1 2	WILLIAM E. KOVACIC General Counsel										
2 3	KATHERINE ROMANO SCHNACK THERESE L. TULLY Federal Trade Commission										
4	55 East Monroe Street, Suite 1860 Chicago, Illinois 60603										
5											
6	FAYE CHEN BARNOUW (CA Bar #168631)										
7											
8	Los Angeles, California 90024 (310) 824-4316 [Ph.]										
9	(310) 824-4380 [Fax]										
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION										
11											
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
13	SOUTHERN DIV	VISION									
14)									
15	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,))									
16	Plaintiff,) Case No.									
17	v.	,))									
18	HEALTHCARE CLAIMS NETWORK, INC., a California corporation, doing) COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE) AND OTHER EQUITABLE									
19	RELIEF business as MED DATA SOLUTIONS,)									
20	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BILLING SERVICES, MEDICAL CLAIMS NETWORK,))									
21	and PROBILLERS,)									
22	CHARLES G. LLOYD, individually and doing business as MED DATA)									
23	SOLUTIONS, and as an officer of HEALTHCARE CLAIMS NETWORK, INC.,))									
24	a California corporation, and)									
25	ANNE MILLER, individually and doing business as MED DATA))									
26 27	SOLUTIONS, and as an officer of HEALTHCARE CLAIMS NETWORK, INC., a California corporation,	ý))									
27	Defendants.	/))									
20		,)									

1 Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or 2 "Commission"), for its complaint alleges: 3 1. The FTC brings this action under Sections 5(a) and 13(b) 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. 5 §§ 45(a) and 53(b), to obtain permanent injunctive relief,

6 rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, disgorgement, 7 and other equitable relief for the defendants' deceptive acts or 8 practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 9 §45(a).

10

16

26

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11 2. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court 12 by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 13 1345.

14 3. Venue in the Central District of California is proper15 under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

PLAINTIFF

17 4. Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission is an independent 18 agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 19 U.S.C. §§ 41-58, as amended. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or 21 deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The 22 Commission may initiate federal district court proceedings by its 23 own attorneys to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, 24 25 including restitution for injured consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

DEFENDANTS

27 5. Defendant Healthcare Claims Network, Inc. is a
28 California corporation with its principal place of business at

1 1440 North Harbor Boulevard, Suite 615, Fullerton, California 92835, which promotes and sells work-at-home medical billing business opportunities. Healthcare Claims Network does business as Med Data Solutions, Southern California Billing Services, Medical Claims Network, and/or Probillers. Healthcare Claims Network transacts or has transacted business in the Central District of California.

8 6. Defendant Charles G. Lloyd is, or has held himself out 9 to be, an officer of Healthcare Claims Network, doing business as 10 Med Data Solutions, Southern California Billing Services, Medical 11 Claims Network, and/or Probillers. Lloyd also personally does 12 business as Med Data Solutions to promote and sell work-at-home 13 medical billing business opportunities. At all times material to 14 this complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, Lloyd has 15 formulated, directed, controlled, or participated in the acts and 16 practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. Lloyd transacts or has 17 transacted business in the Central District of California. 18

19 7. Defendant Anne Miller is, or has held herself out to be, 20 an officer or employee of Healthcare Claims Network, doing 21 business as Med Data Solutions, Southern California Billing 22 Services, Medical Claims Network, and/or Probillers. At all times 23 material to this complaint, acting alone or in concert with 24 others, Miller has formulated, directed, controlled, or 25 participated in the acts and practices of the corporate defendant, including the acts and practices set forth in this complaint. 26 27 Miller transacts or has transacted business in the Central 28 District of California.

COMMERCE

2 At all times relevant to this complaint, Med Data 8. 3 Solutions has maintained a substantial course of business in the 4 offering for sale and sale of medical billing business 5 opportunities, in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined 6 in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

7

24

25

26

27

28

1

MED DATA SOLUTIONS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

9. 8 Since at least 1999, Med Data Solutions has offered and 9 sold purported work-at-home medical billing business opportunities 10 to consumers throughout the United States. Med Data Solutions has 11 promoted its medical billing business opportunities to prospective 12 a variety of media, including classified purchasers in 13 advertisements in newspapers and on the Internet.

