notice, they did not object to disclosure. Further, the defendants only supported their claims of trade secrets and confidential information with conclusory assertions (see Doc. S-30, Ex. A). The defendants were asked three times at the hearing to specify sensitive documents. The first two times the defendants failed to identify any appoints documents that contained



## 13-14). Particularly in light of this factor, the balancing of the parties'

interests clearly warrants unsealing of the materials.

AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DES