1	
2	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
4	
5	
6	MERGER WORKSHOP
7	
8	
9	
10	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2004
11	9:00 a.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	FTC Conference Cenker
19	601 Ne Jer e A en e, N.W.
20	Wa hing Kon, D.C.
21	
22	
23	ReporKed b : RiKa M. Hemphill, C.V.R.
24	
25	

1	<u>CONTENTS</u>	
2		PAGE
3	WELCOME - J. Eli abe#Kh Calli on	4
4	NON-PRICE COMPETITION/INNOVATION	4
5	ModeraKor: Mark W. Frankena, FTC	
6	Panel: Ann Male Wer	
7	Richard T. Rapp	
8	SKe en C. S n hine	
9		
10	UNILATERAL EFFECTS	51
11	ModeraKor: L ke M. Froeb, FTC	
12	Panel: Jo eph Ka k kan	
13	Gregor K. Leonard	
14	Abbows "Tad" Lip k , Jr.	
15	Gregor J. Werden	
16	Valerie Raba a	
17		
18	COORDINATED EFFECTS	127
19	Moderakor: Michael H. Knighk, FTC	
20	Panel: JonaKhan B. Baker	
21	Andre R. Dick	
22	Pa 1 Yde	
23	Deborah P. Majora	
24	S¶Ke en C. Salop	
25	Da id T. Scheffman	

1	<u>CONTENTS</u> (CONTD)	
2		PAGE
3	UNCOMMITTED ENTRY	197
4	Moderakor: Theodore A. Gebhard, FTC	
5	Panel: TimoKh Daniel	
6	A. Do gla Melamed	
7	Frederick "Rick" Warren-Bo l Kon	
8	Mark D. Whikener	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		P R O	CEE	D	ING	; S	
2			WELC	OME	E		
3	MS.	CALLISON:	Thi	i	₩he	econd da	of \ Khe

1	m fir K Khogh K a Koeek inp K from Khe in e Kor
2	comm niK hich didn K eem Ko be repre enKed.
3	So I a ked m friend Tom, ho afKer all ha
4	abo WK 1,000 pawkenwk, hewkher he cold addre Wkhe
5	relaKion hip bek een compeKiKion and inno aKion Khi
6	morning. Well, Tom co ldn K join , b K he reminded me
7	KhaK he had addre ed Khi er maKKer back in 1889, hen
8	omeone propo ed KhaK hi firm, Edi on General ElecKric
9	and it competitor, We Kingho e Electric call a Kr ce in
10	Winder ar woo ell elecwiric with two ciwie and with a n
11	aro nd Khe co nKr .
12	Tom e plained What he ref ed Wo go along ith
13	Whe propo al beca e, and I no gowe from a commemporar
14	lewwer from Edi on: "If e make whe coaliwion, m
15	ef lne a an in enKori gone. Merice o ldn K
16	be or the apenn. I can only in enthapment of the contract of
17	incenKi e. No compeKiKion mean no inno aKion."
18	B K m real diffic lk ikh khi kakemenk,
19	Withough I afker I read in I now iced what in a rink en
20	on April Fool Da in 1889, o I don K kno ho m ch
21	eight to pton it, btothat a takement from an
22	imporKanK in enKor.
23	Oka . With that, cold I ak Steet to gett
24	warked?

25

MR. SUNSHINE: Thank \mathbf{o} . I m happ \mathbf{w} be here

- 1 Whi morning. I a now ppo ed Ko be Khe lead-off
 2 peaker. The lead-off peaker a Khe di King i hed
 3 Profe or Da i . He awe ome bad fi h e Kerda and,
 4 Kherefore, co ld now be ith . It eemed like a rawher
 5 e Kreme a Ko gew o K of doing Khi Kalk, b K I did la K
 6 night con ider Khaw.
 7 I Kr ggled for ho Ko Kikle Khi Kalk, and
- like e er Whing el e, Whe in pirawkion came Wo me beca e
 of omewhing m 12- ear-old on did. I a li Wening Wo

 Khi ong b Sma hmo Wh called "All Swar," hich I m re
 all ha e heard. Don W orr . I m now going wo ing
 iw for o.

Inno	aKion i	an impo	rKanK dim	en iona	l ri	alr
and inker enk	on of prog	gre . I	I don ₩ ₩	hink Kh	ere	a
low of dip w	e for Khi	poinK.	I Whink	Khe K	die	₩ha₩
ha e been done	e o er Kime	e hae	aid WKhaWK	inno a¶K	ion l	na
been re pon il	ole for Khe	e a Kama	ajori K o	f econo	mic	
progre KhaK	ha been m	nade o ei	r K he la	K cenk	r.	

Then hen e Kr Ko ack all appl ik Ko merger anal i , can e ack all predick Khe effeck of a merger on inno akion? We ill Kalk more abo k Khi laker. I Khink ik fair Ko a Khak Khe effeck are ncerkain.

Of core, ik probabl alo fair ko a Khak Khe effeck of markek Kr ck re on good and on Khe relakion hip bek een markek performance on good i nok a clear a e old like ik ko be a ell.

That lead me to thi i , I think, here e get a little bit more into m ie , and other clearl ha e different ie , the legal and economic i e . There are legal i e here, too. We ha e been foc ing mainl on the economic , b there are legal i e here, too, that legal and economic i e reg ire a ho ing -- I called it "probable effect on o to the the When I a "o to the there, I don the mean inno attion o to the the I mean o the thin the good market.

The Gen me e ample, e ill geK K0 iK, e all ha e g r ie on iK, g K perhap Gen me i an e ample

1	here Khe chairman and Khe re K of Khe Commi $$ ion
2	ba icall follo ed Khe fir K Khree b llek poink , Kho ghK
3	in Kheor Khere co ld be an effeck on inno akion, b K
4	fond a ew of fack here whe merger cold now hae a
5	demon KraKi e effeck on o Kp K, and hence, no ca e. If
6	What hat the did, co not me in. Of core, the fact,
7	e ha e Ko Kalk abo K.

La Kl , j K in Kerm of hak doe Khi mean prackicall? M general poink on Khi i going Ko be Khak Khe prackical applicakion of inno akion markek i infreq enk. We are now going to ee a low of inno akion market ca e . The are more likel in cerkain ind Krie , pharmace Kical being Khe ob io e ample, and Khak for rea on Khak I Khink ome of m co-paneli K ill go inko.

One of Khe man big problem iKh inno aKion markeK i KhaK Khe are reall hard Ko define. Ho do o kno an inno aKion markeK? We d like Ko ee a Kandard more Khan j K a pokKer, o kno, I ll kno iK hen I ee iK.

We have to account for the ing to identificate when the conceinable of the conceinable of

Alo, Withe Wig pe of inno awkion Withawk going on in

1	K he r	marke₩	ma b	e	rele	anĸK	₩o_	9	r	confidence	in	marke⊮
2	bo no	darie .	Wha	ıΚ	do I	mear	1?					

Well, are Khere reg lakkor barrier Khakk are going to make inno akion m ch more Kr ck red? I inno akion kied ko cerkain ek of prod ckion a ek , here e kno khak people ikho k prod ckion a ek reall are nok effecki e inno akor?

For Khak, I old refer Ko Khe Deparkmentk of J Kice complaint in Khe General Mokor /ZF
Friederich hafen cae. Thak cae of inno akion a Kied
Ko an ei King ek of prodokion a ek, and no one cold
inno ake ne Kr ck and b Kran mi ion nle Khe had
Kho e ek of proce e.

Then anowkher a or K pe of inno akkion i pakkenk, b k pakkenk are reall krick. When o gek ko pakkenk, pakkenk ma mean khere are a nece ar ek of a ek. Ik ma alo mean khak markek ha e been di ided p o khak khe merger are complimenkar. Yo ha e ko be reall carefl.

I on K go Khro gh Khe arKicle Khak Profe or Gilberk and I roke, b K e idenkif cerkain ek of fackor Khak o need Ko find in order Ko ha e ome confidence Khak o ha e an inno akion markek. If o don K ha e Khak kind of confidence, Khen frankl o hold go home ak Khak poink. If o can k define Khe

1	i	righK	omeKime	. Ma	be Arro	o i	righ	K omeKime	, b 🖔
2	е	are no	in \ he	Arro	camp	i Kh ₩	Khe e i	facK.	

I Ko ched on incremental er, re of Kionar.

Thi i an idea of ho big Khe inno akion i. In ome

a , incremental inno akion ma be khe one khak are a

likkle bik ea ier ko nder kand ho ko prokeck. Ik ma

be ea ier ko define here khe o rce of khak inno akion

are going ko come from. The e re of kionar inno akion,

khere m ch more profik ak kake, o khere more

incenki e ko p r e khem, and omekime khe are harder

ko kell here khe are going ko come from, hak khe

o rce of khak inno akion i going ko be.

In Whe incenKi e , I don W Whink o cold nder Kand Khe incenKi e Ko inno aKe nKil o nder Kand Khe naK re of compeKiKion bek een Khe inno aKion . Thak going Ko reg ire looking back aK Khe don Kream le el.

Are K o pharmace Kical companie going Ko be man fack ring e ackl Khe ame chemical compo nd Khak, o kno, once Khe gek Ko Khe markek, Khe are going Ko be in compektikion ikh one anokher, and Khere no orphandr g Kak. Yo kno, once Khe gek Ko Khe markek, Khe ill be in compektikion ikh one anokher.

That ma me7, that 1- 2. n1081re iT1 D(22)Tj anot pett bTj1

1	differenk Khe are going Ko be akKacking differenk
2	market and there ill be a high e pected ret rn, ma be
3	Where ill be an incenKi e for boWkh prod oK Ko be
4	de eloped. I don ${\mathfrak K}$ kno . Ma be ${\mathfrak K}$ he econd in en ${\mathfrak K}$ ion i
5	going Ko open p o man ne applicaKion and increa e
6	demand KhaK Khere ill Kill be a Krong incenKi e Ko
7	in e 岻.

Confidence Khank Khe red okion in inno akion ill lead Ko an okp K effeck. That goe Ko all Khe pre io Kankemenk, Koo, b K here, I Khink o ha e Ko Kake inko acco nk do n Kream compektikion. What if Khere are do n Kream prod ck Khank are compeking again k or p Kream prod ck, and kho e are going ko conkin e ko p r inno akion.

I Withink Withawii hawii all Withii a ing i Withawii

_	
-	7.0
1	ie .

I Khink Khe anal i hold be here Khe deal,

here hak life old be like ab enk Khe deal, and i

Khe orld a bekker place or nok, bk ko kr ko ocial

engineer efficiencie eem ko me ko be a migided

approach.

What I e done b p twing efficiencie a park of the competitie effect, I ha en the hifted the borden of the efficiencie to the defendant. I think it i think art of ho ing -- to ho an other teffect.

E ent all, thi reall belong in the camp of the plaintiff, beca e o can the other benefit.

There has been a low of con end decree.

There has been a complaint what whe Department of J wice filed what did now go wo wrial. There has been one owher case here inno awion i orw of walked abo w b w now a wr e inno awion markew.

Doe Seckion 7 reall allo Ko ha e an inno akion markek? The fe ca e Khak e i k on khi , I khink, a khak ikho k ack al ale and a line of commerce, khak perhap o don k ha e a markek, hich if khak a kr e, khak o ld doom Seckion 7 anal i .

Thank m ie , and hank Gilbernk and I ronke in o r arkicle i khank ink o ld be mi placed for Seckion 7 Ko nonk recogni e an inno ankion markenk kheor . The rea on h I a khank, e o ld kark from, I khink, a prenkk ea propo inkion khank a khank merger anal i ho ld be de igned ko idenkif kho e merger khank lead ko red chkion in elfare, ko lo er o ko k.

The anal i Khak I i K enk Khro gh, if o can go Khro gh Khak anal i and come Ko Khe concl ion Khak o Kp K i red ced, o ha e aki fied hak i Khe ba ic condikion. Then Khe g e Kion on an inno akion markek, I Khink, i Khe inno akion markek e enkiall idenkifie Khe place of compekiki e inkerackion here khe problem ari e , and Khen khe o Kp K markek ack all define here Khe effeck i felk, and ik define khe line of commerce and khe eckion of khe co nkr Khak Khe la i Kr ing Ko prokeck.

In Khak a , I old dra alooe analog , and ik nok a perfeck analog , ko a erkical merger. In khe ack alo kp k markek, khere no direck effeck. Yo cold look ak inp k, o cold look ak inno akion a an inp k or an p kream prod ck in a erkical merger, b k I old a if olook ak khe Medicorp . H mana cae and ome of khe okher cae ciked in khe k ff khak e roke, khere are ome cork khak hae gone okher a ,

1	beca	e \ Khe	are K l	ninking	g cookie ç www.er, define a	a markeK,
2	mea	re \ he	marke₩	hare	, prima facie ca e, bla	n, blah,
3	blah.	ThatK	one	a of	doing iK.	

The econd point, can plaintiff pro e a non-pec lati e effect in a rea onable amo nt of time? I think that propo ition thank if I a defending again that and individual market cae. Think the goe back to the importance of being able to act all have the doc ment, the market e idence, and e er thing ele to ho that this is a real problem.

I kno I m r nning hork and I ank ko lea e Kime for ome con er akion. I on k pend r ch kime on khi .

Ron Da i , ho a going Ko lead off, a going Ko a b rden , here Khe beef? I a going Ko a , h all Khe f ? The b rden of proof i al a on Khe plainKiff. We all kno Khak. We kno Khak PNB and Baker H ghe a Khak pon Khe e Kabli hmenk of a prima facie ca e, Khak Khe b rden of prod ckion hifk o er Ko Khe defendank, b K Khe b rden of per a ion al a remain ikh Khe plainkiff.

I Whink in Whe Gen me cae, hile in a j wat an in e waigawaion, in a n wa cae, I hae wao imagine waka wat fied a b rden of coming for ard

1 iWh e idence.

IK eem Ko me Khak an proper application of this kind of anal i old lead Ko Khe b rden going back Ko Khe plainkiff Ko per ade Khe ere ack all right.

If Commi ioner Thomp on di enKing opinion mean ome Khing ele, ikh a pre mp Kion, Khen he mean ome Khing ele, hich I Khink i no K in Kep ikh Khe caela, perhap e en for o Kp K marke , b K cerkainl no K for inno akion marke for Khe rea on Khak e ha e Kalked abo K.

Problem of Whe in e Kigawor. The fir K point, I Khink, i ob io Ko e er bod iwking in Khi room.

The in e Kigawor ha a d K Ko e al awke Khe Kran ackion before iw. In Khe Kran ackion before o, if o ee erio compektikion in inno awkion, haw are o going ko do? Yo ha e a d K Ko look awk iw. Yo don K ank ko i K lew Khe Kran ackion go if o Khink Khere i going ko be a problem. Yo ha e ko dig in.

The la itch the take of the economic, there
i no ea path here, i there? We have j the been
through itc. I think the borden of proof, hile there
cold be ome adj thent, depending on ho i in oled,
the borden of proof i clearl going to be on the
plaintiff, and the plaintiff and the in e tigator ill
have to ho another of difficit it is a line attion

1	pace hich ill occ r, Wind merger likel effec on
2	inno aktion, khe manner in hich o kp k ill be red ced,
3	and again, o Kp K i o Kp K in a good markeK, and hen
4	Whe own K effeck ill be felk. Now ea K ff, right?
5	We are Kalking abo K doing Khi in ak lea K K o
6	differenk markek , k o differenk pace .
7	Again, a onk of Wine lawk reonk of Wine

Again, a ork of the later ork of the in e Kigakor, the importance of empirical e idence from the merging parkie in the market cannot be o er taked. Without the eidence, the economic in the going to get o all the a there. Yo are going to need the eidence.

I on K go Khro gh Khi in an kind of deKail.

I j K Kho ghK Khro gh g e Kion on ho I mighK Khink

abo K doing an inno aKion anal i .

I inno awkion an imporwkank dimen ion of ri alr? The an eri no, forgewk iwk. Wh bowkher? Look for price effecwk. Go o wk iwkh o r colleage for a beer.

Second g e Kion, ill inno aktion effeck
e i King prod ck markek in a rea onable amo nk of Kime?
The an er Ko Khak i e , lek nok orr abo k
inno aktion markek . Ik o nd like a good markek ko me.
Lek do a compekiki e effeck on khe good markek. A
Gil ill remember, khi a khe Micro ofk/Ink ik ca e.

- 1 We to ed itch bringing a ca e in an inno attion market.
- We aid e ha e a real market here, h are e bothering?
- Inno awkion i an imporwkankk dimen ion of compewkiwkion. If
- one of Withe With o pla er i in Withe markewit, Withen e ha e a
- 5 powenkial compektikion ca e.
- I had Wo iW back for a econd and a , I Whink
- a pokenkial compekikion ca e i ea ier khan an inno akion
- 8 markew ca e? Well, where of ha e in.
- 9 Can Winhe bo ndarie of Winhe inno awion markew be
- 10 dewkermined? We enwk wkhrog gh wkhawk. Iwk nowk child
- pla . Doe Whe merger pro ide incenWi e _ Wo inno aWke?
- 12 Again, I p K Khe efficiencie in iKh Khe obligaKion of
- 13 Whe plainWiff. Again, if iW Whe plainWiff job Wo
- 14 ho Withere i an effect, Withen With plain Wiff ho ld al o
- be comforwable ho ing whe efficiencie don w o w eigh
- 16 Whe owner effects.
- And Withen la W, Withe harm Wo Withe o Wig W markew,
- 18 iKho K Khi la K piece, nok onl do e ha e an economic
- problem, b K e clearl ha e a legal problem a ell.
- 20 With that, I kno it all pain takingl clear.
- 21 Thank o er mch.

MR. FRANKENA: Thank o. Ann?

Whi m K mean all of o are cerkainl a are	wKhawK e
ha e been ha ing a debakke in khe ankikr k or	eld for ell
o er a decade abowk hawkwhe anwkiwky wk agencie	họ ld do
abo K Khe concept of inno ation market, and e	enKiall ,
ho ld Winere be an enforcement action in Wini	area?

A o kno, Khere are Kho e ho belie e KhaK beca e Khe empirical economic daKa ha noK been concl i e in ho ing a correlaKion bek een increa ed concenKraKion and red ced inno aKion, KhaK reall Khe anKiKr K agencie hold i K Khro p Kheir hand and alk a a and noK Khink abo K bringing enforcemenK acKion in Khi area.

There are owner, and I come met elf in this grop, ho belie e that pre er ing competition at the re earch and de elopment tage i reall important, but recognie, a Stee ha pointed ot, that there are ome real problem and a lot of diffic like in reall a e ing ho inno ation market hold be defined and hat the antity to agencie role reall hold be there.

Fir W, leW me j W gi e o W o rea on h I Whink Whank im imal for Whe agencie Wo pre er e compension and be igilank in promeoming compension and when R&D Wage.

Fir K of all, beca e in Khe long r n, a merger KhaK red ce Khe pace of inno aKion can be far more

1	harmf	l ₩o	con	mer	 ≰han	a m	nerger	KhaK	re_	1₩	in	а
2	price	incre	ае	of g	ali₩	dec	rea e.					

