the necessary information via first-class mail, costs prepaid, to the Associate Director for Âé¶¹´«Ã½, Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. Attn: FTC v. NSDC, Inc. et al., No. 99-12828 HLH (AJWx) (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.).

I. BAN ON TELEMARKETING

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants, whether acting directly or through any corporation, limited liability company, subsidiary, division or other device, are hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from engaging or participating in telemarketing, or assisting others who are engaging or participating in telemarketing. Provided however, that this prohibition shall not apply to legal services defendant Rayman provides in response to requests of a legal client or to contracts for legal services to be provided by defendant Rayman in response to requests of a legal client, solely in the context of an attorney/client relationship with such client.

II. PROHIBITED BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or sale of any good or service, defendants, and their agents, servants, employees, and all persons or entities directly or indirectly under their control, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with any one of them, who receive actual

- 1. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, that any consumer, or any consumer's employee or other representative, ordered any good or service that was shipped, provided, and/or billed to the consumer;
- 2. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, any association with a consumer's regular supplier or provider of a good or service, or any association with the manufacturer of any product or equipment used by the consumer in conjunction with a good or service;
- 3. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, any previous business transactions including any previous sales of any goods or services;
- 4. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, a consumer's obligation to pay for any goods or services or attendant charges or fees;
- 5. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, the price of goods or services; or
- 6. Misrepresenting, expressly or by implication, any other fact material to a consumer's decision to buy or accept a good or service.
- B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants and their agents, servants, employees, and all persons or entities directly or indirectly under their control, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with any one of them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are hereby permanently restrained and enjoined from:

- 1. Violating Section 310.3(a)(4) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(4), by making false or misleading statements to induce any consumer to pay for goods or services, including statements that:
 - a. they are a consumer's regular supplier of office supplies or associated with the manufacturer or vendor of a consumer's photocopier;
 - b. the price of the office supplies used by a consumer is about to increase substantially;
 - c. a consumer will be charged the same price for office supplies that the consumer has been paying;
 - d. a consumer ordered the office supplies that were shipped or billed to the consumer; and
 - e. if a consumer wants to return an unordered shipment or a shipment ordered on the basis of false or misleading statements, the consumer has an obligation to pay a restocking fee;
- 2. Violating Section 310.4(d)(1) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(d)(1), by failing in outbound telephone calls to disclose promptly and in a clear and conspicuous manner the identity of the seller;
- 3. Violating Section 310.4(d)(2) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(d)(2), by failing in outbound telephone calls to disclose promptly and in a clear and conspicuous manner that the purpose of the call is to sell goods or services;
- 4. Violating Section 310.3(b) of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b), by providing substantial

assistance or support to any seller or telemarketer when that person knows or consciously avoids knowing that the seller or telemarketer is engaged in any act or practice that violates Section 310.3(a) or Section 310.4 of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R §§ 310.3(a) or 310.4; or

III.

5. Violating or assisting others in violating any other provision of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

A copy of the Telemarketing Sales Rule is appended to this Order as Attachment A and is incorporated herein as if fully rewritten. In the event that the Telemarketing Sales Rule is amended by the Commission in a manner which would create a new or different standard applicable to defendants' obligations under this Order, defendants' compliance with the Telemarketing Sales Rule as so amended shall not be deemed a violation of this Order.

PROHIBITIONS AGAINST DISTRIBUTION OF CUSTOMER LISTS

servants, employees, and all persons or entities directly or indirectly under their control, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with any one of them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are permanently restrained and enjoined from selling, renting, leasing, transferring or otherwise disclosing the name, address, telephone number, credit card number, bank account number

or other identifying information of any person who paid any money

to defendants NSDC or DDS at any time, in connection with the

offering for sale or sale of any good or service; provided,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants, and their agents,

however, that defendants may disclose such identifying information

1 to 2 or 3 th

to a law enforcement agency or as required by any law, regulation or court order, and shall disclose such identifying information to the Commission pursuant to this Order.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF ORDER AND REAFFIRMATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within five (5) business days after entry of this Order, defendant Rayman shall submit to the Commission a truthful sworn and notarized statement, in the form shown on Appendix 1, that shall acknowledge receipt of this Order as entered and shall reaffirm and attest to the truthfulness, accuracy, and completeness of defendant Rayman's January 28, 2000 financial statement. The Commission is authorized to verify all information provided in the financial statement with all appropriate third parties, including, but not limited to, financial institutions.

