ORIGINAL

237761 536142

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

)

)

)

In the Matter of

Inova Health System Foundation, a corporation, and

Docket No. 9326

) <u>PUBLIC</u>

Prince William Health System, Inc. a corporation.

RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY AND ALL OTHER ASPECTS OF THIS PROCEEDING PENDING RESOLUTION OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ACTION

Respondents Inova Health System Foundation and Prince William Health System, Inc.

	-	2	······································	
	(together the "II conitale") and		tinner 1 -11-11- many and a falin	
^ •				
(***)				
5				
1				
-r.				
7				
<u></u>				
ti				
•				
·				
1				
				-
t				
•				
-				
▲ 1				
*				

- -

The FTC Rules of Practice recognize that, in cases where the Commission chooses to seek a preliminary injunction in federal court, a stay of the administrative action is appropriate. Indeed, Rule 3.51(a) expressly states that such federal proceedings toll the deadline for resolution of the administrative action, noting that "[t]he pendency of any collateral federal court proceeding that relates to the administrative adjudication shall tall the are seen deadline for

A

<u> </u>				
•				
ι. <u></u>				
<u>.</u>				
·				
7-14 - 7-14 - 2	/=	,		· ·
internet in the second				

	This has been the regular practice followed by the Commission in other similar cases	
	ins seen the regular produce tonowed by the commission in other similar cases	
	where it has sought a preliminary injunction in federal court. In these cases, recognizing the	
	index is sought a promitinary injunction in rederar court. In these cases, recognizing the	
•	principles discussed above, the Commission has typically delayed even filing the administrative	
	principles discussed above, the commission has typically delayed even ming the administrative	
	Company internetil fly granting in the second secon	_
• •		
 . (ł	
ŧ -		
**. <u>.</u>		
ł		
1		
1		
,		
3		
ł		
•		
<u>-</u>		
, <u>.</u>		
n		
_		
-		
	_	
•	-	
.		
8		

even filed an administrative complaint)² or, in the few cases where it did file an administrative complaint, has either failed to oppose or affirmatively sought an administrative stay.³ Indeed, in It is plainly correct that the FTC's federal court action for a preliminary injunction must take priority over any administrative action. The FTC's preliminary injunction action seeks emergency relief and an expedited process. Within the constraints of these time exigencies, the federal court is to assess the facts and the law based on an evidentiary record that the parties must develop. The legislative history of Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act,

a:		=
		~
1		
<u>A</u>		
		,
<u> </u>		
) in the second se		
7		
2		
н. 		
<u>í</u>		
ũ à l		
с. т		
. 1		
)		
4		
ъ -		
-		
<u>*</u> =		
·		
-		
i •		
÷		
	J	
	decade, make clear that the federal court must be afforded the opportunity to make its own	
	independent judgment bagad on as much discovery as is an eti-11.	
	independent judgment, based on as much discovery as is practicable, without interference from	

the administrative proceeding adjudicating the merits of the case.⁵

Commission conduct, is to stay discovery in this action until the federal court has resolved the preliminary injunction motion. After the federal court has ruled on the emergency action that the FTC initiated there, the Commission must consider whether to continue with the administrative proceeding. If it decides to do so than the Hospitale will have the continue to aloot fact to aloot

١.

40.

17

treatment in the administrative proceeding, and the parties and the presiding ALJ can determine what discovery is appropriate in the administrative proceeding in light of the evidentiary record the parties have developed in the fodoral court action 4

.

II. In All Events, The FTC's Discovery Here Is Premature

Complaint Correctly services (1)

Respectfully submitted,

David P. Gersch David B. Bergman David S. Eggert David M. Menichetti

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 555 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999 Email: David.Gersch@aporter.com

Counsel for Respondents Inova Health System Foundation and Prince William Health System, Inc.

Dated: May 23, 2008

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

)

In the Matter of

Inova Health System Foundation, a corporation, and

Prince William Health System, Inc. a corporation.

Docket No. 9326

PUBLIC

<u>ORDER</u>

Upon consideration of Respondents' Motion To Stay Discovery And All Other Aspects Of This Proceeding Pending Resolution Of Preliminary Injunction Action, and all related briefing and authorities cited therein, it is hereby ordered that:

1. Respondents' Motion is <u>GRANTED</u>; and

2. Discovery in this action, and all other aspects of this administrative proceeding, are immediately <u>STAYED</u> pending resolution of the preliminary injunction action brought by the Commission and the Commonwealth of Virginia in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 1:08CV460-CMH/JFA.

<u>ISSUED</u>: May , 2008

The Honorable J. Thomas Rosch Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on May 23, 2008, I served the attached Respondents' Motion to Stay Discovery and all other Aspects of This Proceeding Pending Resolution of Preliminary Injunction Action upon the following:

Via Hand-Delivery

Hon. J. Thomas Rosch Administrative Law Judge Room H-528 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20580

Via Electronic Mail and Hand-Delivery

Albert Kim Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20580

Donald S. Clark Secretary of the Commission Office of the Secretary Federal Trade Commission Room H-135 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20580

David M. Menichetti