Second, beca e in Whe real orld, here companie compeKe e er da , iK er clear WhaK compeKiKion i Whe primar incenKi e WhaK p r increa ed le el of inno aKion.

In preparing for Khi ork hop, I re-read Khe Ke Kimon KhaK Khe FTC recei ed d ring Khe 1995 hearing on global compeKiKion. WhaK Kr ck me i KhaK alKho gh Khe economi K cold onlagree KhaK iK a reall inconclie and Khe eren K re haK Khe e idence ho ed, Khe b ine official ho Ke Kified d ring Kho e hearing ere, and I mg oKing Khe ord of Khe Kaff repork, "Unanimo and emphaKic in Kheir ie KhaK compeKiKion i Khe primar incenKi e for inno aKion."

I penk ell o er a decade ak Khe FTC a an a i Kank direckor in Khe merger di i ion. I ha e een h ndred of doc menk , and Kalked Ko do en of b ine official , ho Kold Khak Khe pporked Khak concl ion.

When o look at the realist of the a
b ine e make their deci ion , I think it clear that
the picall b ine e in et more re o rce , ork harder
and ork more gickl in their re earch and de elopment
effort hen the are faced ith the po ibilit that

1	 ≰he	ill	be	bea K en	₩ο	™ Khe	mark	xe√K b	anoKhe	er firm	n KihaK
2	orkir	ng K	o de	e elop	a c	ompeK	ing	prod	ďK.		

We a eidence in or in e KigaKion KhaK

companie of Ken pend a greak deal of Kime Kracking Khe

re earch and de elopmenk projeck of okher companie Khak

are orking in Kheir general area. Companie eek

informakion abo k Khe ched le and progre of compeking

R&D program , and Khe make in e Kmenk and priorik

deci ion ba ed on Khe le el of compekikion Khe belie e

Khe face.

The alloconfirmed What being Whe fir W Wo

1	heWkher Wkhe merged firm ill ha e boWkh Wkhe abiliWk and
2	incenKi e Ko red ce Khe pace of inno aKion afKer Khe
3	merger, and finall , e en harder Ko decide hak K pe of
4	efficiencie , if an , whe merged compan $$ ill be able who
	4

1	marketk, there hold eer be a pre mptkion of
2	anKi-compeKiKi e effecK aK an le el of compeKiKion, and
3	econd, m on ie of ha Gen me migh Kell for
4	f K re Commi ion enforcemenK deci ion .

On whe fir w point, I a frankl p led b whe eeming importance what all whe watement placed on heather or now where hold be a pre mption of anti-competitie effect. Someho, what left me is the feeling what in e is wing good market, here pre mption are railed at m ch lo er concentration le el whan a whe cale in Gen me, by whe antity w agencie bring an enforcement action e er whime a pre mption of legalit i railed. We all kno what nowthing cold be from realit.

I Wichink Whawk Whe dawka Whawk Whe J Wice

Department and Whe FTC relea ed W o eek ago on

hori ontal merger and Whe concentration le el and hich

one re lwed in enforcement er cloing, make it er

clear Whawk e en in e i Wing good market, where are

highl concentrated market here merger ma red ce whe

n mber of competitor from Whree Wo W o and e en W o Wo

one, here in ome ca e, Whe Commi ion did now bring an

action or Whe J Wice Department did now bring an action.

What doe the pre mption mean? It onl mean that the merger de er e a reall clo e crtin, and

1	₩ha#	K Ki	ne .	agenc	ir	nge 🛚	Kio	on	ill	ре	end	a .	m	ch	₩ ime	a	iΚ
2	ha	₩o	de	elop	an	imKen	i	е	facK	ba	ed	ana	al	i			

I don K Khink Khere i an one ak Khe Commi ion ho belie e Khak Gen me did nok de er e Khak kind of clo e cr Kin . Bokh Khe agenc Kaff and all of Khe Commi ioner pend a greak deal of Kime and effork in anal ing Khe large in e Kigakor record, and in a e ing hak Khe fack ere and hak Khe anal i ho ld be, and Khak i reall hak I Khink Khe pre mpkion mean , Khak ik a ca e Khak arrank Khak kind of cr Kin .

Finall, lew me j K Kalk for a min Ke abo K hak Khe impack of Gen me i and hak ik Kell abo K Khe Commi ion and ik likel enforcemenk in Khe inno akion markek.

Fir K, I Khink clearl Khe Commi ion

deci ion indicaKe Khak Khe c rrenk Commi ion i likel

Ko approach an inno akion markek anal i ikh a lok of

ca Kion, b K Khere are fo r rea on h I Khink o

ho ldn K read Koo m ch inko hak Khe Commi ion ma do

in okher ca e ba ed on Khe Gen me deci ion.

Fir K of all, I Khink ik important Ko noke
Khak nok one of Khe Khree Kakemenk Khak ere i ed a
igned onko b an one okher Khan Khe a Khor of Khak
Kakemenk. To of Khe Commi ioner, Commi ioner

S ind	dle a	and	Lear	· ,	al¶K]	no gl	ı K h∈	=	o≰Keo	d ₩o	clo	e Khe	:	
in e	₩iga	æKic	on, d	lid	no₩	joir	ıin	an	of	₩he	ΨKaΨ	KemenK	[ti j	KhaK
ere	₩he	рk	olic	₩á	aKem∈	en•K	₩ha₩	ζ ε	ere :	i _ e	ed.			

Second, in Whe Gen me cae, bowth companie,

Gen me and No a me, ere ack all in Whe lawe clinical

Wage of dr g de elopment, hich i earlier Whan Whe

Owther inno awtion markew cae here Whe Commi ion ha

in e Wigawed and Waken acktion before, and Whaw mean Whaw

Whe likelihood of bowth prod cw making in wo markew i

le Whan in Whe owther cae Whe Commi ion ha looked awt

in Whe pharmace Wical area.

Third, Whe drg in ge Kion ere co ered b
Khe Orphan Drg AcK, hich grank a e en ear markek
e cl i ik Ko Khe fir K drg Khak make ik Ko markek.
Khink Khak fack alo make ik le likel Khan in a
Kradikional pharmace Kical markek Khak bokh drg old
in fack compeke in a fk re good markek, and finall,
Khe Gen me acg i ikion a nok reporkable nder Khe
Hark-Scokk-Rodino Reporking Ack, and khe kran ackion had
clo ed ell before Khe Commi ion a in a po ikion ko
a e ik legalik.

Tr ing Wo de ign a remed in an inno awkion

markew in a con mmawked merger here whe core a ew are

cienwific per onnel, kno ho , acce wo academic

re earcher , and man owher h man factor , reall

pre enk g ike a da nking ka k, and khe a k majorik of
ca e khak khe agencie face, hich i n k khe k pical
Hark-Scokk-Rodino pre-merger re ie , I don k khink rai e
khe ame le el of diffic lk in de igning and
implemenking a remed .

In pl e

1	look of parKicipaKo	r morKifi	caKion on hi	face, i	one
2	of Witho e. I and J	o Ko kno	KhaK m poir	nK of ie	i
3	nowK hared b e er	bod and m	firm.		

I do find m elf once again a *Khe e *Kremi *K in *Khe gro p, i hing *Ko repeal en*Kirel *Khe e of inno a*Kion marke*K anal i .

For Kho e of o ho do now kno me, I ank Ko a re o Khak ik i nok Khak m po ikion i hak ik i , nok beca e I m an anki-inker enkioni k generall , khak khe good go ernmenk ho ldn k p k ik a ra in, ek cekera, khak i a poor charackeri akion of m ie abo k almo k e er Khing el e.

I am an opponent of the e of inno attion market anal i frankl for an arcane epitemological rea on, and that i there i an ab ence of nderling theor, and in a n thell, hat I mean i that antitr to ork ell hen it is a ed pon economic. Economic i a cience. What that mean i that it e cientific e planation, a hierarch of general la and la like takement.

The hori on Kal merger g ideline are a onderf l e ample of economic cience for polic p rpo e . The SSNIP Ke K and okher de ice in oke Khe demand ela Kicik, hich i right in Khe main Kream of Khe la of economic cience, going all Khe a p Ko Khe

1 la of demand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elfare Kriangle, SKigler oligopol , all $_{\!\!\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$ nderpinning of Khe merger $_{\!\!\scriptscriptstyle \parallel}$ ideline are inherenK, and Khe g ideline hae a Krong KheoreKical bai. B Withe a, e ob er e con i Witherc i**K**h empirical ell. Tha•K i fac≰K a econdar a far a I m concerned. I care mo K abo K Khe fack Khak inno akion market anal i i ba icall rea oning b analog. polic premi e, a I ee ikk, i khe propo ikkion hekkher 帐he ocial gain from 帐opping an R&D merger e ceed 帐he ocial gain from lewking ikk go khro gh i prediokable, Withaw g can Well hich a Withaw inegaliw ill r n.

I Whink Whe onl ba i for Whatk Whatk I can percei e i an analog Wo Whe relawion hip betk een competition, g antit and price in good market, and whe analog i fal e. I on W d ell on Whatk. I rowe an arkicle, a man of o kno, in 1995, Whatk capt re m ie abow Whatk bject.

Looking no in Whe fi e min We I ha e, beca e
I ill now impinge on Whe dig ion period, here o
get Wo drag o W of me in con er awion, lew me make i W
Whree g ick point, W o of Whem relawing Wo Gen me and
When an awwwempw Wo be a liwwelle con wer own e in wead of
being a na a er. Swe en here i ick of ha ing wo
acc e me of bowhering an gl bab. Lew me ee if I

1	can K do omeKhing bekKer Khan KhaK. Who e bab i KhaK?
2	I ank ko poink ok Im going ko go khro gh
3	WKhi hole lide iWK reall WKhe fir WK poin WK WKhaWK I
4	i h Ko remark Ko o abo K, and KhaK i KhaK I am an
5	admirer of Chairman Mg ri KaKemenK in Gen me. IK i
6	remarkable WKo me for WKhe facWK WKhaWK iWK change WKhe ba ic
7	polic r le. IK ha Ko do, a Ann de cribed er ell,
8	iKh pec liar circ m Kance of Khe ca e, b K one hold
9	now lo e ight of the p n on the ord "competition."
10	Whatk e are Kalking abo K no i a race Ko
11	monopol . IK ha noKhing Ko do iKh Khe kind of
12	compeKiKion KhaK i o Kp K e panding, price red cing
13	compeKiKion, Khe like of hich e Kalk abo K hen e
	botK hen o2 ontKhe o onn nh e

1	Thank m g ick K o econd reading of Khe majorik
2	ie poinK iKho K all of Khe rea oning KhaK follo .
3	All I ih Koa i KhaKa an anal i of
4	incenKi e , iK make a greaK deal of en e Ko me. IK
5	g ikke no el. Thakk doe n kk bokkher me a an economi kk.
6	The la er in Whe grop can con ider ho iW old pla
7	atk Section 7 or FTC Section 5 enforcement, b tk I tkhink
8	it jugan al.
9	M real fog i on Commi ioner Thomp on
10	di enK, beca e I ha e Ko a in Khe debaKe beK een Khe
11	e Kremi K and Kho e ho fa or Khe inno aKion markeK
12	approach, a far a I m concerned, I can K be bokh a
13	pla er and a referee, b K I ha e Ko a a far a I m
14	concerned, m reading of Commi ion Thomp on di enK
15	led me Ko a game o er, I in.
16	Thi i a liKan of haK can go rong if merger
17	anal i i applied ing itk er premi e, tkhatk i tko
18	a rea on b analog K o K he good M arke K .
19	Yo K alk abo K a merger, a monopol , and peak
20	abo K markeK po er, e en Kho gh Khere are no good aro nd
21	and market po er i not the i e.
22	I kno abo K pre mpKion of amKi-compeKiKi e
23	effeck ikho k eikher kheorekical or empirical ba i .

There i Wihi -- no e are gewiking back Wo Wihe le er

Wind with and I on the dell on o e can get the the

24

25

con er awkion parwk -- wkhi wkr ck me a e wkraordinar .

There i a difference wo be e pecked in whe re o rce allocakion of Gen me, ari ing from whe difference bek een wr ing wo gew whe fir w appro al iwh orphan dr g e cl i iw, wo a e a low of children li e and make a won of mone, and wr ing wo gew fir w appro al iwh orphan dr g e cl i iw for a longer period of wime for whe ake of fir w mo er ad anwage.

I can go along it all of tho e ho a competition pr inno attion. It make a certain amo not of ene. Yo ee ho the notion can be, I old a, mi ed. It repre ent to me a belie er in competition, certainl an oddl crabbed ie of h man nat re.

There i a f rkher likan of error, error in economic and logic, b k I belie e here, in Commi ioner Thomp on di enk, e can kalk abo k khem if o like, m orr i khak ome da Pre idenk Kerr mighk appoink a Commi ion ikh khi frame of mind, and khak i khe rea on h a line of b and large en ible -- I on k a nobjeckionable -- ko me, nder kandable deci ion bokh b khe Pikof k Commi ion and khe M ri Commi ion, are nok good e idence khak e are oka ikh khe inno akion markek concepk.

LeW me ee if I can do haw Chairman Piwof k did wo me aw whe 1996 hearing , wo wr and find ome

M akkempk ak a conkrokie endkom						
e Kremi K Kalk here i Ko a KhaK Ko Khe e KenK KhaK	ϵ					
are lew me p k ik in kerm khak Mark ed hen he						
rowe a erie of po ible $g\in K$ ion K o di g .						

I Whink Whe di Wincowion best een inno askion

markest Whast pa astersion to R&D effort, about hich e

kno er listste and predict er listste, whe di Wincowion

best een Whast and for re good markest, hich to me mean

Waking onl who e ca e here e can act all fore ee

hast whe good are and hast when mber of pla er, whe

n mber of pricing oice ill be, and make ome aststempt

ast doing hori onwal merger g ideline anal i.

That di Kinokion bek een inno akion markek on Khe one hand and f k re good markek i er greak, and a far a I m concerned, one Khak hold Krie and be ell, and Khe okher hold giekl go a a.

Thank 9.

MR. FRANKENA: Thank o . I w follo ing p on what, I d like wo a k Ann a g e wion. Lew a me back in 1995, whe and it was a gencie had complewed li wened wo haw Dick aid, and had aid what henceforth, in going for ard, e on w be defining inno awion marker. We whink inno awion i important and e whink competition ma affect whe rake of inno awion, b w e re now going wo delineage inno awion marker, o e don w and or waff

1 \ o	be	doing	₩ha₩.
--------------	----	-------	-------

M g e Wkion for Ann i hawk difference old

Khawk ha e made in Wkhe wk pe of in e Wkigawkion, wkhing

like wkhawk?

MS. MALESTER: I Khink Khere are ma be K o e ample KhaK I can e Ko ho o h I Khink Dick approach pre enK enormo danger Ko compeKiKion, KhaK o ld allo merger Ko proceed, KhaK I Khink o ld ha e reall, reall erio anKi-compeKiKi e impacK.

The fir K might be imilar Ko Khe ca e Khak Khe

J Kice Deparkment bro ghk, and I Khink ik a d ring Khe

Kime Ske e a Khere, Lockheed/Norkhrop Gr mman.

IK a reall a Kep f rKher back from Khe
Boeing e ample KhaK Dick and Chairman PiKof k Kalked
abo K, here Khe J Kice Deparkmenk rai ed Khe concern
KhaK Khere ere onl Khree companie lefk in Khi co nKr
KhaK reall had Khe capabilikie of de igning milikar
aircrafk, and if Lockheed ere permikked Ko b Norkhrop
Gr mman, KhaK o ld be red ced Ko K o.

There ere no pecific plan for a fighter aircraft, b K a e all kno, in militar proc rement, Khere are e ent alitie Khat ari e Khat er gickl K rn Khe Defen e Department into needing omething ne to meet a ne militar challenge.

E en Kho gh Khere an K a f K re good , a

pecific program or prod of on the draing board, both

the J Kice Department and the Defen e Department felt

er trongl that one of the rea on to block that

merger a to pre er e the capabilitie of de igning and

prod cing a partic lar eapon platform.

I Whink What i a Wep ork of remo ed from

Dick po ition of e ha e to ha e a pecific good What
e are looking at, e en if the fir tone ha n to been

prod ced et. I Whink it a ca e What if allo ed to

proceed o ld ha e er erio anti-competiti e effect

for o r econom, and in What ca e, for o r national

ec rit.

The econd point I and to make i mo ing e enf frither back a a from the fit re good market, and thi ma be omething that i pecific to the pharmace tical ind tr, and that an ind tr that I did a lot of ork in, bit ma be applicable to other. I m not re.

That i apart from looking at hat the impact of eliminating the merger i on the ft re good market, i it going to mean that there ill onl be one companelling a prodot at one point.

There i ack al compektikion going on d ring whe re earch and de elopment pha e hen to companie kno what whe are both tr ing to de elop whe dr g, and and to get appro al fir to. The e companie ill, for

1	e ample, do clinical Krial KhaK in ol e man more
2	pawkienk , wo make re whe gew a broader range of
3	pakkienk ikh differenk kind of erio ne of di ea e.
4	The kind of clinical Wrial Whe do, Whe
5	amo nK of efforK and o Kreach Ko paKienK Khe do, Khere
6	are benefix right no in the pre ent of ha ing to
7	companie compeKing Ko de elop a dr g, compleKel
8	eparake from khe ack al benefik , pokenkial benefik ,
	What e are now re pnow re pnow r -5.1fTD(e ample, do clinic

1	Ye . There ill be a good market, b to that
2	good marketk i a long a off. U all otk ide of hat
3	e normall con ider Ko be iKhin Khe reach of SecKion
4	of whe Clawkon Acwk.

Inno aktion markek i an immediake kep ko idenkif here khe acki ik of khe k o companie come kogekher and here khere mighk be an ack al red ckion.

In whe cae what Dick p w for ard, where ill be le inno awion competition in de eloping whi ne engine ome ear off, hich ill wran lawe in elf inwo o wo w.

If o ank to a , no, no, that not an inno attion market, that a f t re good market, fine. No e are talking emantic .

MR. RAPP: Are e Walking emanWic When if e r le o W Whe application of Whe inno attion market concept to the who were the concept to the conce

I ee Khak a a harp di Kinckion. In okher ord, m primar crikeria i Khak Khe anal i nok deal ikh i e like Khe degree Ko hich R&D pending ill be c k back or red ced. Ik ha ko do ikh an anal i of ome f k re, ome predickion abo k a f k re good markek.

1	on Whe Wable i for an airplane Whaw reg ire ad ance in
2	cience and ad ance in makerial cience or omekhing
3	here Winhere i ome probabiliW Winhaw engine on W ork.
4	So, o don K kno iKh cerKainK Khere ill be ch an
5	airplane in need of _ ch an engine.

I old be grio if Whe paneli W generall cold i W peak Woho Whaw anal i might change if Whaw ere Whron in.