V. MONETARY JUDGMENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- A. Solely for the purpose of entry of this Order, defendants NSDC and DDS are jointly and severally liable for payment of equitable monetary relief, including, but not limited to, consumer redress and the cost of any attendant expenses of administration of any redress fund, in the amount of \$7,616,657. This liability does not attach to defendant Rayman in his individual or corporate capacity.
- B. Solely for the purpose of entry of this Order in the amount of \$400,000, defendant Rayman shall pay the Commission \$400,000 by wire transfer or certified or cashier's check within 90 days of the date of entry of this Order. In the event of any

default in payment, which default continues for ten (10) days beyond the due date of payment, the entire unpaid amount, together with interest, as computed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, from the date of default to the date of payment, shall immediately become due and payable.

- C. Any assets collected by the Commission pursuant to the this Paragraph of this Order shall be deposited into an account to be maintained by the Commission or its agent. Upon the final disposition of this action, such funds shall be either (1) used to provide consumer redress and any administrative costs associated with providing such redress; or (2) paid to the U.S. Treasury as equitable disgorgement, if the Commission in its sole discretion deems that consumer redress is impractical. If the Commission in its sole discretion determines that consumer redress is practical, it shall submit a plan for the disbursement of funds to the Court for review and approval. Defendants shall have no right to contest the manner of distribution chosen by the Commission. Defendants forever disclaim all right, title, and interest in all sums paid to plaintiff. None of these funds shall be returned to any of the defendants, their successors, heirs, or assigns.
- D. Defendants further agree that the facts as alleged in the Commission's complaint in this action shall be taken as true in the event of any subsequent litigation to collect amounts due pursuant to this Order, including but not limited to a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy proceeding.

26

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

VI. RIGHT TO REOPEN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's agreement to this Order with respect to defendant Rayman is expressly premised upon the truthfulness, accuracy and completeness of defendant Rayman's January 28, 2000 financial statement. Said financial statement contains material information upon which the FTC has relied in negotiating and agreeing to the terms of this Order. If, upon motion by the Commission to the Court, the Court finds that defendant Rayman failed to submit to the Commission the sworn statement required by Paragraph IV of this Order, or failed to disclose any material asset with a value exceeding \$1,000, or materially misrepresented the value of any asset, or made any other material misrepresentation in or omission from his financial statement described above, the Court shall enter judgment for consumer redress in the amount of \$7,616,657 against defendant Rayman in favor of the Commission, less any amount previously received from any defendant in this action, unless defendant Rayman proves that any and all such omissions or misrepresentations were unintentional. Defendant Rayman has no right to contest any of the allegations in the Commission's complaint in this matter in any proceeding brought pursuant to this paragraph, but he can introduce evidence that any alleged material misrepresentation or omission made by him in his financial statement was unintentional. If the financial statement failed to disclose a material asset or materially misrepresented the value of an asset, and if defendant Rayman proves that any and all such omissions or misrepresentations were unintentional, the Court shall order that defendant Rayman turn over to the

Commission any and all omitted assets or the fair market value of such omitted assets, or, if the value of an asset was misrepresented, the difference in the actual fair market value and the value given in the financial statement; provided, however, that in all other respects this Order shall remain in full force and effect unless otherwise ordered by this Court.

VII. RECORD-KEEPING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of three years from the date of entry of this Order, defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with them, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are hereby restrained and enjoined from failing to create, and to retain, in a location under their control, for a period of three (3) years following the date of such creation, unless otherwise specified:

- A. Books, records of cash disbursements and receipts, bank and other financial account statements, and accountants' reports that, in reasonable detail, accurately reflect the assets and liabilities, owners' equity, sources of revenue, expenses, and disposition of assets for all business entities owned, managed, or controlled by defendants, directly or indirectly;
- B. Records that accurately reflect: the name, address, and telephone number of each person employed in any capacity by defendants, directly or indirectly, or through any business owned, managed or controlled by defendants, directly or indirectly, including as an independent contractor; that person's job title or position; the date upon which the person commenced work; and the date and reason for the person's termination, if applicable. The

parties subject to this Section shall retain such records for any terminated employee for a period of two (2) years following the date of termination;