14 10. In its advertisements, Med Data Solutions offers home-15 based medical billing jobs with income up to \$60,000 per year and 16 states that "no experience [is] necessary." Med Data Solutions' 17 advertisements urge consumers to call a toll-free telephone number 18 to learn more about the opportunity. When consumers call the 19 toll- free number provided, Med Data Solutions makes similar 20 earnings representations to entice consumers into purchasing its 21 medical billing business opportunity.

22 11. A typical classified advertisement for Med Data
23 Solutions' medical billing package states:

MEDICAL BILLING

No experience necessary Will train. FT/PT Computer required Up to \$60,000/yr. (888) 225-9652, Ext. 755

Consumers who call Med Data Solutions' toll-free 1 12. 2 telephone number are ultimately connected to Med Data Solutions, or its employees or agents, who tell them that in exchange for a 3 4 \$485 payment, consumers will receive everything they need to start 5 electronic medical billing business from their own home, 6 (1) contact information for physicians who are in including: need of electronic medical billing services; (2) the computer 7 software necessary to do electronic claims processing for 8 9 physicians; (3) "certification" as a medical billing professional; and (4) lifetime training and technical support. 10

11 13. After consumers pay the \$485 fee, they are given a 12 password for an on-line tutorial in order to study for Med Data 13 Solutions' "certification" exam. Med Data Solutions represents 14 that its "certification" will be both necessary and useful in the 15 medical billing industry. In reality, there is no certification 16 required or recognized by the medical billing industry. Those 17 consumers who do study and eventually take Med Data Solutions' 18 "certification" exam are disappointed to find that the exam is not 19 designed to assess their medical billing skills. The exam is so 20 easy, it is almost impossible to fail. On at least one occasion, 21 a consumer received her signed certificate just days after she paid for Med Data Solutions' medical billing package, before she 22 23 had even attempted to schedule the certification exam.

14. The medical billing package Med Data Solutions sends to consumers generally includes a medical billing software CD and a list of physicians in the consumer's state who Med Data Solutions claims are currently not processing their claims electronically

28

In truth and in fact, in numerous instances, the 20. defendants do not furnish the names and addresses of physicians who are likely to use the consumers to process their medical claims. 21. Therefore, the defendants' representations, as set forth in Paragraph 19, are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

COUNT II

2 22. In numerous instances, in the course of offering for sale 3 and selling medical billing business opportunities, the defendants 4 or their employees or agents have represented, expressly or by 5 implication, that consumers who purchase the defendants' medical 6 billing business opportunity are likely to earn a substantial 7 income, such as \$60,000 per year.

8 23. In truth and in fact, consumers who purchase the
9 defendants' medical billing business opportunity are not likely to
10 earn a substantial income.

11 24. Therefore, the defendants' representations, as set forth 12 in Paragraph 22, are false and misleading and constitute deceptive 13 acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 14 U.S.C. § 45(a).

CONSUMER INJURY

16 25. Consumers in many areas of the United States have 17 suffered substantial monetary loss as a result of the defendants' 18 unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this 19 Court, the defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers 20 and harm the public interest.

21

15

1

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

22 26. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers 23 this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, 24 including consumer redress, disgorgement and restitution, to 25 prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced 26 by the Commission.

27 //

28 //

27. This Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award other ancillary relief to remedy injury caused by the defendants' law violations. PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court: 1. Award the plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief; Permanently enjoin the defendants from violating the FTC 2. Act as alleged herein; Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress 3. injury to consumers resulting from the defendants' violations of the FTC Act, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and

1	4.	Award	the	plaintiff	the	costs	of	bringing	this	action,
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16 17										
17										
10 19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
					10					