MR. SUNSHINE: I Khink ik an e emkial park of Khe anal i . Again, Khe idea ha gok ko be a foc on hak e ackl are Khe incenki e of Khe parkie , and hak effeck i Khe combinakion going ko ha e, fackor in Kerm of are Khere efficiencie Khak come from ik, Khe nece ar in e Kmenk , beca e of Khe i e of Khe projeck and Khe ri k of Khe projeck, hekher ik reg ire one firm in Kead of K o.

All who e con iderawion go inwo whe gewion of hewher whi make en e or now. For all whe rea on e ha e walked abo we before, appling wraight hori onwal merger gideline wandard wo whi inno awion gewion i mi placed.

If Within projects i orik Withaws Wisk of firm need Without Michael with the Michael M

LeW me Wop Where. I m _ re Dick doe n W feel

1	differenKl	abo K iK.	Ι	don ₩	kno	,	Ann,	if	9	ha	е	a
2	differenK	ie .										

MS. MALESTER: IK eem Ko me iK i nok onl

Whe ge Kion of i Khe Kandard KhaK Dick p K for ard

reg iring KhaK e kno KhaK a parkic lar prod oK be

cce f l, KhaK Khe ill in fack de ign an engine,

hich I Khink reall i a prekK e Kreme po iKion, b K I

o ld Kake Khe added Kep of a ing if Khere are onl a

er mall n mber, in Khi ca e, K o companie, KhaK ha e

Khe Kechnolog and kno ho and e perki e Ko de ign

aircrafk engine, Khen e ho ld be concerned abo K

allo ing KhaK merger for f K re aircrafk engine

de elopmenk KhaK aren K e en eK on Khe dra ing board,

and KhaK a reall h I bro ghK p Lockheed/NorKhrop.

SKealKh Kechnolog . Thak a anokher rea on

h Khe Defen e Deparkmenk and J Kice Deparkmenk felk ik

a crikical Ko keep a n mber of companie Khak kne ho

Ko --

MR. RAPP: The gick mentKal anal i KhatK o did to reach KhatK concl ion doe n K reall ha e m ch Ko do ith an anal i of hatk i going to happen to R&D effort. It ha to do ith being able to forecate, e en if it i decade do n the road, ho man good are going to be a ailable at the end. I m oka ith that beca e it proce ible in economic, a oppo ed to a ertion

1	abo K he Kher more R&D or le R&D effor ill ari e and
2	hewkher wkhawk a bewowker or a eaker wkhing. Thi lide
3	ome here in Winere, b W I on W bowkher ill Wrawking iwk.
4	A decade or o ago, e had 27 dr g companie,
5	big dr g companie . The e da , e ha e e en of Khem.
6	I an bod prepared Ko a KhaK major lo e Ko R&D
7	efforK ha e ari en beca e of Khe combinaKion of Kho e?
8	I don K Khink e are in a po iKion Ko a KhaK Khe
9	conneckion bek een concenkrakion and inno akion
10	MS. MALESTER: I Whink What a er, er
11	differenK propo iKion Khan haK e ha e reall been
12	Walking abo W., hich i compeWiWion or Whe lack of
13	compeKiKion, noK heKher or noK Khere are 27 or 10, I
14	don K Khink in an grrenK good markeK KhaK old
15	concern .
16	I do Khink I don K Khink an one on Khi
17	panel ha aid KhaK e can look aK Khe dollar penK on
18	R&D and a iK more or iK le and KhaK mean e are
19	going Ko gek a bekker prod ok, e are nok.
20	Tho e are reall now when i $_{\hspace*{-0.1cm} \bullet}$ e on whe wable.
21	The g e Kion i heKher ha ing compeKiKion in de igning a
22	ne eapon Wem, for e ample, i going Wo pro ide Whe
23	prchaer inkh a benknker prodonk in nkhe end, a more

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

I Whink Where are g iWe a n mber of hi Worical

inno aki e, a more radical breakkhro gh.

25

1	a	е	men₩	_9	can	look	aK KhaK	а	е	, K he	do.
---	---	---	------	----	-----	------	---------	---	---	---------------	-----

2 MR. HOVEN: I m John Ho en, J Kice Deparkmenk.

I d like to make the point that each of o ere j to talking abo to tool in the hed, and there are man other, and or are releant for ome prope and not for other. I think the Lockheed/Northrop merger i a good ill tool to that.

The pre_mpKion of Khe inno aKion markeK

anal i i KhaK inno aKion i a er predicKable

proce , j K like b ilding brick . Yo pend Khe R&D

and o geK a prod cK.

A good e ample i Whe e peckawkion what whe kind of inno awkion e are looking awk i inno awkion what has a kno n idenwifiable prod cwk awk whe end. I whink who ome e wenk, what a wax e and who ome e wenk, what a nowk awk all war e in Lockheed/Norwhrop, what inno awkion in man ind warie i a proce of idea coming whogewher in ne pecked a and o and who pre er e an ind war war owk re what allo what wo comming to happen, and in parkic lar, in ome cae, an inno awkion war owk re what generawke idea, prod cwk what nobod can whink of ewk.

I Whink Whe general proce of inno awtion anal i o ghw wo be one in hich one ing ire ho doe inno awtion wake place in whi parkic lar ind wor, and mabe in whe kind of approache o are dic ing, b w

1	⊮here	are	a	loĸ	of	oKher	KhaK	ho	ld	be	е	amined	a
2	ell.												

MR. SUNSHINE: I Whink cerwainl in whe frame ork what I p w forwh, and I whink in whe frame ork what ack all M ri p w for ard in whe watement, i whe recognition of haw o aid i e ackl right.

The g e Kion i hold e e amine Khe inno aKion markeK and nder hak circ m Kance can e ack all accompli h inno aKion effeck. IK a mall minorik of ca e . If o can k ha e khe confidence ko predick ik, khen o hold go home.

Once o a Khak, Khen if o re Kalking abook inno aki e acki ik, Khere are Khree cakegorie of hak can happen. Ik can be inno akion khak ill be ed in Khe f k re prod ck. Ik can be inno akion khak are ed in e i king prod ck, or ik can be inno akion khak on k be ed ak all.

That la K cakegor I don K Khink ankikr K enforcement hold care abo K. For Khe fir K K o cakegorie, no e are Kalking abo K legal Kheorie, i ik a compektiki e effect of a good market, i ik a pokenkial compekticion ca e. I don K care hat khe an er Ko Khak i. When o gek inko a co rk, o are going ko ha e ko ha e de eloped o r legal Kheor and p K ik for ard.

1	To me, KhaK Khe conneckion. Find Khe
2	inno aKi e effecK, p K iK iKh confidence, nder Khe gi en
3	ewK of faceK , if g can . If g can wK, g ho ldn wK be
4	in Whe b ine . IdenWif an o Wp W effect, and When
5	₩here a ca e.
6	MR. RAPP: Ma I j K add a enKence iKh KhaK
7	in mind? IK i perfeckl con i Kenk iKh Khe majorik
8	KaKemenK in Gen me, b K I Khink, and Khi i Khe
9	Kro bling park from m Kandpoink, Khak ik i al o
10	con i KenK iKh Khe di enK, in KhaK Commi ion Thomp on
11	ma hae ed Khe ame rea oning and had fficienK
12	confidence in hi abilik and khak of hi ad i or and
13	Kkaff Kko ee Khrogh Khe e i e . I Khink iK an
14	e ample of hakk can happen hen inno akkion markekk
15	anal i goe rong.
16	MR. SUNSHINE: I agree ikh or crikici m of
17	Commi ion Thomp on di enK, and I Khink iK i rong.
18	I Wink in Whank di ennk, he doe make a Wiraighnk analog
19	MR.a good markent, for the rea on e ha e thalked about,
20	Whank i improper. If Whank ere Whe polic of Whe

1	"anal i," of Kr ing Ko rea on o K Khe effeck on
2	incenKi e and o forKh?
3	MR. RAPP: Ye . I m comfortKable itKh
4	"anal i " a long a ikk reache khe concl ion khak i
5	f nowkionall withe eg i alenok of nowk making inno awkion
6	market polic .
7	One of Withe rea on Withaw I admire haw withe
8	Chairman KaKemenK doe i KhaK iK rea on en ibl b
9	anal ing Withe incenwii e Wio an o Wicome Withaw a lew
10	lea e K hi alone, and ha K I find hard K 0 imagine, and
11	ma be it Withe hortkage of imaginatkion on m partk, I ha e
12	a greak deal of re peck for or former chairman ho old
13	ad ocawe whi polic , of Swke en and Rich Gilberwk, ho are
14	Whe parenW , b W I cannoW imagine a ell rea oned
15	economic KaKemenK a KaKemenK KhaK i ell rea oned
16	in economic Windex a and no lew inwer ene.
17	MR. FRANKENA: Taking a broader ie here, one
18	of or paneli K roke in khe mid-1990 ankikr K la
19	and merger enforcement in parkic lar ha e not foc ed
20	fficienkl on khe con eg ence of markek po er for khe
21	pace of ind Krial inno aKion.
22	I m j K ondering broadl heKher o Khink
23	Whe agencie are doing or of whe right amo nw, woo
24	m ch, and o forKh.
25	MR. SUNSHINE: Tho e ord _o nd Krikingl

familiar. I don K kno here Khe came from.

in ol ed.

1	₩he fac≪	₩ha₩ e	are onl	doing iK	in™ko ♥K o ♥Ko	one
2	merger ,	o iK m	₩ be ber	nign. AKK	lea K in m	ie , ₩he
3	oppo i Ke	i Kre	•			

MS. MALESTER: I Whink reall Whe reading of haw What global report means and haw Whe Chairman, I Whink, all ded Wo, i impl Whe con en What an nilaweral Wheor i b far whe mow likel if e are going Wo appl an inno awion market aw all, and generall peaking, o are looking aw a er mall n mber of companie What ha e whe peciali ed a ew, o wo peak, wo be inno awing in whe market before o are e en warking wo whink abow nilaweral wheorie. That reall a whe impack of what.

MR. RAPP: I don K di agree.

MS. MALESTER: In Kerm of or point of e, it radical beca e here it make a difference, e ill j K K rn it aro nd and a it make a difference, and from m point of ie, beca e I Khink prokecking compektion here it make a difference i important, I think it i the right place to p K or effort.

MR. RAPP: If Khakk Kakkemenkk ere al a prefaced b Khe prior Kakkemenkk, Khak Khe rea on Ko be con er aki e i Khakk e are dealing in a realm of ncerkaink hen e can k be all Khak confidenk Khak khe deci ion Khak e make ill be Khe correck one, if ik

1	eren K for KhaK, I co ld go along ea il . The
2	combination of tho ett othing thogether thrike me a
3	problemaKic, m onl poinK.
4	MR. SUNSHINE: Le me a k o one g e Kion Kied
5	Ko Gen me. S ppo e KhaK Khe dr g in g e Kion did noK
6	g alif for orphan dr g KaK . Doe KhaK change Khe
7	o Kcome of Khe ca e? Orphan dr g KaK , for Kho e of
8	o ho are now pharmace Wical, iW now a inner Wake

1	fair, forgi e me.
2	Are Where or ha e Where been in Kerackion
3	bek een khe agencie abo k khe difference in khe
4	applicakion of ik? I on k a k for pecific . Thak
5	Whe compari on. Ob io 1, Whe FTC, iWh iW foc on
6	dr g gek a lok more ca e here enkr barrier are high
7	and Wing like Whaw. Imgrio Wokno abow hewher
8	or now where i inweraction between whe agencie on
9	enforcemenk of inno akion markek .
10	MR. FROEB: Now pecific cae, b K
11	cerKainl on general polic makKer , I d a e .
12	MR. WERDEN: None What I parkicipaked in.
13	MR. SUNSHINE: I Kho ghk Greg and L ke ere
14	orking on Khe 2004 inno akion markek merger g ideline .
15	MR. FRANKENA: Thank o er m ch. I d like
16	Ko Khank boKh o r paneli K and Khe a dience.
17	(Appla e.)
18	UNILATERAL EFFECTS
19	MR. FROEB: Welcome Ko Khe e ion on
20	nilaKeral effecK anal i . We ha e fi e peaker
21	₩oda .
22	We ha e Greg Leonard. He here. I kno he
23	here. We ill Kark ikh Valerie Raba a from Khe

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

E ropean Commi ion, follo ed b Joe Kakkan, Greg Werden,

and Tad Lip k , and Khen Greg Leonard, if he get here in

24

25

1	₩ime.	He	ill	bring	n	₩he	rear	There	he	i	
L	#7TIII⊂•	116	\perp \perp \perp	DI 1119 _	Ρ	#711C	rear.	THETE	116		

I ank Ko Khank Khe paneli K for agreeing Ko come on relaki el hork nokice. I al o ank Ko Khank Khe Kaff ho ha p k Kogekher Khi conference, and al o orked on Khe enforcemenk daka, gekking Khe enforcemenk daka kogekher, and relea ing Khak a park of o r de ire Ko be more Kran parenk Ko Khe e Kernal bar and Khe e Kernal comm nik .

I al o ank Ko ackno ledge Khe effork of m predece or, Da e Scheffman, ho began m ch of Khe ork Khak i no j k coming Ko fr ikion.

B 1999, Whe had di placed Whe Wr cW re cond cW/performance paradigm in ind Wrial organi awion economic, Whinking on pricing and o Wp W coordinawion in oligopolie had e ol ed con iderabl from Whe ie Whaw iw made coordinawion almo W ine iwable.

While economi K ne er enKirel rejecKed coordinaKed effecK Kheorie for merger, Khe did rejecK e cl i e reliance on Khem, and Khe had more pla ible Kheorie for man ca e . Th , iK a noK rpri ing KhaK nilaKeral effecK anal i appeared prominenKl in Khe 1992 hori onKal merger g ideline , hich ere joinKl prom lgaKed b Khe FTC and Khe DeparKmenK of J Kice.

The nilakeral effect anal i aki fied khe akkorne demand for imple ink ikion khak khe cold

1	nder Kand and e plain Ko a j dge, and ak Khe ame Kime,
2	iK aKi fied Khe economi K demand for more rigoro
3	anal i . IK a n K long before economi K began ing
4	Kr cK ral Kheorekic model ko make g ankikaki e
5	predickion of_ nilakeral compekiki e effeck .

In a price exking model, price goe p. In an opkion model, firm don k ha e ko bid a aggre i el ko in. In a bargaining model, khe merged firm gain bargaining po er. In khe eg alik ekking model, ell, e learned khak merger aren k all profikable ikh eg alik ekking model.

The conkro er __ rro nding_ nilakeral effeck anal i ha foc ed on khe applicakion of kr ck ral model ko indi id al ca e , kheir main irk e i khe force a _ mpkion ko be made e plicik and khe pro ide a clear mapping for khe fack of khe ca e ko khe effeck of khe merger.

The horkcoming i Whatk Whe model are nece aril nreali Kic and ab Krack a a from Khe important feat re of the ind Kr . A _ ch, the re_lt ma be gite mi leading.

Unfork nakel, e ha e likkle e idence on hekkher khe e model can acc rakel predick khe effeck of real merger. In kead, e are lefk ikh conkro er abo k hak i khe be k a ko anal e nilakeral effeck

- 1 ₩heorie .
- 2 What follo i e ill hear from Valerie
- Raba a, Jo eph KaKKan, Greg Werden, Tad Lip k , and Greg
- 4 Leonard on Whi and more general Wopic . Lew Warw off
- 5 iKh Valerie.
- 6 MS. RABASSA: Thank g, Mr. Chairman.
- The Kome Kalk aby Kokhe Lagardere ca e. IK a
- a anal ed b Winhe E ropean Commi ion. IW i one of
- 10 Whe leading 2003 ca e of Whe media ind Wr.
- 11 Lew me Kalk abo K Khe Kran ackion. IK a er
- imple. The Kran ackion concerned a Kran ackion bek een
- 13 Whe fir WK and econd pla er of WKhe book ind WKr. The
- 14 book ind Kr in E rope i charackeri ed b a er high
- le el of erKical in Kegra Kion. Differen Kanimal in Khi
- ind Wr. In Whe p Wream markew, o ha e Whe
- p bli hing right, and ho ell the right to the
- p bli her, and di Wrib We Whe book Wo Whe reWailer ho
- di Krib Ke or ell Khe book Ko Khe final con mer. And
- gi en Wiche parkic larik of Whe e ca e a an economekric
- 20 🖔 f7h -8rie. op folrKhe pommi ion.b aProfe lrKar
- I ldoi and diw & f7e. oa cal l Whe Eeferc of Whra h ad
- 123Tj11.72K212re-2 ind Kunkie ado nekire) ainmikine geogem miking rbecą e ci a he

1	a final deci ion. In Khi Khe ill in effeck
2	mea re, a o kno , whe enwire concenwration, whe fi
3	in price, and Withen e ha e Withe impack Wito Withe final
4	con mer ho prod ce and choo e a profix of the firm.

So Khi a Khe lanch iKh VUP, if Lagardere had decided Ko increa e price ob io l nilaKerall, ome of Khe final con mer old K rn Ko Khe oKher compeKing p bli her, ho ma reach VUP. So I e al a Kho ghK of Khe concenKraKion iKh VUP ha hared a b idiar of Lagardere Ko accepK park of Khi compeKiKi e pre re, and o can reco er park of Khe e c Komer.

In Khi cae, e e a er Krong model, Khe ne Ked logic model, hich i g iKe adapKed Ko Khe book ind Kr, hich i characKeri ed b differenKiaKed prod cK. The logic model, a o kno, i from Khe famil of Khe di creKe choice model, hich a er inKere King in Khi cae becae ob io l o cannok b Koo eminal a book.

The con_ mer in Khi ca e made a di creKe choice, a model, a differenk ek of economi k and Khen choo e a book on Khe concerned li k. Sim lakion i con i kenk ikh khe Berkrand Model of compekikion and khe e kimakion a khree kage leak- g ared.

So whe rea on hink g inkere wing i

1	beca e e find KhaK Khe end price of Khe book ere
2	ignificanKl increa ed in Khe do n Kream egmenK.
3	Ob io 1, e ere looking at the con mer rpl and e
4	find KhaK con mer rpl ill al o fall ignificanKl,
5	hich a eg i alenK Ko a er negligible parK of Khe
6	K rn-o er of ind Kr in Khe field I m generall Kied Ko.

What a rong ob io l Where i What Whe price increa e a linked ith Whe market i e, and e ere able to recon Kit Ke Khe market i e in Khi ca e.

Again, e decided Ko recei e -- Khe re lK
ere g iKe rob K Ko incorporaKe iK inKo Khe final
deci ion, and e ha e ed a book Krap mekhod Ko
con Kr cK confidence inKer al Khak are g iKe ofken ed
in Khe economekric field and e find Khak Khere i onl
fi e percenk ariabilik Khak Khe price ri e Ko Khe
concenkrakion Khak co ld be incl ding ignificank a
ignificank inker al of pl or min one percenk, ikh
Khe mean al e of Khe price change.

