PATENT DAMAGES FEBRUARY 2009

PROF. PAUL M. JANICKE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON LAW CENTER

THE CONTEXT

- 2700 PATENT SUITS FILED PER YEAR
- 86% SETTLE BEFORE TRIAL
- 8% SUMMARY JUDGMENTS [USUALLY FOR ACCUSED INFRINGER]
- 1% SETTLE AT JURY TRIAL
- 3% JUDGMENTS ON JURY VERDICT [50 VERDICTS PER YR. – 3/4 FOR THE PATENTEE]
- 2% JUDGMENTS ON BENCH TRIALS

DAMAGE AWARDS [POSTED ON patstats.org]

[POSTED ON paisials.org]

- WE COLLECT AT THE JURY LEVEL
 - FINAL JUDGMENTS ARE OFTEN HIGHER DUE TO INTEREST AND ENHANCEMENT
 - FINAL JUDGMENTS ARE SOMETIMES LOWER DUE TO REMITTITURS
- WE UPDATE EVERY 2-3 MONTHS, SINCE 1/1/2005, LISTING CASE NAMES AND COURTS

VERDICTS ARE MODEST

- PATENTEES WIN ABOUT 75% OF VERDICTS
- COUNTING ONLY THE WINS, THE

• DISTRICT-BY-DISTRICT LOOK: – NOT MUCH BETTER

• <u>YEAR-TO-YEAR</u> TREND: MODEST

THE RULES OF LAW ARE PRETTY SIMPLE

- FOR LOST PROFITS: "SON OF PANDUIT"
 - CAUSALITY AND AMOUNT ARE ALL THAT IS NEEDED
 - SPLIT AWARDS (PROFITS FOR SOME INFRINGING SALES, REAS. ROY. FOR OTHERS, LOST ROYALTY REVENUE FOR OTHERS) ARE COMMON

TIME TO ABANDON THE GEORGIA-PACIFIC GRAB-BAG

- NEVER INTENDED FOR JURY CARTE-BLANCHE
- RULE SHOULD BE SIMPLY: PORTION OF THE VALUE ADDED
 - AS COMPARED TO NEXT-BEST ALTERNATIVE
 - THE JUDGE SHOULD SUPERVISE THE AWARD

WHERE ARE WE IN DAMAGES DOCTRINE?

• CORNELL UNIV. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD

ENTIRE MARKET VALUE RULE:



ENTIRE MARKET VALUE RULE:

- IS ARTIFICIAL
- IS A RULE WHOSE TIME SHOULD BE GONE

• VALUE ADDED IS ALL WE NEED, WITH JUDICIAL SUPERVISION

BUT . . .

- PATENT DAMAGES AWARDS ARE
 MODEST
- ON AVERAGE, THEY DON'T JUSTIFY THE EXPENSE AND INTRUSION OF PATENT LITIGATION
- THE INJUNCTION MIGHT JUSTIFY IT – 70% GRANT RATE AFTER *eBAY*