Analysis of Proposed Consent Orders to Aid Public Comment In the Matter of DesignerWæ, LLC, et al., File No. 1123151

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commi

DesignerWare sent the information carptuby Detective Mode to an email account designated by each RTO stortthoAugh DesignerWare's employees did not themselves view Detective Mode data,hout DesignerWare licensing PC Rental Agent and making Detective Mode available to the ORSTores, as well as providing them with access to its web portal and providing sees to support both PC Rental Agent and Detective Mode, this collectin and disclosure of consumerivate information would not be possible.

RTO stores also used Detective Modes and fake "software registration" forms to consumers to deceive them into provigitheir contact and traition information. DesignerWare created several different falgesteation forms that servers displayed on consumers' computers. An RTO store doubte this feature of Detective Mode by requesting that DesignerWare activate it. No adds of tware was registered as a result of a consumer providing the requested informati Rather, Detective lode captured the information entered in the prompt boxes and sent it to DesignerWare, who then emailed the data to the RTO store, all unbeknow to sthe consumer. DesignerWare discontinued use of Detective Mode in January 2012.

In September 2011, DesignerWare addedhærrdeature to PC Rental Agent: the capacity to track the physickalcation of rented computers WiFi hotspot locations. The information derived from WiFi hotset contacts can frequently pinpoint a computer's location to a singlbuilding and, when aggregalt can track the movements and patterns of individual computereus over time. DesignerWare makes this information easily available to the RTO sterby cross-referencing a list of publicly available WiFi hotspots with the street addses for the particulanotspots viewed or accessed by rented computers. Designer language its location tracking upgrade of PC Rental Agent to every computer on where Rental Agent was installed, without obtaining consent from, or proding notice to, the computerrenters. DesignerWare recommends that RTO stores only use that without obtaining consent from the control of the RTO stores of the RTO stores of such location information.

Aspen Way Enterprises, Watershed Dependent, Showplace, J.A.G. Rents, Red Zone, B. Stamper Enterprises, and C.A.L.Mentures are RTO stores that have licensed PC Rental Agent from DesignerWare. esta RTO stores have used information transmitted by DesignerWare when attempting thect from computer renters who are late in paying or have otherwise breached rental contracts. Using Detective Mode, these RTO stores have received from Designate webcam photos of certhmol DOB Contracts.

the DesignerWare Respondents provided thems and instrumentalities to RTO stores to engage in unfair collection practices by viding them with the data gathered via PC Rental Agent and Detective Mode. Collifbcuses on actions taken by DesignerWare that were integral to the harm to consumacaused or likely to be caused by the RTO stores. Here, without PC Rental Agentd Detective Mode and without access to DesignerWare's servers to execute their mands to rented computers, collect consumers' confidential information and trainit it to them, the RTO stores could not unfairly monitor their computer renters was improperly gathered information in connection with collections.

Count III of the complaint chargese DesignerWare Respondents with deceptively gathering – and disclosing – comers' personal information collected from the fake software registration forms that exerve Mode caused to appear on consumers' rented computers.

Each of the Commission's complaints are the seven RTO stores contains substantially similar allegations regarding through the seven RTO stores contains substantially similar allegations regarding through the stores of the FTC Act. The complaints charge that the RTO stores unfatightered consumers' personal information by installing monitoring software on rented imputers and engaged unfair collection practices by using the improperly gathered immation to collect on consumer rental contracts. The complaints further alleget the RTO stores deceptively gathered consumers' personal information by activating Detective Modes ature that sends the fake software registration forms to necessarily substantially similar allegations of the FTC Act. The

The proposed orders contain stronguing tive relief designed to remedy the unlawful conduct by DesignerWare, its principaled the RTO stores. The orders define "monitoring technology and geophysical ltica tracking technology" so that the technological applications covered by torder are clearly described. "Monitoring technology" means any hardware, software polication utilized inconjunction with a computer that can cause the computer to apture, monitor, or cord, and (2) report information about user activities by recordingystrokes, clicks, outher user-generated actions; capturing screenshots of the informadisplayed on a computer monitor or screen; or activating the amera or microphone function of a computer to take photographs or record audio visual content through the computer's webcam or microphone. The definition of "geophysicabation tracking" includes the reporting of GPS coordinates. WiFi hotspotor telecommunications towe- all technologies that allow for a relatively precise location of thitem tracked. In addition, a "covered rent-toown transaction" is defined as one in white consumer agrees to purchase or rent a computer, where the rental agreement provide payments over time and an option to purchase the computer.