Re lw ere giwe rob w beca e of whe er high n mber of ob er awion wo aw lea w 10,000. The difference waxi wical wraiw ere giwe ignificant, and whe main parameter, I mean whe marginal ATAF of a gi en book and whe inwra-brand correlation ere giwe wable. Alwogewher I feel what rea onable wo giwe rob w a for hen e decide wo incorporate whi econometric w d

1	inKo Khe final deci ion.
2	Thank o er m ch.
3	MR. FROEB: Joe, o re ne ₭.
4	MR. KATTAN: I ank ko kalk abo k, I khink,
5	difference best een tkhe a tkhatk la er tkend tko look at
6	nilaKeral effeck and Khe a economik do, and
7	parkic larl ikh reference ko khe ne model , khe merger
8	im lakion khak are being done increa ingl in
9	nilaKeral effecK ca e .
10	I ank ko begin b j k kalking a likkle bik
11	abo K Khe 1992 g ideline and haK Khe a , and Ko
12	conKra K KhaK a likKle biK iKh Khe ne im laKion model
13	and po e Wine ge Wion he Wher e are a king Wine ame
14	g e Kion , KhaK i do Khe g ideline gi e g idance or do
15	Withe e im lawkion model an erwihe ame gewion withawk
16	Whe g ideline are a king.
17	I Wink in prewe clear Whank Whe 1992
18	g ideline reall changed Khe a KhaK people look aK
19	nilaKeral effecK before 1992. UnilaKeral effecK ere
20	ba icall Kho ghK of in Kerm of monopol or dominance,
21	and Withe in ight of Withe g ideline a Witham, nilaweral
22	effect can ari e o t ide the monopol contet.
23	The merger of Daimler and Chr ler doe now

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

e act ha e the ame effect a the merger of Daimler and

BMW, and if \mathbf{o} ere \mathbf{j} \mathbf{K} looking a \mathbf{K} HHI and marke \mathbf{K}

24

25

	_								
1	hare ,	Q	ma	qeK	а	mi	leading	an	er.

Some people Kho ghK e ere rek rning Ko _ bmarketK , hich a a tKerm tKhatK had been di creditKed atK lea K iKhin Khe BelK a . CerKainl , if I ere likkigakking a ca e on behalf of khe agencie , I o ld rel on Whe _ bmarkeW nomenclaw re impl beca e iw i omething that the cort are familiar ith and are comforKable iKh, regardle of heKher KhaK nomenclaK re reall make a low of en e in werm of whe a e do whe _ nila#Keral effec#K anal i .

I Whink it is certainl Whe cale What inclion of nilateral effect a Whe mo we important change in merger la ince whe 1982 g ideline, and had a er profo nd effect on merger enforcement, and it a n we what er long ago what one cold hear people walking abo we hewher nilateral effect a in fact whe onlaid where for looking at merger. I whink who pend I m hang back a limber bit.

I Khink ik fair Ko a Khak hak e a in Khe g ideline i a mkhe i of good economic Kheor, b k al o prackical i dgmenk abo k khe g alikie of khe kool e ha e a ailable ko in merger in e kigakion, and ho refined a i dgmenk e can make abo k khe effeck.

To repeak er briefl hak khe g ideline a,

nok ko kake khe ob io , b k ko gi e a benchmark for

hat e are looking at, whe gideline foc on pacial eparation bet een prodot and prodot pace. The foc i on locali ed competition here certain eller compette more clo el ith one another than ith other eller ho are in the ame prodot market.

According to the g ideline, that reg ire that there be a ignificant et of hare of con mer ho regard the prod ct of the merging partie a their fir t and econd choice, and certainl the a that la er go about appling the g ideline i b a king er often about het e are dealing ith fir t and econd choice. That one of the fir t creen one applie hen the ing to look at a case for the fir t time.

We have a 35 percent creen for the merged entities market hare, the debate hether that is a afe harbor or omething ele, but in fact, e have een cae here the market hare ere lo er than that that have been bro ght.

I Whink Whe e refleck a pragmatkic Kradeoff

betk een g alik of the anal Kical Kool Khatk are

a ailable in merger in e Kigatkion and the theor. It i

certainl po ible to arg e, in fact, it ha been arg ed

b Greg Werden and other, that o can ha e an

anti-competiti e effect in a merger here the merging

partie are not the firt and econd choice of

1	g Womer, and g can cerWainl dra Whe Wheore Wic	al
2	pick re khak o ld be pporked and ha e n mber ko	
3	ppork ik.	

The g e Kion cerKainl in 1992, and not accomking for all de elopment and the anal Kical Kool Khat ha e Kaken place ince Khen, a hat are the Kool Khat e ha e and ho refined a j dgment can e make, and the j dgment a, at lea K at Khat point, e are going to look at ne K be K b Kit Ke.

No , whe a what whe la er whend who approach when i e of nilaweral effects i , I whink, familiar who mo we people here. Yo look aw markew, fir we of all.

Yo define a markew, and o do what beca e now onl whe gideline a what, but Section 7 ca e la a what.

More broadl , I Whink doing an anwigr K ca e iWho K defining haw Whe markew i i a recipe for dia Wer.

There a a recent ca e in ol ing Ramb

Compan Khak i in Khe ne , here Infinion bro ghk bokh

ankikr k and fra d claim again k Ramb . The ankikr k

claim ere Khro n o k beca e Infinion forgok ko define

a geographic markek for memor chip kechnolog , omekhing

Khak probabl o ld ha e kaken k o paragraph in khe

e perk repork .

I Whink economi W of Wen Wake Whe po i wion what

L	defining market i perflo if the can get to the
2	an er more direckl , and khak ma or ma nok be righk,
3	b K cerKainl from Khe ie poinK of Khe co rK , if o
1	don K define Khe markek, o ma find or elf o K in Khe
5	KreeK, gi en a ca e like <u>Infinion .</u> Ramb , here Khe
5	definition of the geographic market a clearl a red
7	herring.

Once o define Whe market, I Whink Whe la er for on Whe i e of ne W be W b WiW We. Wh?

Beca e What hat Whe g ideline a , and Whe anal i i dri en b inter ie and doc ment. A la er fetti h i Wo a k for a Woo man doc ment o What o can ha e bo e and bo e of doc ment Wacked p in Whe hall a .

I Khink Khere i a crikig e Khak one hear from Khe economi K Khak la er e model b K don K arkic lake Khem ikh fficienk parkic larik .

Cerkainl, Khe legal mekhod doe nok reg ire arkic lakion of Khe model Khe a Khe economic mekhod doe, and hen o look ak Khe economic model, ik Kell o hak Khe a mpkion are and if a mpkion are nok made, hak Khe limikakion are. La er don k Kell o e ackl hak Khe model are.

The model effectKi el if e look for ignificantK marketK hare. We look for ne tK be tK

effect of the internal attion of lo ale. That i

ale that old has been lot to the merger partner

that no i internal ed a a replic of the merger, the

effect of that on the merged firm incent e.

5

6

7

8

9

10

IK cerkainl Kr e Khak Khe economic model pell o K Kheir a mpKion iKh greaker parkic larik .

La er ha e an ad ankage. The deci ion maker and Khe co rk are la er . Yo cannok ha e a legal arg menk Khro n o K on Da berk gro nd , o kno , khe economic model , hich ma be eg all alid, are _ bjecked ko a differenk kiegk0 ki" cr kin arekegk8a

1	The in in a Kion are itch, , and I Khink Khe
2	are going to be ith for a long time, hetcher or not
3	Whe la er like iW, e are going Wo ha e Wo deal iWh
4	iK.

Modeling im lawion can be m k al if e are all comforwable what whe are awk ned to whe marked realiwie, what whe are ba ed on defen ible a mpwion, and what ob io l here whe debawe ha been.

One criwici m ha been what a mall difference in whe a mpwion can make er big difference in whe re lw. The an er what I whink o ill hear from whe economi w i ell, e ha e difference a of doing whing, e hold probabl do in more whan one a and impo e ome kind of realist check on or anal i and alow to be who mews hod what when w con er awi e from whe wandpoint of whe park what o are.

I ll po e Whe g e Wion heWher Where i a con er aWi e a mpWion from Whe WandpoinW of Whe plainWiff, a all Whe e model eem Wo be de igned Wo ho a price increa e.

Park of Khe i e Khak I ha e i price increa e are a med in Khe e model ba ed on Krok ral a mpkion, e en Khogh e are Kring Kornaa from Krok ral anal i.

The g e Kion i hak are e Kr ing Ko ho

here? Are e Kr ing Ko ho hak Khe magnik de of Khe price increa e i . I Khink e er bod ill Kell o no, Khak nok hak e are Kr ing Ko ho , b K I Khink Khak here ik come o K.

When o get omebod telling o that I can predict ith 95 percent degree of confidence that o ha e a price increa e of 7.4 percent, o kno, ome if it e en ha a decimal point, it ond o precie that o can debate that. Of core, there a footnote that a, ell, if o accept all m a mption and that al o before I take into accomt i e like repointing of prodott entry and efficiencie.

There i a ri k, I Whink, of fal e empirici m

here o ha e Khe e re lk hich are o preci el gi en

iWh high degree of confidence and decimal poink, o

kno, omebod i Welling o Whi i Whe price increa e

Whe are going Wo geW.

I Whink Whi i fairl parado ical, b W Whe economi W approach i more likel Wo idenWif problem iwh a merger Whan Whe more WradiWional a Whe la er do, impl beca e Whe model are de igned Wo predicW a price increa e, and beca e Whe e model ill predicW a price increa e, e en in relawi el nconcenWrawed markew, I Whink Whe Well omewhing, hich i eiwher Whe model ha e a problem or Whe g ideline are rong

beca e whe model ill predicw a price increa e in

iw awion here whe merger old now e en gew a econd

look nder whe gideline, whing what are belo 1500.

The economick an eri ell, e are now reall Kring Ko predick Khe price increa e, e ha e Ko Kake in Ko effeck en Kr repo i Kioning and efficiencie, b K in fack, if o accept Khi me Khodolog, Khen hak o ha e done i reall hif Ked Khe b rden of proof Ko Khe merging parkie, beca e o ha e aid on Khe ba i of ome da Ka anal i Khak o ha e a price increa e nle Khe e o Kher fackor come in Ko pla, o no plea e pro e Ko me Khak Khi price increa e ill now happen.

In fack, we reall a we whe parkie in mo we call a we wrong the repositioning, becare an bod what had done a low of merger ill kno what mo we merger, whe efficiencie what e ee are now efficiencie what affect whe incremental co we in a meaningf la. Mo we of whe efficiencie what I e een wend we be o erhead nergie. The are er important and whe are er real. The dried merger economic efficiencie, by we we when end of whe da, whe are now whe we peof efficiencie o can e peof we wrong had a defene of law re orw. In er hard we ho enwer, parkic larl hen o are walking abo we differentiated or own and we have of own and we have able to a see we walking abo we differentiated or own market, here of the weak of the end of who enwer, parkic larl hen o are

1	ha e	lar	rge	in	e Kme	enK	inKe	egra k e	ed	iKh	di	Lffe	erenK:	iaKio	n,
2	₩ha₩	i	pro	omo∰	Cion,	ad	erKi	ing,	and	al	0	di	Krib	₩ion	
3	arran	ıgen	nen⊮	ζ.											

The parkie are no in a poikion of being Kold or merger i anki-compektikie, o ha e ko pro e ik nok going ko ha e a price effeck, and reall or re ork i repoikioning. Repoikioning i per a i e. Ik common. Ak khe ame kime, e are in effeck hifking implicikl khe b rden of proof ko khe merging parkie b effeckiel a ming a price increa e and reall a ing khe onl i e i ho big a price increa e.

Anowher g e Kion Ko a k i haw happen Ko Khe ne K be K b Kik Ke anal i . We Kalked aw Khe beginning abo K haw Khe g ideline a . The g ideline a , ell, e look aw hewher khe prod ow of the merging parkie are fir K or econd choice of the large hare of the c Komer , and haw e ind p ith are legit model , and reall in Kead of being differentiated b prod ow awkrib ke or b promowional i e , prod ow are differentiated b Wheir ale le el. That i whe form of differentiation khaw khe anal i a me beca e Khaw i reall haw e are looking aw.

Of core, e are a ming idenWical croela WiciWie of all prod cW iWh re peck Wo a gi en prod cW, hich gi en Whe a mpWion Whaw ome prod cW

1	are c	clo e	er	b₩	(iy	⊈ ≰e	₩o	ead	ch	oKher	≰han	oKher	,	iΚ
2	eem	₩o	a•K	lea	ΨK	rai	е	ome	i	_ e .				

The more comple model , whe impo e great cown. The hale an in attiable appetrice for data. There are if e inthe ing retail le el data a a profor hole ale competricion.

Da e Scheffman and owkher ha e p w wogewher a er dewailed anal i of whaw, and iw deal bowth iwth implementation i e , haw do o do hen a price increa e wake place in mid-eek, b w whe daw o ha e collect price on a eekl ba i , a ell a concept al i e , whaw mo w hole ale price no reall are w o park wiered and relawi el fi ed and a ariable element, and ob io l con mer price are an one park wier.

The i e whatk I g e I ill end itch i hetcher whe e model follo whe g ideline. If whe o rce of anti-competitie e nilateral effect what whe g ideline are walking about ha woo do itch whe pacial eparation of prodow, are e reall ob c ring what i e b looking at model what are defining differentiation effects el ba ed on whe le el of ale, are e al o hifting whe b rden of proof wo whe merging partie implicitl b doing what.

I a ack all hearkened ko ee khak one of khe recenk pre enkakion L ke did ho ed en iki ik ko khe

co K of doing Khe e K pe of anal e . The fack i 1 2 ho i doing a merger no i kind of forced Ko do KhaK a a defen i e mea, re beca, e Khe economi K are 3 going to come to g and a , ell, thi i 4 hatK DOJ i going to be doing, and e need to do thi beca e 5 owkher i e, e are going woo be nprepared for wheir ca e. 6 7 anowher b rden of merger enforcement on top of the 8 endle bo e of dog men#K .

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

M feeling i Khak Khe c rrenk model are going Ko ha e problem in co rk, b k ak Khe ame Kime, mo K ca e are decided in fronk of Khe agencie, and parkie ill be foolhard Ko ignore Khe e model beca e Khe ha e become park of Khak deci ion making proce, and hak need Ko be done, hich i er diffic lk beca e e don K ha e Khe in e KigaKi e Kool Ko look ak con mmaked merger and ee hak happen and calibrake Khe a mpkion in Khe e model Ko ack al markek re lk, i Ko Kr Ko refine Khem and make Khem bekkker.

MR. FROEB: Thank o, Joe. Valerie, j K beca e Joe rai ed Khi g e Kion, did o look ak Khe

book Ko reKailer and hole ale a Khe ale of book Ko

Khe final con mer. We had man problem geKKing Khe

righK daKa. We can Kalk abo K Khi problem laKer, Khe

problem of geKKing daKa.

MR. FROEB: Thank o . Greg?

MR. WERDEN: Before I get to m prepared remark, I and to re pond to a cople of thing Joe aid. The firtone a a erio mig ottation of m ie. He paraphra ed the gideline, hich I ill act all gote to o, and then aid I di agreed. I don to

The enKence I ill g oke a "S b Kankial nilakeral price ele akion in a markek for differenkiaked prod ok reg ire ik ko be a ignificank hare of ale in khe markek acco nked for b con mer ho regard khe prod ok of khe merging firm a kheir fir k and econd choice."

I KoKall agree Khak i a er nice a of p KKing Khe poink, nokice ik aid ignificank hare, nok a large hare, I Khink Joe ank Ko ikch ik Ko large hare, and o don K need a large hare. Second, he ank Ko Khen Kran lake Khi inko a ing Khere i ome global ranking of Khe prod ck, one, Ko, Khree, for, and Khe merging prod ck ha e Ko be clo e K in Khi global ranking. Thak i rong.

1	The cr cial Wind Wo kno i Wind Wind in
2	differenKiaKed prod cK , differenK con mer rank Khe
3	prod oK differenKl . Thank h ome people b one
4	prod ow and ome people ill b anowher one.
5	What the gideline a , hich I totall agree
6	iWth, i are o ing a ignificank n mber Wthaw i
7	ie ed a $ ext{K}$ he fir $ ext{K}$ and econd choice .
8	Secondl , he gge Ked KhaK modeling, Khe kind
9	Withaw economi W do, merger im lawion and owher Whing,
10	i more likel Wo find merger problemaWic Whan haWe er
11	iK i KhaK la er do in Kead. I find Khi a remarkable
12	wKawKemenwK beca e wKhawK ji wK wKowKall conwKrar wKo m
13	e perience.
14	One of Withe rea on L ke and I gow in Withi 12
15	ear ago a Wine la er had Wiremendo l e aggeraWied
16	nowkion of likel anwki-compewkiwki e effecowk of merger ,

1	modeling, b K hen mo K of Khe modeling aid Khere a no
2	ignificanK effecK, e didn K bring Khe ca e, in e er
3	ingle one of Kho e ca e KhaK I kno of.
4	I Khink modeling ha probabl kepK Khe agencie
5	from bringing ome cae, alkho gh ik mighk hae ca ed
6	Whem Wo bring ome owher one Whe hold have broughw,
7	and in botth ca e , tthatt a for tthe betteter.
8	SKarking ikh m prepared remark , khe concepk

1	er	pla	ible Wine	merger	ill	ha	е	ome	efficiencie
---	----	-----	-----------	--------	-----	----	---	-----	-------------

- If we have the second of the s
 - Merger im lawion can be an efl a wo foc an in e wigawion or wr b idenwif ing hich fackor or a mpwion reall mawwer. If o can ho in whe modeling e erci e whaw one a mpwion gi e o one re lw, anowher a mpwion gi e o a er different re lw, when it become a er cr cial fack hich i hich of who e a mpwion i whe right one or whe between one. That can be a er efl proce .
 - Yo can also find ome Khing reall don K makker m ch, o o holdn K p K or reorce on fig ring Kho e Khing o K.
 - LimiKaKion. The f ndamenKal limiKaKion i no economic model i going Ko capK re e er n ance of compeKiKion in Khe real orld, b K o don K ha e Ko.

 All o ha e Ko do i capK re eno gh Ko be able Ko ef ll predicK.
 - Second. Price increa e prediction can to be an between than ro gh e timate. There is a hole lot going on that in the model. All kind of thing are going to happen in the orld that of can to be

ankicipake. Yo are onl gi en ome idea of Khe likel effeck of Khe merger, bk Khak a hole lok bekker Khan no idea of Khe likel effeck of Khe merger.

Third. Merger im lawkion i ba icall de igned to predict price effect, po ibl owher hork term effect of the merger. It ne er going to predict the long r n e of thion of the ind the hort the good ne i Section 7 doe n to care that m ch about the long r n e of thion of the ind the high in an e ent, e ha e no tool in la or economic the reall predict er ell in mo to cae, o hat merger im lawkion gi e i omething that the la i looking for, or hat it can gi e.

The baic Kheme of m Kalk i Khak before of earmerger im lakion ak Krial, and for Khak makker, before of eik for an okher proper for hich of give ignificant eight to the act all prediction, it hold have to part an admit ibility creen from the rele of eidence. Rele 702 interpreted by care like Daberk, Joiner, Kmer Tire, and man antityr to care of le prominence.