7 II

- C. Records about any transaction between (1) defendants, directly or indirectly, or through any business owned, managed or controlled by defendants, directly or indirectly and (2) any customer, such records to include the following: the customer's name; his or her address; his or her telephone number; a description of the good, service, or interest purchased; the dollar amounts the customer paid; the sales agent; and the date of the sale;
- D. Records that reflect, for every complaint or refund request by any customer of defendants, directly or indirectly, or by any customer of any business owned, managed or controlled by defendants, directly or indirectly whether such complaint or refund request is received directly or indirectly or through any third party:
 - (1) the customer's name, address, telephone number and the dollar amount paid by the customer;
 - (2) the written complaint, if any, and the date of the complaint or refund request;
 - (3) the basis of the complaint, including but not limited to the name of any salesperson or agent complained against, and the nature and result of any investigation conducted concerning the validity of the complaint;
 - (4) each response and the date of the response;
 - (5) any final resolution and the date of the

resolution; and

- (6) in the event of a denial of a refund request, the reason for such denial, or if the complaint was cured, the basis for determining that the complaint was cured;
- E. Copies of all sales scripts, training materials, advertisements, or other marketing materials utilized;
- F. The requirements of this Part of this Order shall not apply to any professional business entity through which defendant Rayman provides legal services in response to requests for legal services by legal clients, solely in the context of an attorney/client relationship with such clients.

VIII. MONITORING OF DEFENDANT RAYMAN

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in order to monitor compliance
with this Order:

- A. Defendant Rayman or his counsel shall provide to the Commission, within five days of the entry of this Order, a statement signed by defendant Rayman of (1) his residence address and mailing address; (2) his telephone number(s); (3) the name, address and telephone number of his employer; (4) the full names of his employer's principals; (5) if applicable, the names of his supervisors; and (6) a description of his employer's activities, and defendant Rayman's duties and responsibilities;
- B. One hundred and twenty days (120) days after the date of entry of this Order, defendant Rayman or his counsel shall provide a written report to the Commission, signed by defendant Rayman under penalty of perjury, detailing (1) his residence address and mailing address; (2) his telephone number(s); (3) the name, address and telephone number of his employer; (4) the full names

of his employer's principals; (5) if applicable, the names of his supervisors; (6) a description of his employer's activities and defendant Rayman's duties and responsibilities; and (7) his past and present efforts to comply with the provisions of this Order;

- C. For a period of three years from the date of entry of this Order, defendant Rayman shall notify the Commission within ten days of any changes in his residence or mailing addresses or employment status. Notice of changes in his employment status shall include: (1) the new employer's name, address and telephone number; (2) the full names of the employer's principals; (3) if applicable, the names of defendant Rayman's supervisors, and (4) a description of the employer's activities, and defendant Rayman's duties and responsibilities. Such notice may be provided by counsel but must be signed by defendant Rayman;
- D. For a period of three years from the date of entry of this Order, defendant Rayman shall notify the Commission of any proposed change in the structure of any and every business entity of which defendant Rayman is the majority owner, or which he otherwise controls, such as creation, incorporation, dissolution, assignment, sale, merger, creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, proposed filing of a bankruptcy petition, or change in the business or corporate name or address, or any other change that may affect compliance obligations arising out of this Order, thirty days prior to the effective date of any proposed change; provided, however, that this requirement shall not apply to any professional business entity through which defendant Rayman provides legal services in response to requests for legal services by legal clients, solely in the context of an attorney/client

relationship with such clients; provided further that, with respect to any proposed change in the business entity about which defendant learns less than thirty days prior to the date such action is to take place, defendant Rayman shall notify the Commission as soon as is practicable after learning of such proposed change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IX. ACCESS TO BUSINESS PREMISES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for a period of three years from the date of entry of this Order, defendants shall permit representatives of the Commission, within seven days of receipt of written notice from the Commission:

access during normal business hours to any office, or facility storing documents, of any and every business entity of which defendant Rayman is the majority owner, or which he otherwise controls, and of any business owned by defendants NSDC or DDS or of which NSDC or DDS is a principal, director, officer, partner, or other controlling party, to inspect and copy all documents belonging to such business or defendant, relating in any way to any matter subject to this Order; and shall permit Commission representatives to remove documents relating in any way to any matter subject to this Order for a period not to exceed five business days so that the documents may be inspected, inventoried, and copied. Provided, that defendants and their counsel may be present during the Commission's access to such business premises pursuant to this Section, and provided further, that defendants may apply, with appropriate notice to the Commission, for a protective order limiting the Commission's access to documents pursuant to this Section based on privilege or

other appropriate grounds;