The proposed orders with DesignerWand at sprincipals, Kelly and Koller, are separate, but contain identical unctive provisions. Sectin I of the proposed orders with DesignerWare and its principals banenth from using – as well as licensing, selling, or otherwise providing third praies with – monitoring technology in connection with any covered RTO transaction. Section II plaits them from using geophysical location

tracking technology to gather formation from any computer ithout providing clear and prominent notice to and obtaining affirmative peess consent from the computer's renter at the time the computer is rented. This is also requires ear and prominent notice to computer users immediately prior to exich tracking technology is activated. In addition, Section II mandates that Designer Warre its principals require their licensees to obtain consent and provide notice prior intitiating any location tracking. However, Designer Ware and its principals do not nee pirtovide notice to a computer user prior to activating geophysical location tracking technology if 1) there a reasonable basis to believe that the computer has been stated 2) a police report as been filed.

Section III of the proposed orders will be signer. Ware and its principals prohibits the deceptive collection of consumer informativia fake software registration notices. Section IV requires that and at that was collected thugh any monitoring or tracking software without the requisite notice and sent be destroyed that any properly collected data be encrypted when transmitted. Section V bars Designer. Ware and its principals from making misrepsentations about the privacy security of any personal information gathered from or about consumers.

Sections VI through IX of both order contain reporting and compliance provisions. Section VI of the proposed signer Ware order requires the company to disseminate the order now and in the future Ite current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, another sons with responsibilities lating to the subject matter of the order. This section or requires Designer Ware to exigned and dated statement acknowledging receipt of the order all persons who receive a copy. Section VII requires Designer Ware to subcompliance reports to the Commission within sixty (60) days, and piedically thereafter as required. It also requires the company to notify the Commission of charging Designer Ware's corporate status.

Section VI of the proposed order with DesignerWare principals requires

The proposed orders against the RTOesstowhich are identical to each other) contain similar injunctive provisions to the in the proposed orders with DesignerWare and its principals. Section I of each of throposed orders bans the RTO stores from using monitoring technology in connection withy covered RTO tranaction. Section II prohibits the stores from using geophystilic cation tracking technology to gather information from any computer without opiding clear and prominent notice to the computer's renter and obtaining affirmation requires can and prominent notice at the time the computer is rented. This is also requires can and prominent notice to a computer user immediately prior to be a such technology is activated. The proposed RTO store orders also suspend the motiquirement if 1) there is a reasonable basis to believe that the computer has been filed. Section III of each of the proposed orders into the deceptive collection of consumer information via fake software registration notices.

Section IV bars the storessm collecting or attempting to collect a debt, money, or property pursuant to a consemmental contract by usingnya information or data that was improperly obtained from a computermonitoring technology. Section V requires that any data collected thurgh any monitoring or tracking its ware without the requisite notice and consent be destroyed, and that party collected data be encrypted when transmitted. As fencing in, Section VI barnisrepresentations about the privacy or security of any personal informationathered from or about consumers.

Sections VII through X of the proposed O store orders contain reporting and compliance provisions. Section VII requirestribution of the order now and in the future to all current and future principals fficers, directors, and managers, and to persons with responsibilities reliag to the subject matter of thorder. It also requires the RTO stores to secure signed and data ments acknowledging receipt of the order from all persons who receive a copy of the order ection VIII requires the RTO stores to submit compliance reports to the Commission viith in sixty (60) days and periodically thereafter as requested, and ensures cation to the Commission of changes in corporate status. Under Section IX, the Restores must retain documents relating to order compliance for a five (5) year periodinally, Section X is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty (20) yearwith certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to ilitate public comment on the proposed orders. It is not intended to nstitute an official iterpretation of the proposed complaints or orders or to modifine terms of the orders in any way.