What I Kake a a from R le 702 and Khe ca e la appl ing it a it applie Ko economic Ke Kimon in ankikr K ca e i Khak Ke Kimon i admi ible if Khe iKne i an e perk in Khe rele ank field of economic,

1	Withawk o might e for im lawkion, alwho gh hardl
2	an bod doe , a now gro nded in whe economic realiw of
3	Whe ind Wer. This and We who can be shown that model
4	perhap , alkho gh I happen ko read khe ca e a a ing
5	₩ Khere ere like i differen a in hich i a n w K
6	gro nded in Khe economic realik of Khe ind Kr , o I
7	Whink all of Whe criwig e ere fix criwig e .

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The cork aid Khere a nowkhing rong model a a matkwer of wheor, it a a g nd method, b tk it a n to the right method for that ca e. I think that e ackl whe kind of ing ir a corw hold do, and I Withink in Withe ca e of Concord Boate, Withe co rte a e actel right, it a n to the right model for that ca e, and in parkic lar, at lea the the a the model a calibrathed b Hall, it predicted that the defendant old not have more Withan a 50 percent hare ithow to engaging in the challenged prackice .

The fact a the defendant had a 75 percent hare before engaging in Whe challenged prackice, and I o, lda #Kha#K gow woo be improper calibration. Now whe onl problem in the model whe co re pointed o w, and ome of Khe okher one I Khink ere imporkank a ell.

ell kno n ca e b ${\tt K}$ perhap a more ell kno n economi K, Frank Fi her Ke Kimon a e c] ded in Whe Book eller ca e for p rpo e of aw lea w dewermining

1	damage , hich a $preKK$	imporKanK in KhaK ca e, beca e
2	ik conkained koo man a	mpKion and implificaKion
3	What ere now pported b	real orld e idence.

I ill now comment on the merit of Fi her

Ke Kimon or Khe co rk anal i of it, beca e I don k

kno eno gh abo k either one, b k I ill comment on the

lang age, ed b the co rk here in e cl ding the

Ke Kimon. I Khink khi i e ackl the right a ko

Khink abo k ome economic e idence and if it i the right

a ko Khink abo k Khak e idence, and the right

concl ion i Khak it hold be e cl ded. If it depend

on koo man a mpkion and implification that are now

pported b real orld e idence, then it doe n the fire the

fack and it in the admitible e idence.

What are the ke element of fitting the fact, and I ill empha i e the e are ke element beca e thi ha to be a cae b cae determination, depending on hat the fact are, hat the model i, and hat o are the ing to do ith the model.

An oligopol model, ed in im lakion ha Ko refleck crikical a peck of compektikion in Khe hork Kerm ak leak. Thak doen k mean ik ha Ko refleck all a peck of compektikion. Ik now going Ko. Yo ha e Ko ha e a ba i for a ing ik refleck khe crikical one.

Some fact ba ed anal i b greper ha Ko

lead Ko Khi concl ion, and he ha Ko be prepared Ko
con ince Khe Krier of fack Khak he i right in Khinking
abo K Khe ind Kr and Khe model in Khi a .

The model al o ha Ko e plain Khe recent pack at a fairl high le el of generalit, e peciall Khe inken it of competition, a I like Ko Khink abo K it, a reflected in price co K margin. There i a lok Khat can be e plained b model, ho Khe ind Kr ha re ponded Ko hock, the le el of price a compared to co K. A model hold be able to e plain Khe e Khing, not nece aril ith e g i ite preci ion. There i no rea on h a model hold e plain da Ko da price mo ement. We don K care abo K da Ko da price mo ement.

The likimanke Ke K, anowher a of re wanking whe fifth reg irement, i what e er modeling choice hold be i wified on ome bai. It cold be diowated b economic wheor, for e ample, whe a mpwion of profit maimi awion, and need no frwher i wificawion. That i haw economic do. If o don want omebod wo a me what, don wall an economic.

IK can be poorked b ind Kr daka, for e ample, if whe model i calibraked properl, what fix whe price and hare of ind Kr, what kind of daka I ha e in mind. Alo, whe daka ma peak wo di er ion rawio or demand ela wiciwie. There are low of

1	differenK	a in	hich model	co ld be	pporKed or
2	re∮ Ked b	da•Ka.			

IK hold be coniKenK iKh K lied fack of Khe ind Kr. Ho doe compeKiKion ork? Whak are Khe imporKanK elemenK of compeKiKion?

If o ha e a K pical differenKiaKed prod cK ca e and iK in ol e a reKail ecKor, ho doe Khe man fack ring ecKor inKerack iKh Khe reKail ecKor and ho doe Khe reKail ecKor beha e?

The e are Whe kind of Wk li ed fack Whank ha e
Who be Wk died before o can properl model an ind Wkr,
and o hold be prepared Who e plain ho Whe fack

pport Whe parkic lar modeling choice.

For rkh, ome modeling choice maker okkobe nimporkank. Yo mabe able kokr a parkic larl differenk choice. There mabe a major difference in choice. Yo mafind ok for khak parkic lar khing, khe model doenk reall makker. Thak i a perfeckl fine i kificakion.

Finall, parkic larl hen o can k do an of khe abo e, ik ma be in addikion ko doing ome of khe abo e, o can j kif a choice b doing a en iki ik anal i and ho ing khak o er khe range of pla ible a mpkion, o ha e picked one khak if o r plainkiff, for e ample, lead ko relaki el mall price increa e

1	projecKion	
上	DIO CONTION	

There are ome modeling choice in merger im lakion khak o are nok going ko be able ko j kif, and khak hak o ha e ko do.

A copple of ill Krakion. Thi i, I Khink, Khe ea ie K and be K one of ome kind of modeling choice Khak o are ne er going Ko be able Koj Kif ba ed on Khe fack of Khe daka, and Khak i hak i Khe a med f nckional form for Khe demand cre?

Here I has e plowked bek een whe compektiki e price o er in whe lo er right and whe monopol price in whe pper left, for demand or e what has e been, ed in merger im lawion. A o can easil ee, going from whe compektiki e price wo whe monopol price, it in ole a a will larger price increase for ome demand or e whan owher.

Where o are going to ee one of the e or perhap ome of the forthing form i hen o do a merger im latkion, and it going to affect in a er b thankial a the price increa e prediction. If I m for the plaintiff, I old be inglinear demand, hich prod ce mall price increa e, ab enth ome throng e idence I can the imagine e er ha ing, that one of the e other finctional form fith between.

A final ill Krakion ha Ko do ikh demand

1	ela KiciKie . In differenKiaKed prod cK , merger
2	im lawkion i a a of wkran lawking wkhe demand
3	ela KiciKie inKo price increa e predicKion . Ob io l ,
4	Kho e predicKion are en iKi e Ko Khe demand
5	ela KiciKie , and iK ho ld be ob jo KhaK e ne er kno
6	e acKl haK Kho e ela KiciKie are.
7	Well, o o hold con ider haw whe price
8	increa e predickion are for a range of ela Kicikie .
9	Here i a er imple ill Krakion of ho Khak mighk ork
LO	for Winhe WorldCom/SprinK merger, here a er Winng
L1	a mpKion abo K demand i made o KhaK e can place
L2	parameker on khi modelji k ba ed on k o ela kicikie.
L3	One i Whe aggregake ela Kicik demand for
L4	re idenKial long di Kance er ice, and Khe oKher i
L5	WorldCom firm le el ela KiciK for iK long di Kance
lße. For	eenchionef. KhHro/nooseach ofletkhee æ eelna KhKonintknige , Khi plokk
a mphal	fe de e he oKa half eaeame

1	combination of parameter , a $4/10$ th of a price increa e
2	on p Ko 1.2 percenK price increa e for Khe a erage price
3	o er Whe hole re idenWial long di Wance, hich of cor e
4	incl de AT&T and all Withe e oWkher liwowkle g hich
5	collecKi el had, I Khink, aro nd 70 percenK a fe ear
6	ago, o WorldCom and SprinK ere aK lea K a relaKi el
7	mall porkion of the ind the ignificant, by
8	relaKi el mall. So e are a eraging o K o er Khe e
9	owkher re idenwial long di wance er ice companie wkhawk
10	are going Ko ha e a Kl maller price increa e Khan Khe
11	merging firm . Thank i h Khe e n mber are o mall.

ea for merger pecific efficiencie Ko amp Khak, and for Khe nek price increa e Ko be negaki e. Up abo e one percenk, Khak nok reall o likel, and Khak probabl a price increa e big eno gh Khak Khe agencie o ld orr abo K ik, and o mighk a er pla ibl Khak WorldCom and Sprink ere clo er b Kik Ke Khan Khi model a me, if o, Khe price increa e o ld be e en bigger, and Khe conkrar i al o correck.

MR. FROEB: Thank o, Greg. Joe, do o ank eg al Kime no or læKer?

MR. KATTAN: I ll aik.

MR. FROEB: Tad?

MR. LIPSKY: Thank , Lyke. IK a greak honor

1	Ko be on Khe ame Kage iKh ch di King i hed
2	prackikioner of khe e ario economic approache ko
3	anKiKr K, and I ha e Ko a in li Kening Ko Khe
4	nilaKeral effeck Kheor , o old almo K be grakef l,
5	I ppo e, Khak o ha e a merger before Khe Commi ion
6	and before Withe DOJ here Withe Witheor i going Wo be
7	inno awkion markewk or powkenwkial compewkiwkion here no
8	Khi i applicable and o can K do im laKion beca e
9	o ha e no c rrenk o kp k for bokh firm . Thak mighk be
10	nice.

MR. WERDEN: Yo need an option model.

MR. LIPSKY: A I aid before, I Khink e are foc ed on Khe rong e -Frenchman. Berkrand a an IUV K d, and I e aid el e here man Kime before, prekk m ch hak pa e for ankikr K economic right no a all in Khe original core nokebook back in 1838. I don K Khink nilakeral effeck ere in Khere. Ma be ik an opkion of Khe Kheor of monopol.

I ank to go at ome pecific i e that ha e been all ded to b that ha en the been rai ed directl. Ma be I can gi e a ome hat different foc .

Who decide and ho effective are tho e economic in the proce . I m going to back o er the fir the principle that I don the remember thinking about ince m fir the da in econometer a an indergrad, and

L	probabl I	ho 1	ld ha e	been Whinki:	ng abo ₩	Withem a low
2	more Whan	I ha e	e, b ₭ I	₩hink i₩ h	elp gro	nd ₩he
3	dig ion	and r	re eal	m approach	₩o ome	ba ic poinK
1	here.					

Fir K, Khere are fack, and ome fack are reall fack, like ho m ch and ho long ik Kake Ko b ild a fackor. There are model hich are ba icall menkal con Kr ck of makhemakical model, oligopol model, hak ha e o. I ha e here ork of khe cla ical model for merger la.

If an ind Kr i more concenKraKed, Khe o Ko K ill be lo er and price higher Khan if iK le concenKraKed, ceKeri parib . Then Khere are KaKi Kical Ke K hich are propo iKion KhaK gro o K of Khe applicaKion of a pecific economeKric meKhod, ed Ko e KimaKe Khe parameKer of a pecific model, and I ha e no idea hak I m a ing here. Ob io 1, Khe iliconba ed idgeK markek doe n K e i K e cepK in m head, b K Khi i Khe kind of a erkion o old find ak Khe end of Khe arkicle back in Khe 1960 and 1970 a Ko hak o ack all needed Ko appl economeKric meKhod Ko a model in a parkic lar ind Kr.

I ank Ko echo omekhing I heard Joe a earlier. Ma be I on K p K Khe ord in or mo Kh. I Khink ik ha do ikh Khe idea Khak e are all deci ion-

maker and e are now all j dge, e are e enwiall la er. There i a cerwain a mpwkion what whe don w ha e eno gh economic or economewric wo nder wand reall haw i comwained in an a erwion like what, and what one of whe problem I m going wo gew wo a liwwell lawer in whe pre enwawion.

The ale of a KaKi Kical Ke K, Khe ale of Khe parameker and all Kho e g are and all Khak neak

K ff Khak Khe economi K roll aro nd and Kr Ko per ade o Khak hak Khe ha e done i greak or i iable ak

lea K, lek me gi e o a er concreke e ample ba ed on omekhing e ha e heard Koda .

We had a de cription of a Katki kical o Kcome for a model e Kimatked in the content of the Book eller merger. I happen not to kno a thing abo to that merger, b to I ill tell o right no, if the combined market hare of tho e to firm in the defined book market are a in the 5, 10, or 15 percent range, I can g arantee o I ill find ome problem ith the econometric model that a pre ented. If o get p to m ch higher market hare and o talk abo to enter and market condition and other ort of to I ed fact abo to the prepared to accept that the known is the known in the content of the condition and other ort of the led fact abo to the prepared to accept that the known is the total region of the conometric error in t

1	ha e a	ignifican¶K	rea on	₩o do	b₩ Withe	alidi₩	of Withe
2	_ nderl	ing model.					

There i ork of Khe red lewker error K pe

Khing. Thak here o gi e or daka Ko Greg Werden

and o Kell him hak or model a and he come back

half an hor lawer and a , oh, o cre ed p, o

forgok Ko adj K for ela Kicik or o ha e Koo man

m lkiple linear ariable or hake er ik i.

E en a ming Khak Khe economekric i done perfeckl, ik doe n k mean an Khing if o ha e a ignificank do bk abo k khe kr kh of khe model.

I Khink Khi ha had a fairl profo nd impack in m profe ional lifeKime in Khe area of merger anal i , hich i hen I emkered grad chool, people I Khink e re beginning Ko ha e do bk abo k Khi long erie of economekric k die ho ing a correlakion bek een concenkrakion and price or concenkrakion and profik , and looking back, I m nok re hich a khe more pop lar, ba ed on o r original krok re condok performance paradigm.

Wh i ik Khak ik a Kho ghk ko appl economekric ko khe e model 30 or 40 ear ago, and no, I khink ik o ld be fair ko a khak kho e k pe of model ha e been fairl khoro ghl di crediked. Ik a proce khak nok onl in ol e "bekker economekric."

I m Winking parkic larl of Porker 1972 Re ie of
Economic SKaKi Kic Paper, here he aid, o can Kake
Whe e regre ion What are being ed a e idence Khat
concenKraKion and profiK are po iKi el correlaKed, and
nowkice whank e en who gh where i a claim for whe alidiwk
of Whaw relawion hip, whe waw wical we we ho whaw whe
coefficienK are mall and Khe KaKi Kical confidence
inker al are rokken.

I e di co ered one rea on h KhaK might be.

We di ided Khe ample in K o gro p , one i orK of

con enience good gro p, here iK a old in dr g Kore

and grocer Kore , a mall amo nK p rcha ed aK an gi en

Kime, er likkle con mer earch beha ior for Khe

charackeri Kic of Khe prod cK, ofKen er bjecki e

charackeri Kic of Khe prod cK. Yo p K KhaK off Ko one

ide.

The parkic lar model Khak had been applied orked prekk ell in Khak ek of ind Krie , b k ik didn k ork ak all in a differenk ek of ind Krie , hich a Khing like car or con mer d rable , here o do ha e ignificank con mer earch beha ior and a lok of khe okher nderl ing ariable repre enked b khe mekhod of rekailing hich ere kho ghk ko be imporkank.

Time marche on. IK now like o p k ik in ak one end of khe lab and gek ik o k ak khe okher and

1	ha	е е	a hi	.gh	degree	of	confider	nce WinaW	iΚ	:	righK.	ΙK
2	m	ch	more	an	ı arK,	a I	[₩hink	omebod	el	е	aid.	

Economic model i criKical Ko Khe emKire

proce of amKiKr K deci ion making beca e o ha e Ko

decide a pecific ca e, and e er liKigamK, a I p K here

in Khe middle, e er liKigamK Kell a Kor, and e er

Kor ha a model. SomeKime Khe model i n KaKed,

hich i er bad, and I agree iKh Khe earlier remark

KhaK Khe foc on Khe nilaKeral effeck of Khe merger

im laKion approache omeKime gek o a long a b

making Khe a mpKion KhaK Khe nderl ing model i

e plicik, al a a good Khing Ko do.

Then Khe a Khe economic model reall come Ko
Khe floor in indicia deci ion making -- iK one of Khe
inKere King phenomena, I Khink, in recenK ankiKr K
j ri pr dence.

In Mark hira, for e ample, whe narro i e decided b whe S preme Co rk a herher an e perk repork abo where possible e i whence of a predarkor pricing con pirac cold be admirated, in the majorite a ing no, beca e in cra, e enwiall, and whe minorite led b J whice white a ing air a min we, have i whe e enwish amendment for? A j r wrial? E perk we wimon?

J Kice Whike anked Ko prekend, for p rpo e

1	of hi er po erf l deci ion and opinion in Mak, hika,
2	We have whe aliding of whee perms where α a facts.
3	I don K Khink Khak Ke Kimon a a fack. IK a
4	ome#Khing differen#K. I#K a a model. I#K a a #Kor
5	abo K a model. IK a a Kor abo K h a model ho ld
6	be applied to partic lar fact, but the fact that the
7	Maw hiwa majoriw aid e are e enwiall enwiwled wo
8	di regard ha Khi e per A . I Khink i A
9	ome Khing of a K rning poinK in anKi-Kr K j ri pr dence.
10	<u>SKaKe Oil . Khan</u> . There i a er e pliciK
11	e ample of a ing rea oning abo K ma im m erKical price
12	agreemenK a rong and, Kherefore, Khe per e r le a
13	rong.
14	Brooke Gro p i kind of inKere King beca e Khe
15	oligopoli Kic di ciplinar pricing model in Brooke Gro p,
16	Khe plaimKiff Kor a Khi i a big na K oligopol,
17	i firm . The malle K firm reall Karked Ko di co nK
18	like cra , and ${\tt K}$ he ${\tt K}$ hird large ${\tt K}$ firm decided ${\tt K}$ o lo er
19	Whe boom on Whe malle W firm, confiden W Whaw Whe leader
20	of Khe markek, R.J. Re nold iKh 38 percenk of Khe

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

Areeda, b K Khe co rK aid Khak co ld fl , ik co ld be an

 $\texttt{markeWK, re peoWiel ,} \quad \texttt{po ld WK} \\ \texttt{William on} \\$

oligopoli Kic di ciplinar pricing Kheor_ nder Khe

co rK, ma be beca e Khe

di cipline licit, latter o ned b Brooke Gro p, and the

ere repre enKed b Phil

21

22

23

24

25

1	Robin on-PatKman ActK, and ometKime I onder and atK tKhe
2	ame Kime feel Khankf l for Khe fack Khak Khere aren K a
3	low more cae. Ma be withe Packer and Switcock ard Acon ha
4	an oligopoli Kic di ciplinar pricing Kheor KhaK i
5	being Kried o K in Khi T on cae, b K iK ama ing
6	KhaK nobod el e ha Kried _ ch a Kheor .