- B. refrain from interfering with any duly authorized representatives of the Commission interviewing employers, employees (whether designated as employees, consultants, independent contractors or otherwise), or agents, about any matter relating in any way to any matter subject to this Order;
- C. upon written request by any duly authorized representative of the Commission, submit written reports (under oath, in requested), and produce documents, on forty-eight hours notice, relating in any way to any matter subject of this Order;
- D. the requirements of this Paragraph shall not apply to any professional business entity through which defendant Rayman provides legal services in response to requests for legal services by legal clients, solely in the context of an attorney/client relationship with such clients.

X. FTC'S AUTHORITY TO MONITOR COMPLIANCE

- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission is authorized to monitor the compliance of defendants with this Order by all lawful means, including but not limited to the following means:
- A. The Commission is authorized, without further leave of court, to obtain discovery from any person in the manner provided by Chapter V of the Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Rules of Civil Procedure, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26-37, including the use of compulsory process pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, for the purpose of monitoring and investigating the compliance of defendant Rayman with this Order;
- B. The Commission is authorized to use representatives posing as consumers and suppliers to defendants, to the employees of defendants, or to any other entity managed or controlled in

whole or in part by defendants, without the necessity of identification or prior notice;

- C. Nothing in this Order shall limit the Commission's lawful use of compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 and 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§49, 57b-1, to investigate whether defendants have violated any provision of this order or Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §45, or the Commission's Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310;
- D. For purposes of the compliance reporting required by this section, the Commission is authorized to communicate directly with defendant Rayman.

XI. WAIVER OF CLAIMS

Defendants waive all claims under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended by Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847, 863-64 (1996), and all rights to seek appellate review or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Order, or the temporary or preliminary orders entered in this proceeding, and further waive and release any claim they may have, based on facts about which they knew or should have known, against the FTC, the Receiver, or their employees, agents, or representatives.

XII. APPEARANCE FOR TESTIMONY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Rayman shall appear for his deposition and trial or other hearing testimony in this action, upon written, reasonable notice to his counsel of record, without the service of a subpoena or payment of any witness fee, and shall provide complete and truthful testimony, subject to Rayman's constitutional rights. Defendant Rayman agrees to

provide, upon request of the Commission, complete and truthful testimony by affidavit, subject to his constitutional rights.

XIII. CONTINUATION OF RECEIVERSHIP

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jean Morris, Esq. shall continue as permanent receiver for defendants NSDC and DDS, with full powers of a permanent receiver, as set forth in the order for preliminary injunction entered in this matter on December 16, 1999, and including full liquidation powers.

XIV. ASSET FREEZE

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the freeze of defendant Rayman's assets, ordered in the preliminary injunction entered on December 16, 1999, shall be lifted in its entirety after payment of the \$400,000 monetary settlement described in Paragraph V of this Order and after execution of the sworn affidavit described in Paragraph IV of this Order, and upon filing of a Notice of Payment and Receipt of Sworn Affidavit by the Commission

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon entry of this Order the asset freeze shall be lifted to the extent necessary for the sole purpose of satisfying the monetary settlement and that upon entry of this Order the FTC shall forthwith expunge the lis pendens previously filed on properties owned by defendant Rayman.

XV. COSTS AND ATTORNEYS FEES

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party to this Order bear its own costs and attorneys fees incurred in connection with this action.