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Spreme Conk a om K be coered nder Khe Robin on-PaKman AcK. Whak Khe aid in KhaK a Windwig doe n Wig appl here. Thi i h I p K iK li K and e iK for Khi poinK, Brooke Grop a e enKiall Khe rejecKion of an e perK reporK. ho aid, I ob er ed Whe fack al pre-condiction for and Whe Wheore Kical appara for apprecianting Whi oligopoli Kic di ciplinar pricing Kheor in Khi and Withe co rk aid no, Witham cra . ΙK incon i KenK inkh withe fack , now a cra witheor , incon i wienk inth withe fac₭ .

A parkic lar fack Khak Khe co rk a dealing ikh a Khak Khe main nderpinning for khe oligopoli kic di ciplinar pricing Kheor a Khak Khe cigarekke ind Kr a an oligopol, ikh high price, high profik, kick price, kick hare, all kho e khing e a ociake ikh oligopol.

Well, ik j k o happen khak I a enior e ec ki e for khe plainkiff khak ke kified ik a n k an

1	oligopol , price eren K Kick , Khak profik eren K
2	abnormal, and I Whink Whe co rW had a hard Wime
3	allo ing Khe e perK rel ing on a Kheor hich a
4	e enKiall conKradicKed b Khe leader of Khe plainKiff.
5	We ha e Khi eird ik akion here Khe j dge
6	are deciding economic i $_{m{y}}$ e . The are rejec $^{m{w}}$ ing model .
7	The are rejecting e pert te timon . I think the Da bert
8	Q arkek, khe for cae khak reall form khe Da berk
9	line, are in a en e an e Ken ion and ma ha e e en been
10	gge Ked b MaK, hiKa and Brooke Gro p, chronologicall
11	i₭ ork otk, I ppo e e ill ne er kno . Ma be 25 or
12	30 ear from no hen Whe paper are di clo ed e ill
13	find o K, b K j dge are gekking more and more

_ ncomforWable iWh economic Wheori ing.

The problem KhaK e ha e i , a ha been pre io l aid in Khe panel, Khe la er conKrol Khi proce . The la er pre enK Khe ca e. The la er are Khe one ho are appoinKed b Khe poliKician Ko hold Khe po iKion aK Khe agencie . There are j K la er all o er Khe place.

Whatke er economic content ha been ff ed into anti-tr t, I think there i a great deal of it, it ha to come through the la er and i dge. There i no e i ting in this tion that filter ot the galit of economic te timon and compare the relatie

L	per a	i ene	0	f dif	fere	nK e	economi	LC i	.e	of	⊮ he	е	
2	parKic	lar ca	ае	Kha⊮	ζi	real	.l de	igne	ed ir	ı a	a	ور	
3	o ld	an•K ar	ıin	KiK	₩ion	de	igned,	₩o	ge₩	₩o	₩he	Kŗ	₩h
1	of K he	matKkei	<u>.</u>										

We have an adocac proce rnb la er. We don K have Kime Ko iK aro nd for Khe proce Ko ork.

Yo have omeg ho i bringing cave based on Khe no Kion Kha K concentra Kion and profix are Krongl correla Ked, e don K have Kime Ko iK aro nd and di co er Kha K i rong.

Yo ha e a fail re in whe anwiwr w realm.

IN now like whe Challenger di a wer, here whe markew blo p and e er bod a hoa, omewhing reall enw rong. There are anwiwr w di a wer.

I Khink Khe UniKed Machiner cae i an ankiKr K di a Ker. Thak compan dri en o K of b ine , cae fo nded, I Khink, are illegikimake Kheorie of iolakion, ing remed. UniKed Machiner a de Kro ed, b K ik Kook abo K 10 Ko 20 ear Ko happen. Yo can debake a Ko hekher Khe machiner ind Kr a reall one Khak a de Kined Ko remain in American b ine an a, gi en all Khe Khing happening in Khe orld.

I ha e mmari ed Mak hika and Brooke Grop.

I menkioned khe Da berk Q arkek. I alo ank ko foc
o on khe o rce -- j dge arkic lake kheir

1	di aKi	facKion	iKh Khe pro	oce b	hich eco	onomic	
2	e perKi	e i app	lied d ring	anKiKŗ	₩ ca e .	The	do i₩
3	in oKhe:	rcae, t	Koo.				

J Kice Bre er I don K Khink ha e er menKioned anKiKr K in hi Kalking abo K Khi i e. I nder Kand he ga e a Kalk ak AEI recenkl on Khi ame Khing, and might ha e menkioned ankikr K. Hi foc i more on Ko ic Kork and Khak kind of cienkific e idence.

He ha ba icall propo ed in a peech Ko Khe

AEA a fe ear ago, e reall need Ko eed o K Khe

crank. We need Ko ha e Khe AEA or ome re pon ible

profe ional bod eed o K Khe people ho kno haK Khe

are Kalking abo K and Khe people ho don K.

I m now o re what a great of thion for antity to I can to imagine the American Economic

A ociation haing a galification panel for antity to economit.

I dge Po ner, in HFCS, a er inKricaKe
e ploraKion of Khe economic elemenK of Khak ca e Khak
ere rele ank Ko Khe i dgmenK of heKher Khere i
adeg aKe e idence of con pirac . He preKK m ch beak on
Khe di Krick i dge and aid, look, hen Khi goe back
do n Ko e plore Khi i e. No Khak I ha e re er ed Khe
mmar i dgmenK in fa or of Khe defendank, ik o ld be
a reall good idea Ko e R le 706 of Khe Federal R le

1	be	bo	nd	b	hatK tKhe	economi	K	a	?

Da id Scheffman, ho ha rikken and I khink a okher ha e menkioned khi morning, khe j dgmenk on khe e economic i e i done im lkaneo l, o can k eparake khem o k. Read Fred Kahn ke kimon in khe Nabi co Brand ca e khak a decided, here Ne York challenged a merger khak bokh Federal agencie had pa ed on and fo nd khak Hippocrake a nok fringed.

Thi i from Whe fir W enWence of Whe Aphori m , hi likele a ing abo W good medical prackice. Bill Ba Wer a a great fan of Hippocrate.

Do no harm. That a n W Whe fir W enWence of Whe Aphori m .

The fir K enKence i "Life i horK, and ArK long, Khe cri i fleeKing, e perience perilo , and deci ion diffic lK." Thak applie here, Koo.

The e are ome criwici m I ha e of K pical enforcement agenc modeling. Market definition Kend Ko be narro. The Kend Ko foc on i olated Kime period. There Kend Ko be, I Khink, head is conting of domaic effect, and it rong for objoc reason. No one nece aril doe it conting if enforcement action here other is e omebod a little more related on the easy matter.

1	Al o, Windere i a Wiendenc Wind go Winder with the second
2	We ill of Ken hear arg men K in Khe from K office Kha K
3	make it ond a if the partie has been nable to agree
4	on an Khing in Kerm of Khe a mpKion KhaK are going
5	inKo Kheir arg menK , Kheir Kor .

I e mentkioned ome of the e alternation of the entropy of the entropy of economic to the entropy of the entropy

defen e o .10 ? KhiKrg mea loKa e. Imink.97 0 ?

1	inKo Khe	ild	of economic K heor ,	0	elcome Wo Whe
2	ild .				

MR. FROEB: Thank o, Tad. Greg? While e are aiking for Greg, I ank ko a k Greg Werden a ge Kion. BoKh Tad and Joe rai ed ge Kion abo K merger im lawion, iw a eldom ef l e erci e, woo co wll, *Koo *Kime con_ ming, and i*K per_ a i e onl hen e are j ing ik ko j kif omekhing e alread kno , like from Whe Kokalik of Khe fack , e kno Khak Khe merger i nok going to rai e price, here an economic model that a ₩ha₩.

Do o ha e an reackion ko khak? Ho freg enkl ik i ed, ho freg enkl ik infl ence deci ion making ak khe DOJ?

MR. WERDEN: In Khe fir K place, I don K Khink eiKher of Khem ere a negaKi e on merger im laKion a gge Ked Khe ere, parkic larl nok Tad.

MR. LIPSKY: IK helpf l Ko clarif . I old agree Khe o Kcome i eldom er per a i e. IK doe n K deKermine Khe o Kcome and of Ken i reall nok fficien Kl per a i e Ko ha e a major infl ence on Khe i dgmen K.

MR. WERDEN: I Whink Where are WK o kind of major infl ence. One, awk a er earl Wkage, Wkhere cerwkainl ha e been ca e here merger im lawkion helped

decide now to proceed ith an in e tigation. Ver gick
and dirk merger im lattion, confirming hat ome people
alread peot, it prett ea for thi merger to now
are price m ch, to lo er price, ne to ca e.

5

6

7

8

9

Al o, where are an mber of cae in hich I whink ophi wicawed anal i a gien a low of eight in whe agenc deci ion abo w challenging or now challenging a merger.

MR. FROEB: Greg, go ahead.

MR. LEONARD: Thank . $\c L$ ke, Greg and I ere

1	Ç ₩omer ,	o forKh	and o	on.	Then o	ha e merger
2	im laKion.					

The holdn K be b Kik Ke for each okher.

The reall hold be complement. The botth add omething to the part.

Merger im lawkion ha to piece to it. The firth i hat I d call ge thimathing con mer demand f notkion. Yo can do that ith econometric. Yo can do that po ibl b looking at doc ment, if the correct one are out there.

The econd ca e i whe model of firm beha ior of are going when e. People has e been whalking about oligopol model. That we have I mean where.

The K o piece KogeKher are hak con KiK Ke merger im lakion. When I Kalk abo K iK, I m going Ko mean Ko incl de boKh piece .

Lew me fir K a haw doe merger im lawion add here o ha e whe doc menw . Awworne cerwainl like iw. Wiwh economi w , I o ld a whe ha e al e. Whaw doe merger im lawion add? I ge haw I o ld arg e i iw help mo e merger anal i clo er wo haw I o ld call cience. Whaw do I mean b "cience?"

The mot important thing i that the anal i i ba ed on a et of theorie or a theor that i the table. That reall the e ence of hat cience i

abo w. We come p iwth wheorie. We we wk whem. If whe

pa Withe Wie Wit, e accept Withem. We keep Wir ing Wio Wie Wi

3 Whem. E enk all, whe ma be rejected, and e come p

4 in the a between theor. Thank hank the cientalic menthod

5 i all abo ₭.

Second, and haw ha been menwioned earlier

Woda, whe nderling a mpwion of whe anal i are

er clearl delineawed. The whird whing i whe re lw

of whe anal i can be replicated b omebod ele.

Finall, cienKific anal i K picall ill allo o Ko calc lake Khe preci ion of o r re lk . I Khink Tad menKioned Khak ik i condikional on Khe a mpkion, and Khak i cerkainl kr e.

When hold merger implaktion a pire to be a cience? Ige the firth thing I think a probabl the moth important i it gets closer to object it and from bject it. That i again hat cience i reall aboth.

Secondl, it pro ide ome certaint. If o kno hat the r le of the game are, and that the hole pro e for the merger g ideline, I think, to la ot ome r le and to a here i ho the game i going to be pla ed, and then gi e people an idea aboth ho the anal i ill go and allo them it to kno ho thing are going to fl.

1	ha e Khe oligopol Kheor , hich a omeone a poinKing
2	\mathfrak{g} K, i ba ed on infringemenK from man , man ear ago.
3	IK ha been aro nd for a long Kime.
4	We Kend Ko la g K Khe a g mpKion , Khe
5	nderling a _ mpKion , er clearl , and Khi i a er
6	imporKanK poinK. IK a a mpKion can ofKen be
7	wKe wKed. I wKhink wKhawK i anowKher a peowK of all wKhi wKhawK
8	i er imporkank.
9	For in Kance, Khe demand model o choo e can
10	be Ke Ked. We can di King i h bek een a logik model and
11	an AIDS model perhap \mathcal{L} nder Whe righW condiwion .
12	I can gi e anowther economi wt withe dawta and I can
13	a here haw I did, and whaw per on co ld hopef ll
14	genk withe ame replication.
15	There are going ${\mathfrak K}$ o be choice along ${\mathfrak K}$ he a and

There are going to be choice along the a and e ma di agree about the e, b that leath e kno hath the choice are, and the are f ll de cribed.

Finall, o can get a Kandard error for a predicted price change. Again, it i conditional on the a med demand model and a med oligopol model, b t hopef ll again tho e ha e been bject to ome te ting along the a.

The o-called doc ment approach, it i not reall a cience, at leat in the ame en e. Fir tof all, all not incredibl ell linked to economic

1	Wheor. La er hae model in Wheir mind. I don W
2	Whink What Wre, b W Whe are now er ell
3	arkic laked, and o khe a mpkion khem ele aren k
4	laid o K er ell. The a mpkion of Ken aren K Ke Ked.
5	A low of wime, whe don we en kno haw whe a mpwion
6	are.

I Whink if o Whink abo W haw i in Whe e doc ment , What reall i one of Whe problem . We look aw Whe e doc ment and e a me What Whe a Whor of Whem are dic ing Whing or anal ing Whing in Whe a What i ack all meaningf l for Whe merger anal i e are Wr ing Wo perform. I don W Whink What i nece aril al a Whe ca e.

If o look at the Staple cae, there a a certain et of anal e done. The ere done for an entirel different proe. I think frither anal i a nece ar. I think e en the economit on the FTC ide agreed ith that and ent for ard and did an additional anal i.

Similarl, c Komer re ie are ed a lok. I Khink Khere are ome erio problem b ampling. The c Komer Khak care are Khe one ho come for ard. The ma alo Kend Ko be Khe marginal one. Whak do e make of Khak.

Anowher problem in the dog ment i the

re lu	can 🖔	be replica	awKed, awK	lea ₩	in K he	ame a	
₩ha₩ a	merger	im laKion	n can be,	beca	e o	are goir	ng ≰o
ha e 🖔	o diffe	renK peop	le look a	ok Khe	doc men	nnK and	
re ie	₩hem an	d come_p	i K h ei	diff	ferenK (concl i	on .

Finall, in Kerm of ome get Kion Khatk ha e been rai ed abook merger im latkion. I it a perfected Kechnige? The an er Kotkhatk i clearl no. Thatk it is e of almook an cientkific ing ir. It ne er complete. It ne er final. Thing are all a refined.

INK doe n K need Ko be finali ed Ko be ef l.

Whake er Khe c rrenk Kake i , ik can be ef l in

cerkain a , e en kho gh ik ma be impro ed pon laker.

I Khink ik a Kheor of e ol kion.

In Dar in original form lawion, he who ghw what e of wion occ rred grad all o er wime. When whe looked aw whe fo il record, o old find one organi m what clearl e of ed from an earlier one, by whe ere er different, and it a walked abo was being gap in whe fo il record, e ere mi ing whe fo il for whe organi m in bew een.

The p now awked eq ilibri m wheor a de eloped and ba icall haw iw aid a aiw a min we, e ol wion in w grad al. Iw happen in hork br w and where i no change for long period of wime in bew een. Iw e plain whe fack bewwer, and iw i perhap a bewwer

1	₩heor	₩han	™ he	original	one.
-	-2411COT	-2-11011	-7-7-1-C	OTIGITAL	OIIC.

If o go back to the 1930 and 1940, it doe not mean Dar in form lattion a ele. In fact, it formed a lot of cientific tho ght.

The econd g e Kion Khak come p i doe

merger im lakion pro ide an an er. No, ik doe n k.

Thak one of khe con eg ence of khe fack khak in khi

cience, e ha e ko go o k and ke k khe a mpkion, and

e mighk find khe a mpkion aren k alid. Then khe

merger im lakion i nok going ko be -- ak lea k in khak

formak, i nok going ko ork.

IK old be impo ible Ko capK re all Khe economic proce e in a model KhaK i going Ko ork, gi en e are noK going Ko be able Ko do Khi merger im laKion in KhaK ca e.

If o conkrak khak ko khe doc menk approach here o can kala gek an an er, o can gek an an er o ank ikhin khe bo nd of rea on. Yo can ofken gek k o an er, one for khe defendank and one for khe plainkiff.

I o ld arg e What beca e it a cience, o are not al a going to get an an er, and that a fact of life, I Whink.

Doe merger im lawion in ol e choice ? Ye , iw clearl doe . The good whing i whe choice are

1	clearl arkic laked, and o can gek don ko bra kack
2	and ha e Withe e per on each ide arg e abo W haw Withe
3	righK choice i . OfKen, Khe choice are bjecK Ko
4	tKetKing. Again, er importkantk. Thatk a a tKo re ol e
5	Withhe arg ment .

If o conkrak khak ko khe doc menk approach here khe a mpkion are ofken nok e pre l kaked and ofken can k be ke ked or cerkainl aren k ke ked, I khink khere khe choice are o hidden. Thak make ik er differenk. And khe fack khak khere might be di p ke among e perk abo k hak choice ko make. Ik doe n k make merger im lakion an le a cience. Scienkific di p ke ha e e i ked fore er. There are a ko re er e khem.

I merger im lawkion whe onl co-cienwific merger anal i , and I old a no wo whaw. Cerwainl, where are owner kind of anal e whaw are co-cienwific whaw are helpfl. We can look aw haw happen in whe ind wor hen one firm plank blo p and in wable wo ppl whe markew an more, and ee here c womer worn woo and haw owner firm do in werm of price.

Yo can all o look at hat happen hen there is a ne prod of introd of ion. There are objocl comple it is about the entropy of anal e a ell, and choice and e er thing ele. In certain cae, the are

1	going	ΨO	be	more	appropriaKe.
---	-------	----	----	------	--------------

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

FTC . SKaple i an e ample of Khak, here

Khe anal e ere done ba ed on looking ak markek here

Khere ere a differenk n mber of compektikor or here khe

make the number of compektikor changed.

Sho ld Khe merger im lakion approach replace

Khe doc menk approach? A I aid ak Khe o K ek,

ab ol Kel nok. A lok of Kime, o can look ak Khe

doc menk and ik i going Ko gi e o er ef l

g alikaki e informakion Khak allo o Ko fik Khe model

bekker and gi e o in Kik Kional dekail, and perhap

e en allo o Ko do ome Ke King of Khe model, a I

aid, i er imporkank.

What I am going to truto i ome of the

1	ba	icall	cancel	each	owkher	₽ ₩	and	₩he	fac≪	finder
2	doe	e n 🕊 p	a an	aKKen	Kion Ko	o i∰K	•			

I Whink Where i ome of What. What Tim a Walking abo W before, perhap ha ing an o W ide e perk Wo re ol e ome of Who e Whing old be helpfl in What regard.

There are ome What feel merger im lakion i

Koo ne Ko be ak Kempked in a co rkroom. I khink ik i

Kr e Khak la Kend Ko mo e more lo l khan cience. A

an e ample, I a khinking abo k inkelleck al properk

damage ca e .

What happened i o ba icall had to ho there ere no acceptable non-infringing b this to the get an lot profit at all. Of core, there i this rea onable ro alt ide of thing. It doen to mean o don to get an damage, b to o don to get an lot profit.

In Kerm of Khe hare ba ed approach, ikk kind of made Khing bekker, b K again, ikk i ba ed on a mpkion Khak an economi K oldge Kion, I Khink, or old ank Ko Ke K ak lea K.

I Whink im lawion old reall help in whee kind of cae and hopefll ill be ed omewime oon.