XVI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction,

modification and enforcement of this Order. 2 XVII. ENTRY OF THIS ORDER 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to $\hat{A} \in \P^1 \subset \hat{A}$ Rule of Procedure 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and the 4 5 Clerk of Court immediately shall enter this Order as final as to defendants NSDC, DDS and Rayman. 6 7 SO STIPULATED: 8 9 CONSTANCE VECELLIO STEPHEN RAYMAN 10 PABLO M. ZYLBERGLAIT SARAH L. KNAPP, CA Bar No.200694 11 APPROVED AS TO FORM Attorneys for Plaintiff Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission AND CONTENT 12 Division of Âé¶¹´«Ã½ 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 13 Washington, D.C. 20580 JOHN M. POTTER (SBN 165843) (202) 326-2966 voice 326-2558 fax Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P. 500 South Grand Avenue KENNETH ABBE, CA Bar No. 172416 Local counsel 15 l Suite 1900 $\hat{A} \in \P^1 \subset \tilde{A}_2$ Trade Commission Los Angeles, CA 90071 16 (213)337-6777 voice 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 (213)337-6701 fax Los Angeles, CA 90024 17 Attorneys for Defendants (310) 824-4318 or 824-4343 voice NATIONAL SUPPLY & (310) 824-4380 fax Attorneys for Plaintiff DISTRIBUTION CENTER, INC., DATA DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, 19 INC., AND STEVEN RAYMAN 20 21 JEAN MORRIS (SBN 90753) 1245 West Highway 246 22 Buellton, CA 93427 (805)693-9648 voice (805)693-9658 fax 23 Receiver for NATIONAL SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION CENTER, INC. AND DATA DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, INC. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED 26 27 DATED: HARRY L. HUPP 28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 APPENDIX 1 DEBRA A. VALENTINE General Counsel 3 Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission CONSTANCE VECELLIO 4 PABLO M. ZYLBERGLAIT 5 SARAH L. KNAPP, CA Bar No. 200694 Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission Division of Âé¶¹´«Ã½ 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2966 voice 326-2558 fax 8 KENNETH ABBE, CA Bar No. 172416 Local counsel Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission 10 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824-4318 or 824-4343 voice 11 (310) 824-4380 fax 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 CV-99-12828 HLH FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, (AJWx) 16 Plaintiff DEFENDANT STEVEN v. 17 NATIONAL SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION RAYMAN'S AFFIDAVIT CENTER, INC., a corporation, et al., RE: 18 (1) ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF Defendants. SERVICE OF STIPULATED 19 ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND 20 SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS FOR MONETARY RELIEF; 21 AND (2) REAFFIRMATION OF 22 JANUARY 28, 2000 FINANCIAL STATEMENT 23 24 Steven Rayman, being duly sworn, hereby states and affirms: 25 1. I am a defendant in the above-entitled action. My current 26 residence address is 3927 Black Bird Way, Calabasas, CA 91302. I 27 am a citizen of the United States and over the age of eighteen.

1 have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Affidavit.

- 2. I have entered into a Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Settlement of Claims for Monetary Relief ("Stipulated Order"). I read the provisions of the Stipulated Order, including Attachment A (the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310) before signing it. I understand all the provisions of the Stipulated Order and agree to be bound by the Stipulated Order.
- 3. On _______, 2000, I received a copy of the Stipulated Order which was signed by a United States District Judge and entered by the Court on ______, 2000. A true and correct copy of the Stipulated Order that I received, including Attachment A (the Telemarketing Sales Rule), is appended to this affidavit. The Stipulated Order, including Attachment A (the Telemarketing Sales Rule), was _____ pages in length. I reviewed the document and confirmed it was the document I had previously signed.
- 4. In January 2000 I provided to Plaintiff Âé¶¹´«Ã½ Trade Commission ("Commission") a completed and signed document titled "Financial Statement of Individual Defendant" ("Financial Statement"), which I dated January 28, 2000.
- 5. I understand that my Financial Statement contains material information upon which the Commission relied in negotiating and agreeing to the terms in the Stipulated Order related to my payment of a monetary judgment of \$400,000.
- 6. I hereby reaffirm and attest to the truthfulness, accuracy, and completeness of my January 28, 2000 Financial Statement.
- 7. I understand that if, upon motion by the Commission, the Court finds that the Financial Statement I submitted failed to

1	disclose any material asset, or materially misrepresented the
2	value of any asset, or finds that I made any other material
3	misrepresentation in or omission from these documents, a judgment
4	amount of \$7,616,657 will be entered by the Court unless I prove
5	that any and all such misrepresentations or omissions were
6	unintentional. I also understand that if I prove that any and all
7	such misrepresentations or omissions were unintentional, the Court
8	will order me to turn over to the Commission any and all such
9	omitted assets or their fair market value, or, if an asset was
10	misrepresented, the difference in the actual fair market value and
11	the value given in the financial statement.
12	
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United
14	States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on ,
15	2000, at, California.
16	
17	
18	Steven Rayman
10	Steven Rayman
19	Steven Rayman State of, City of
	State of, City of
19	
19 20	State of, City of Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2000.
19 20 21	State of, City of
19 20 21 22	State of, City of Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2000. Notary Public
19 20 21 22 23	State of, City of Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2000. Notary Public