Greg alread Walked abo W Whi W ff, oIm

going to kip that. Ij to ant to a one thing about

1	Whe ef lne of comparing prediction from merger
2	im lawkion wko acok alo wkcome . Iwk i ab ol wkel a
3	Wandard cienWific pracWice Wo do Whaw.
4	I ha e Khi paper iKh Jerr Ha man here e
5	Kr Ko do KhaK a likKle biK, I Khink iKh mi ed re lk ,
6	b ₭ fairl po i₭i el .
7	Since e er ind Kr i reall er differenK,
8	Imnow rehom chiwoldhelpwo a inwihe e fi e
9	ind Krie , e ha e alidaKed Khe merger im laKion
LO	approach. IK nok clear Ko me ho m ch Khak o ld help
L1	hen e ere appl ing ik ko khe i kh one.
L2	Finall, Ige Illji K fini h baing a
L3	I aid before, Whi i a de elopmenW proce , a i all
L4	cience, and Where are clearl area here merger
L5	im lakion can be impro ed. I khink n mber one on khe
L6	li K i Khe old Dar in model. BerKrand ha Kaken a
L7	beaKing Koda . IK ab ol Kel Kre, j K in economic
L8	generall , ik o ld be greak ko come p ikh a bekker
L9	oligopol model. I Whink WhaW i reall here Whe f y re
20	of merger im laKion lie .
21	Thank .
22	QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION
23	MR. FROEB: Thank o, Greg. I kno e are
24	hea il eighKed Ko ard economi K on Khi panel. I

ank ko gi e khe akkorne ome kime ko reb k or a

25

1	ha∙Ke	er	9	anĸ.
---	-------	----	---	------

MR. KATTAN: I ack all find m elf in

agreemenk ikh mok of khe khing khak khe economik

ha e aid. Cerkainl hen khe crikig e khe legal

mekhod. I khink khe ha e a alid poink. Their model

are ok khere. Their a mpkion are clearl

arkic laked, and e can beak p on khem b a ing, ell,

I ha e a problem ikh khak a mpkion or khi a mpkion.

The model Khak are e plicikl b la er are a lok more diffic lk ko di cern. There i cerkainl a difference in khe le el of opacik, and kherefore, in khe cepkibilik of khe model ko g e kioning, and khe deck i kacked in kik kionall in fa or of khe la er, largel beca e khe conkrol khe proce.

To me, whe i e i haw do I do hen I ha e a ew of choice, each of hich i going wo predick a price increa e no makewer haw, and I m wold ba icall wake or choice, hich poi on do o anw.

I old a k Greg Leonard, ho do o ell Ko a clienk Khe idea Khak Khe reall ha e Ko do Khi, o kno, ho e er man Kho and of dollar ik i going Ko co k Khem Ko pa o, Ko come ikh a model Khak ill predick Khe price increa e, ne k Ko hich o ill ha e e, b k if o co nk for efficiencie, o acco nk for Khi, o acco nk for Khak, Khak price increa e ill be

1	ob iaked or ik ch a mall price increa e, khak ik
2	reall holdnik makker.
3	MR. LEONARD: I Khink o ha e Ko go inKo iK
4	reali ing KhaK Khe model i going Ko predicK a price
5	increa e, nle Who e co W and ela WiciWie are ero,
6	hich i $_{m{j}}$ nlikel in a low of whee cae. If $_{m{j}}$ gew
7	one percent or to percent, it i j to not eng gh to
8	orr abo K, e peciall beca e Khere are probabl
9	off exxing effecx . If whe are e en mall efficiencie,
10	WhaW i going Wo ipe iW o W.
11	MR. KATTAN: There i Wihi lang age in Wihe
12	merger g ideline , hich I can K reciKe a ell a I
13	ho ld be able to, d e to the fact that the fi e percent
14	We WK i noWK a WKolerance le el for price increa e , and e
KP dpR e g D b	ao் யிற்கும் ல் Tற் 889்றுக்ற்: அழ்துக் r g ideline , hich TD(6)Tj5.1097 -2 TDh Kione

MR. LEONARD: If o go back Ko Khe g ideline
and a ell, Whak or of ho e hold do Whing. If
o follo whe g ideline wrickl, new bew b wiw we
or econd, if ik k rn ok ik khe khird one, o can
Will ha e a price increa e Where, Woo. Thi i j W Whe
economic of it and I don t kno ho g get aro nd that.
I don K Khink follo ing Khe g ideline er merger
im lakion a e o from Khak. Again, o are making an
a mpkkion in khere, khak e don k care abo k ik if ik
Whe Whird on Whe li W. I don W kno if What right.

MR. FROEB: Tad?

MR. LIPSKY: I Khink park of Khe problem -- I Khink Khere are ome re peckable role for im lakion, making e plicik Khe hidden a mpkion i a good one. I feel Khak a lok of Khe e anal e , hak Kriggered Khe Kho ghk a Khe menkion of Khe fi e percenk. Back hen Khe 1982 g ideline ere rikken, one of Khe principal re er akion abo k ing Khe fi e percenk SSNIP ke k a Khak ik o ld gge k an n kainable degree of preci ion.

Yo kno, Khi i going Ko become a Kandard and Khe la er are going Ko ei e on Khi, iK a er pecific n mber ed in a er pecific a, and re eno gh, KhaK e ackl hak happened, Ko Khe poink KhaK o ma recall KhaK Khe major feak re -- Khere a

1	act all an 1984 et of gideline i ed b Pal
2	McGraKh one of Khe major feak re of Khe 1984
3 .	g ideline a Khi big inKrod oKor KaKemenK KhaK aid,
4	no, aik a min ke, o kokall ha e khe rong idea on
5	₩ fi e percent Ke K. Some market co ld be e en and
6	ome market cold be 1.5. Thi i j K meant Ko gge K
7	Whe right g e Kion and Khe magnit de e ha e no idea
8	abo ₩.

Similarl, I Khink, in Khe im lakion area, if o can be confident abo K Khe ign of Khe fir K deri aki e for an of Khe major ariable, hekher ik i price, o Kp K or hake er, omeone i going Ko Kri mph from Khe fack Khak o can e Kabli h ik from an modeling de ice ak all, Khak o ld be real progre.

I reall liked whe analog wo whe dip we o er
e ol wion. We kno whaw e en afwer p now awed
eq ilibri m a pop lari ed a a wheor, where are ome
modeling grad li w ho de cribe p now awed eq ilibri m
in a manner inwended wo be pejorawi e a e ol wion b
jerk. Of core, where are p now awed eq ilibri m q
re pond b calling whe grad li w e ol wion b creep,
hich gge w omewhing of whe fla or of whe debawe o er
nilaweral effeck wheor in anwiwr w la.

The getKion I and tKo poeitKhi, and letK me a itk er blntkl. M ie i tKhatk motk of tKhe

1	MR. WERDEN: There are a million ob Kacle KhaK
2	Kand in Khe a , nok Khe lea K of hich i $_{m{0}}$ don K
3	ha e Khe Kime. Thak o ld Kake e Kra monKh , I Khink,
4	and \mathfrak{g} don \mathfrak{K} e en ha e e \mathfrak{K} ra da in mo \mathfrak{K} of \mathfrak{K} he e
5	merger ca e .
6	IK old be fairl e penie, b K I m re o
7	o ld campaign for e Kraf nd for Khe agencie Ko
8	ppork Khak.
9	I don W kno WhaW Whe deci ion maker ho Will
10	remain la er are going Ko care abo K Khe independenK
11	g . I don K kno KhaK Khe care abo K Khe in ide g .
12	I don K kno KhaK iK o ld be gi en an eighK.
13	I can imagine doing ome Khing like KhaK, m ch
14	more o K ide of Khe agenc Khan in ide. I ppo e if o
15	hire Whe e people a Wemporar emplo ee , o cold ole
16	Whe confider Kiali K problem , b K Khen Khe e g o ld
17	ne er be able Ko riKe abo K Khe e Khing and Khe ma
18	now and woo do whaw.
19	Gewking people to make the commitment to get p
20	Wo peed on Whe e Whing i Wogh. We find in hard e en
21	hen e are pa ing people \$800 an hor Ko gek khem Kop k
22	With the Kime committeenth in. Ithen nown going the be eath the geth
23	ol nwkeer for wkhi orwk of wkhing, and iwk creawke

conflick of inkere k. I don k khink khere are going ko

24

25

be a low of waker .

1	Co rK appoinKed e perK , I ha e ome haK more
2	mpawKh for, b w I don w whink iw ha e er been done
3	cce fill. There ha en K been a lok in ankikr K
4	cae, b K I Khink ne er, cce f ll. The Krack record

in ₩ o good.

I Whink What might make ome en e,

parkic larl if hat whe co re appointed e perk a a ked

to do i hat Tad gge t, hich i to well whe i dge

doe whi wheor make en e, i whi in whe main wream,

hat doe whi wheor reall depend on, i where ome

fattal fla in whi what an ne wral per on old ee,

what whe are wr ing to paper o er, and whe e w o e wreme

po it in I m getteing from whe w o ide, hich i

clo e w wo whe wr wh.

There are a low of whing what a cork appointed e perk might be able to do cce fil, although if the are appointed the a Fred Kahn a appointed, like an arbitrator, b triking people from a lit, o are going to get the leat common denominator e perk, not the bett e perk. I don the think that i going to ork to ork to ell.

MR. FROEB: I ank Ko K rn from merger

im lakion, hich nfork nakel or nok, ik eemed ko ck

p all khe debake on khe panel. There are a lok of okher

i e a ociaked ikh nilakeral effeck. I anked ko

1	HT7	#71a a m		U7 U7.	_		ПO	- 2	- 477	H7 _	77070-0-
<u> </u>	ľζO	wnem	Q '	V VO	Q	T	ĿC		TWOT,	ľνO	Valerie

I iw po ible wo allege bown nilaweral and

coordinawed effect in a merger ca e? Ha e o e er

wried wo do what?

MS. RABASSA: We have Kried Ko do Khak.

MR. FROEB: We have 10 more min Ke. I ank Ko gi e each of Khe paneli K K o and a half min Ke, and I m going Ko be Krick here, Ko m p kind of a big pick re K pe g e Kion. Whak crikici m do o ha e of Khe g ideline, Khe g e Kion of nilakeral effeck, and hak po iki e gge Kion do o ha e for iki impro ements.

Greg, do g ank ko kark?

MR. WERDEN: I ge m main concl ion old be it old be ill to re-rite the gideline. The old come of ore. The ala do. The ecommittee project are not good idea. An one per on cold probabl do better than both of the agencie can do together. M main adice ala i don trite gideline, and that adice ha been taken on a n mber of occaion.

I don K Khink Khere i m ch in Khe a KhaK I
don K like in Khe nilaKeral effeck ecKion of Khe
g ideline right no . IK arkic lake in er general
Kerm Khe Kheorie . Thak i hak g ideline are ppo ed

- 1 Kodo. IK doe n Kaer mach abo K Khe e idence.
- Thank i hank g ideline don nk do er ell. Ink doe n nk a an nki3n 7(Thank i hank g id9be .097 0 TD(2)Tj5.1323 -11.21.1 a

4	7.47		T - 0
1	ט ועו	P.D()P.D •	.100
	1411	FROEB:	000:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KATTAN: I ack all agree ikh m ch of hak Greg aid, and in parkic lar, I m nok re khak e cold dom ch bekker ikh khe c rrenk g ideline, hich do addre khe i e ak a le el of generalik khak I khink i appropriake.

oiced ome of Khe imilar Jim Rill ha crikici m Khak I ha e, o I don K Khink ik nece aril ₩r e Khaw none of Whe people in ol ed in Whe 1992 g ideline ha e concern abo K modeling, b K iK correct that the gideline a aboltel nowkhing abo to modeling, and I m now a ing to implate in lateion approach in K appropriake, b K I Khink ik i Khe ca e 帐ha帐 i帐 nece aril a k a ome ha帐 differen帐 g e 帐ion Whan Whe gideline do, herea Whe gideline fog wKhe pacial eparawKion ba ed on g aliwKawKi e awKwKriby wKe , prod ok akkrib ke , markeking akkrib ke . Man of khe im lawion define differentiation b nece it ba ed on market hare. That i the ignificance of firm i ba ed on market hare.

The 35 percent i e, Greg i right Khak if o read the lang age er clo el, and read like afe harbor lang age, on the other hand, ha there been a ca e ince 1992 here nilakeral effect ha e been alleged nder 35 percent?

1	The reali® ma be differen®. I Whink i® i
2	Whe ca e WhaW mo W people do ie iW a a afe harbor.
3	MR. FROEB: Greg?
4	MR. LEONARD: Ige I oldn K ank Ko Kop
5	awK wKhe 35 percenwK, gewKwKing rid of wKhawK, and gewKwKing rid
6	of hare alkogekher ikh differenkiaked prod ck , khen
7	Withe reall j With are enwirel meaningle .
8	I Whink Whe g ideline are Wr ing Wo e ome
9	Kerm KhaK a lok of differenk people can nder Kand, and
10	la er and Whe companie Whaw are Whinking abo W merging
11	and o for Kh. A ide from Khe hare i e and Khe 35
12	percenk, I probabl 🐧 ldn k change an khing eikher.
13	MR. FROEB: Valerie, I am going Ko open ik Ko
14	o. Yo can Kalk abo K o r g ideline or Khe ne l
15	prom lgaKed EC g ideline . Feel free. Do o ha e an
16	gge Kion for change? Big pick re?
	MS. RAE10M a4.097 0ch onR4kk o ee Groa en
	gge ₩ ar e4.024Yfree. Do

1	Thi broader in KiK Kional problem, hich I
2	Whink allo Whe fir W problem Wo r i e, Wo comWin e,
3	hich i KhaK deci ion maker , beca e Khe are la er ,
4	are e emKiall free Ko ignore haK Khe economi K are
5	Kelling Khem. The of Kion likimakel e are Kr ing Ko
6	genk nko i again anal e nkhank are clo er nko nkhe nkr nkh,
7	and I Wink wine a wio do iwi i wio make iwi le ea in
8	ome a for deci ion maker Ko ignore hak Khe
9	economi K are Kelling Khem, b K, al o, I Khink Khere i
10	a degree of ank of a bekker kerm, no in lk inkended,
11	Whe con er awkion bewk een whe commending economic wheorie
12	need ad lk per i ion, bokh ikhin khe agencie and
13	before co rK .
14	We have Ko ched on ome of Khe in Kik Kion
15	KhaK mighK be ed Ko accompli h KhaK. ThaK, I Khink, i
16	Khe direcKion Ko look for, a di cipline KhaK ill
17	faciliwawe cienwific progre .
18	MR. FROEB: I am going Ko gi e Khe la K ord Ko
19	Da e Scheffman, ho i iwking here.
20	MR. SCHEFFMAN: Thi i a er good panel, a
21	low of good dig ion. In did fog woo m ch on
22	im lawion. Ver clearl , whe im lawion model reall
23	aren K o complicaKed. IK i omeKime A and B and ome
24	eg ilibri m condikion and K ff.
25	What o don K Khink abo K i Khe A/B model

1	doe n K nece aril mean Khere i going Ko be a price
2	increa e. In fack, I Khink one of Khe problem ikh Khe
3	la er i in ind Krial markeK here Khe la er ill
4	cha e nilaKeral effeck ca e on eemingl j k a good
5	a a ba i , whe economi w ill cha e whem off,
6	compeKiKion i _m ch more complicaKed, _o can K look aK
7	ik khak a , b k all of a dden o a brand, and all
8	of a dden o ha e a Wheor Whaw ork .

I keep a ing Khe economi K -- I don K Khink

iK i Kr e beca e mo K Kime economi K and agencie are

doing boKh im laKion and o ha e ome oKher economi K

acK all geKKing inKo Khe deKail of compeKiKion, o

ha e Ko do KhaK in eiKher ca e. Sim laKion can be

ef l, b K I ca Kion o and I keep a ing Khi , A and B

analog i er good and Khere i noKhing rong iKh Khe

g ideline . IK a reb KKable pre mpKion. IK

reb KKable all Khe Kime in ind Krial prod cK merger .

Economi K don K eem Ko forgeK KhaK hen Khe e KimaKe

demand ela KiciKie .

Let me gi e o one e ample. There are a low of problem it me rewail er hole ale. I ha e been orking it of of drink for o er 20 ear, and I am now con inced there i a b tankial percentage of people ho are in bet een Coke and Pep i hen price are near a parit. That i the demand crei er flat. No one

1	e KimaKe a demandçre like KhaK.
2	ThatK i j tK one of man rea on h jo tKhink
3	abo K h Khi Kheor ma noK ork. AoK all , Khe demand
4	gre ma be er flank, ma ha e kink, hanke er.
5	Tho e are Winhe thing e orr abo W in
6	ind Krial prod cK merger , beca e e a k Khe ç Komer
7	and K he a , ell, I m ac K all no K orried al K ho gh A
8	and B are m $^{-}\!$
9	can getK itK ome here ele. We a , ell, t Kha t K doe n t K
10	ork.
11	We need Ko Khink ome more abo K Khe
12	f ndamenKal Kheor in Khe g ideline . There i noKhing
13	rong in Khank, bok ink a rebonkable pre mpkion. We
14	need Ko Khink abo K a Ko heKher iK i righK or noK.
15	MR. FROEB: On 板ha板 no板e, I an板 板o 板hank all
16	of Khe paneli K and Khe a dience.
17	(Appla e.)
18	(Where pon, at 12:30 p.m., the ork hop
19	rece ed for 1 nch.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 COORDINATED EFFECTS 2 MR. KNIGHT: Good afkernoon and elcome ko khe 3 panel on coordinated effect. Coordinated effects 4 5 i might be be to mmed p b the ob er attion that predickion are ko gh, e peciall abo k khe f k re. 6 co r e, Sec Kion 7 of Khe Cla Kon Ack call for predicki e 7 8 anal i , and whe Agencie ha e in e wed greaw efforw o er withe ear in an awww.empwik witho an er withe of wien 9 10 diffic lk g e kion of hekher a parkic lar merger i likel Ko enhance or dimini h compeKiKion in Khe rele anK 11 markeK. 12 13 Hi Koricall, m ch of Kha fog ha been on concenKraKion. Indeed, concenKraKion conKing e Ko er e 14 a Withe Withre hold with for coordinated effects. Ho e er, 15 16 a Withe 1982 Merger G ideline recogni e, and recent agenc prong ncemenk confirm, a low of whe concentration 17 dawka pro ided a wkarwking poinwk for anal ing wkhe 18 19 competitie e impact of the merger. 20 What come ne to itch regard to coordinated effectK anal i i tKhe tKopic of tKhi panel. 21 G ideline con ider ₭ o ₭heorie of compe₭i₭i e harm, 22 23 _ nilakeral effeck and coordinaked inkerackion.

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

m ch ha been rikken and aid abo k khe coordinaked

24

25

D ring Khe 1990 and comkin ing Khro gh Koda,

effect wheorie and ario approache wo a e ing coordinated effect of merger.

Recent(), both agencie ha e ignificant() rene ed their interet in the anal i of coordinated effects.

Thi panel repre enk a conkin aktion of Khak effork. Toda , e are delighted ko ha e a f ll li k of e Keemed g e K .

Deborah Majora i it who the la firm of Jone,
Da, Rea i & Poge, here he prackice in the area of
antitr the la, among of there. From April 2001 the the end
of lath ear, Debbie er ed a Depth A i thank Attheorne
General at the Department of J thice Antitr the Di i ion.
D ring her then re at the J thice Department, Debbie
re pon ibilitie panned the ci il, international and
foreign -- he o er a n mero matther in ol ing a
m riad of ind thrie, from ofth are the financial new ork
the defene, health care, media and entherthalment, the
ind thrial eq ipmenth.

In addition, he er ed a Whe chair of Whe International Competition Net ork Grop and o er a polic initiatie cha Whe DOJ re ie proce initiatie, be K practice project, Whe merger remedie man al, and Whe da Wo da DOJ/FTC health care hearing. She a often a freq ent peaker on behalf of Whe DOJ.

1	In her grrenK pracKice, Debbie acKi el peak
2	and rike on ankikr k i e .
3	Dr. Da id Scheffman ha recentl rejoined LECG
4	a a direckor, afker a econd kink ikh khe Federal
5	Trade Commi ion a Direckor of khe B rea of Economic.
6	He i al o a profe or in b ine Krakeg and markeking
7	ak Khe Undergrad ake School of Vanderbilk Uni er ik ,
8	here he a a chaired profe or in K he 1990 .
9	Dr. Scheffman i a no≪ed cholar in ≪he area of
10	anKikr K economic , among oKher , and ha rikken
11	e eral imporKanK arKicle and book .
12	In hi mo K recenK role a DirecKor of Khe
13	B rea of Economic , Da id a in Kr menKal in
14	Kim laKing ganKiKaKi e anal i in anKiKr K
15	in e KigaKion , prom lgaKing be K pracKice for
16	inKerackion bek een khe B rea of Economic and o k ide
17	parKie , inc] ding economic and financial con_ lKanK .
18	Dr. Andre Dick i iKh Charle Ri er
19	A ociate . Prior to joining CRA, he a the Acting
	Chiefaired pr Ri er

in b m097ebek een Khe B rea 2n Kr menKal in

1		Andre	ha	orked	on a	ide	range	of	merger	and
2	non-merger	r proje	otK oı	n elec	Kronic	ne₩	ork ,	₩e	eel,	
3	broadca 🕊	and med	dia, d	comp Ke	er of	K are	e and	er	ice ,	
4	in rance	and hea	al\h	care.						

Dr. SKe en Salop i a Profe or of Economic at Georgetto n Uni er it La Center in Wa hington, D.C., here he teache economic and economic rea oning and the la. He i a con ltant to Charle Ri er A ociate, for ing on microeconomic and reg lation. He ha ritten n mero article on ario area of economic and la, e cl ionar condot, joint entre and tacit coordination.

Hi re earch ha foc ed, among owher area, on ario a peck of merger and joink enk re, incl ding marked definition, o ner hip inkere K, and efficiencie.

It al o incl de Kelecomm nication, electronic commerce, comp Ker hard are and of are, financial er ice and con mer prodok.

Dr. Jonakhan Baker i a Profe or of La ak American Uni er ik College of La , here he keache co r e in khe area of ankikr k and economic reg lakion. From 1995 ko 1998, he er ed a khe Direckor of khe B rea of Economic ak khe Federal Trade Commi ion. From 1993 ko 1995, he a a Senior Economi k ak khe Co ncil of Economic Ad i or in khe E ec ki e

1	Office	of	₩he	Pre	iden#K
_	O \perp \perp \perp C C	\circ	-2-11 C	\perp \perp \sim	T (1)

Dr. Baker ha er ed a Special A i Kank Ko

Dep K A i Kank Akkorne General for Economic ak Khe

Ankikr K Di i ion of Khe Deparkmenk of J Kice, and a

A i Kank Profe or ak Darkmo Kh T ck School of

B ine Admini Krakion, a ell a Akkorne Ad i or Ko

an Acking Chairman of Khe Federal Trade Commi ion.

Profe or Baker i g rrenkl in ol ed ikh khe American Bar A ociakion di i ion for ankikr k la , and i a enior con lkank ikh Charle Ri er A ociake .

Pal Yde i iKh Fre hfield, a nel e Kabli hed ankiKr K grop in Wa hingkon, D.C. a of Ma of 2002. He i Khe c rrenk chair of Khe ankiKr K prackice grop. He ha er ed a ad i or Ko K o Federal Trade Commi ioner and a a likigakion akkorne in Khe FTC B rea of Compekikion.

In addition to being recognied a a leader in antitr to la , he has been active in the leader hip of the antitr to di i ion of the American Bar A ociation, he been a peaker and a thor on antitr to e, and he has at on the editorial board of the Antitr to La Jo rnal and Antitr to Maga ine.

A Khe moderakor of Khe panel, I ill akkempk
Ko lead Khe dig ion Khrogh an mber of rele ank
i e. While m ge Kion ma aok all be addre ed Ko

1	acK al	paneli 🕊 ,	all	paneli 🖔	are enco raged	d Kojj mp in
2	₩he di	ç ion a₩	an	₩ime and	po e g e Kion	of Wineir
3	o n.					

With that, lest go ahead and get tarted.

Perhap a good a place a an to begin i the dig ion of the definition of coordinated effect. What do e mean? The Merger G ideline for on a e ment of hether a merger ma le en competition, and I and to tart b a king Da id Scheffman, i that an appropriate definition?

MR. SCHEFFMAN: Thank, Mike. Sorr for Khe long in Krod okion. I hold hae gien o an abbre ia Ked bio. I am going Ko Kraala Kobe pro oca Kie. I e gien a hando K. I m no K going Ko Kalk abo K all of Khi.

Let me Kep back Ko Khe beginning, Ko m
beginning in ankikr K. When I came Ko Khe Commi ion,
and Ske e Salop a here, Koo, hen I came Ko Khe
Commi ion, e ere likigaking Khe Cereal ca e, and bokh
of Khe ankikr K agencie had er acki e enforcemenk
effork again K oligopolie.

If o Whink abo W haw a in Whe Cereal cae, hich I am now a big fan of, b W iw a a er inwere wing cae. If o look aw ho whe Commi ion and haw whe liwigawion a abo w in whaw cae, and looking

1	a•K ho	e anal	e mer	ger in	concenKr	aKed ind	Krie	no
2	₩here i	а ЖоЖа	l di c	onneoK.	Tha★K i	parKl	ha#K I	an⊀K
3	₩o Walk	abo ₩ he	ere.					

I am going to j mp to lide three, and part this has happened a a relift of the foction in nilateral effect.

We a Whatk it Kre formall Whatk Whe model Whatk e e in nilatkeral effects are "oligopol " model. Whatk I learned back in Whe dark old age of economic, i Whe are Whe mo Krimitki e oligopol model. The e are model Whatk do now include e act hat hat o Whink might happen in ind Wrie Whatk e Kricall re ie Whe e da for merger. Specificall, in Cornow and Bertkrand, Whe a motion, hich e er one realied make to now make an en e in a concentrated market, i What each competition a me What it action do now Wim lake reaction b

Economi K ha e kno n for almo K 100 ear KhaK Khi a mpKion probabl doe n K make en e a anal i of real beha ior in concenKraKed markeK , b K KhaK i Khe ork of anal i e ha e in nilaKeral effeck incl ding in "oligopol" model like Co rnoK and Berkrand.

In fack, game Wheor i m ch richer Whan Co rnow and Berkrand.

Some people ha e aid_ nilaWeral effecW i all

1	o need beca e if o b ild coordinaked inkerackion on
2	Krop of Krhank, ink i e en ore, o if $\mathfrak g$ hae a cae inkh
3	nilaweral fack , when o ha e a coordinawed inwerackion
4	ca e, Koo. Thak co ld be Kr e nder ome parkic lar
5	model . Ho e er, hawk e ee in man concenwkrawked
6	markeK i m ch more compeKiKi e beha ior Khan haK e
7	predicked b Co rnok or Berkrand, beca e a lok of khe
8	beha ior i noK abo K picking a price on a demand c r e,
9	b K Kring Ko hifk ordemand creak Khe e pen e of
10	orrial.

What happened in coordinated interaction, i.e. oligopolitic interdependence? In the 1980, there ere a lot of coordinated effect cae, primaril becare of the leel of concentration. The ere, in fact, coll ion cae. The anal is a the checklitic and arging about hether or not coll ion a posible in a gien ind the and in a more casal manner, hat the merger might have to do ith that.

The mow compleme record of what i whe cae in hich whe B rea of Economic memoranda ere ack all dico ered by whe owher ide, and o a whe big wen ion bew een whe la er and whe economic about whi.

What ha happened ince? Coordinated interaction ha gotten ome rene ed empha i ith the ne Adminitration, although it reall had ne er gone a a .

1	WhatK	i	i∎K	ha	ed	on?
	AATICI-77		T-7-7	Da	\sim	O11 •

If e go back to the 1982 G ideline, the theoretical forndation of the 1982 G ideline a Stigler theor of oligopol. Stigler theor of oligopol a a brilliant paper, but it a a theor of coll ion. What occ rred ince the Stigler paper e hae the de elopment of d namic game theor. There are a lot of relik in d namic game theor. Yo can get almot an relik. So, it not er helpfl. But, the foc of d namic game theor, particularly a to antitur the industry of d namic game. But there are a lot of "thing in bett een coll ion and perfect competition.

What I am gge King i Khat e need Ko go back
Ko omething like oligopoli Kic inkerdependence, not
Kacik coll ion. Coll ion Kheor doe n K all reall
fit Khe merger it akion, alkho gh Khere are fe
it akion here it doe fit.

What e hold be analing i hetcher the ind tr, or the oligopolitic coordination in thi partic lar concentrated market i likel to lead to ad er e effect a a relik of the merger, not the tacit coll ion to the hich i too trong a te to.

I Whink haw e don w kno i haw e mean b non-nilaweral cae. Whaw e wried wo con e in whe Scheffman/Coleman paper i whe different cawegorie of

1	ca e , WK pe of ca e . One caWKegor hich I o ld add i
2	ik akion here e ha e khe oligopoli kic coordinakion,
3	hich eem Ko lead Ko haK i Khe ideal compeKiKi e
4	circ m Kance KhaK mighK be ad er el impacKed b a
5	merger.

The e are Whe Whing e hold be concentrating on. I whink what i hat whe agencie act all do. I whink what hat whe attrone act all foc on. I whink in coordinated interaction cae, hat whe attrone are foc ing on in mow cae whee e da i hat i whe act all naw re of competition. The are wring to proe, for e ample, in a nilateral effect cae, now what A and B are whe cloek by the what whe are particularly cloe competition.

In non- nilakeral effeck ca e , khe are looking for e idence khak khe parkie ko khe merger in ome en e are beha ing "cooperaki el ."

Thi i With area Withank I Withink With economi With cold have With mo With impact, in Witheorew ical pregmpt ion of Witheorie, hich don With reall e plain er in ch of hat e are act all Withing With anal e.

MR. KNIGHT: Thank g. Jon?

MR. BAKER: Thank $_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Lew me fir w re pond b w r ing w o p w w hi in a

1	able ₩o	idenKif	and	reach	₩hο	e	o ₩come
<u></u>	ADIC -LO	TUCIFALL	arra	I Cacii	-2-7110	\sim	<u> </u> -≥

Thank h hen e do coordinanked effectk

anal i, e are pending a look of Kime Khinking abook

heakher firm can reach a con en on coordinanked

effectk ookcome.

There are a b nch of e ample of in Khe

G ideline, and hen I a orking on ca e in Khe

Ankikr K Di i ion and orking nder Khe 1992 Merger

G ideline and okher ca e ak Khe FTC.

MR. SALOP: Yo all might be rpri ed b K I am ack all in mpakh ikh hak Da e a here, khe a he framed ik koda. Yo ha e ko nder kand, Da e and I are bokh old g . Yo ha e ko p k khi back in khe conke k of khe ork of model khak e learned hen e ere in grad ake chool, back before khere ere grad ake chool.

Ba icall , haw Da e i Walking abo w i haw ed wo be called conject ral ariawion model . Yo wark off iwh a ba ic nilaweral effect model and o e pand iw b a ing where i ome conject ral ariawion, what if firm one rai e price, when firm w o ill react b changing iw price a parkic lar a , and firm one ill and icipake what reaction of firm w o. The are more comple orw of Na h-Berkrand eg ilibri m model .

I Whink e need Wo Whink abo W Who e model . I Whink a modeling Wraweg, Whe nilaweral effects

1	₩o accommoda₩e	each oWher	acKi iKie .	There i	alread
2	a naw ral for a	ard looking e	enK Khere.		

The econd Ka k KhaK Khe ha e Ko cce f ll accompli h i moniKoring heKher Khere ha been compliance iKh KhaK agreed pon accommodaKion. Again, Khe noKion i o er Kime Khe are going Ko learn heKher Khe can Kr K one anoKher. Again, repeaked inkerackion i ke.

The Whird Wa k, of core, i in Whe e end What What Where i de iawion from Whe agreement, Whe ha e Wo ha e a a wop nih. Again, What for ard looking. If e are in Whe law period of competition, where i no cope for p ni hment and e er Whing nra el.

I old agree itch Jon Khatk Khere i a er imple and elegank point made in Khe G ideline, hich i Khatk all Khe profitabilik of Khe e coordinated Kratkegie i conkingent pon accommodation, and economic, and game Kheor in partic lar, ha repeated interaction a being e ential Ko Khatk.

MR. KNIGHT: LeW mo e Wo Whe G ideline for a momenW. The do fog Whe anal W on looking aw Whe po W-merger markew, in parkic lar, hewher in one Whaw i cond48 5lane8 n517 7iD(i cond48 reen)-i o5la W7-5.7108 0 TD

1	SKigler-Po ner checkli K of fackor , Ko look ak KhaK
2	po K-merger markeK and Kr Ko deKermine if iK one KhaK
3	i cond ci e Ko coordinaKion. Tho e fackor incl de Khe
4	a ailabiliK of ro Kine informakion concerning markek
5	condition , Kran ackion , and competiti ene ,
6	charackeri kic of b er and eller, charackeri kic of
7	$ exttt{K}$ pical $ exttt{K}$ ran ac $ exttt{K}$ ion , and he $ exttt{K}$ here $ exttt{K}$ here ha been pre $ exttt{ig}$
8	coll ion.
9	Lenk me nkarnk inkh og , Snke e. I nkhank nkill an
10	appropriake a ko go abo k ik?
11	MR. SALOP: E er fack e gek i one more
12	brick, one more brick in Whe nder Wanding of Whe
13	d namic . I Wihink Wihi or of imple checkli W Wihaw e
14	ha e ha reall a low of horkcoming . There are e eral
15	₩ pe of hor#Kcoming .
16	Fir K of all, Khe creen in Khe checkli K are
17	imperfects. For e ample, itsh re pects to the HHI, e kno
18	Whank Where i of Ken b Kankial compendition de pinke high
19	HHI . The oppo itte i alottre, there ha been
20	cce f l price fi ing in markeWK e en WKhaWK ha e afe
21	harbor HHI .

Take li K er negoKiaKed price . The checkli K aid li K price and don K di co nK, here Khere ere compeKiKi e concern . Thak Kr e. Price fi ing con piracie in man ind Krie are iKh

4	negoKiaKed		7		itKamin		_	
1	nearankiankea	pricina	ACIGIC	acid	nmamin	and	\circ	α n
_	1109021102100			$\alpha \cup \pm \alpha$	T240111111	, ана	_	O 1 1 .

E en if Wil price are indi id all negowiawed,

a e learned from Mr. Wil on in Wilhe ADM mo ie and

Wei ing mo ie, e kno if o can moniwor g aliw, when

o don what e wo look aw price aw all.

IK Whe ame iwth man of where elements in whe checkli w. E ce capaciw. While on whe one hand e ce capaciw can red ce whe likelihood cce f l coordination b gi ing firm a greater incentie wo cheat, b w on whe owher hand, e ce capaciw increa e whe likelihood of coordination b increa ing whe abilit of firm wo p ni h.

Big b er . Big b er can keep Khe markeK compeKiKi e, b K big b er can al o ind ce Ko eller Ko rai e Khe co K .

E en efficienc benefit in a coordination

model, e Khink co K a ing generall red ce Khe

likelihood of coordination b gi ing Khe firm ho red ce

it co K a greater incenti e Ko cheat. On Khe other

hand, Khe co K a ing increa e Khe abilit of Khe merged

firm Ko p ni h it ri al ho defect from Khe agreement.

E en Whe Deparkmenk of J Wice in 2001 recogni ed Khak co K a ing can increa e co K imilarik acro Khe firm and Khereb increa e Khe ri k of coordinakion.

1	All Withe e fackfor can go bowth a . There are
2	erio imkerackion effeck . I Khink e er one agree
3	WKhaWK WKhe longer WKhe de Weckeion lag, WKhe le likel WKhe
4	coordinakion ill_cceed.
5	I Wink e er bod al o Wend Wo agree Whaw
6	prod ok homogeneik kend ko facilikake coordinakion b
7	en ring a rapid re pon e Ko Khe e enK of cheaKing.
8	If long de Keckion lag , Khen prod c K
9	homogeneik increa e khe e enk of cheaking beca e o
LO	ha e more Ko gain.
L1	I 版hink 版he checkli 版 i _ j 版 版oo imple 版o be
L2	relied on for more Khan j Kagide, a baic gide Ko
L3	Withinking about whe ind wir. I gold cerwiainl hawke iwk
L4	if K he agencie made a deci ion and aid, ell, e ha e
L5	fi e in Wihi pile and Wihree in Wihi pile, o e are going
L6	₩o bring ₩he ca e, and i₩ o ld be e en or e if ₩he
L7	a , oh, I ha e atk lea tk one in tkhi pile, tkherefore, tkhe
L8	polic maker mighK a I ha e di creKion Ko come o K in
L9	eiKher direcKion, o I don K need Ko orr abo K Khe
20	fack ak all.
21	MR. KNIGHT: Debbie, are Wkhe checkli Wk fac Kor
22	ef 1?
23	MS. MAJORAS: Ye , I Khink Khe checkli K
2.4	fackor are er efl. I kno khak Da id Scheffman ha

25

hared ome

ie . I m going ${\rm W}{\rm o}$ defend ${\rm W}{\rm he}_{\bullet}{\rm G}$ ideline a

1	liwwkle	bi≰K	on a	a co	ple	of	fronK	,	noK	enKirel	, <u> </u>) K	а
2	likkle	bi₩	here	e .									

Fir K of all, Khe checkli K i a mi nomer. If iK Kre KhaK Khe agencie ere doing hak SKe e Salop j K aid, and ork of making a li K on Khi ide and Khi ide, and hoe er ha Khe mo K, KhaK Khe a iK come o K, Khen re, I o ld agree KhaK o ld nok be a parkic larl re pon ible anal i.

SKarKing iKh j K hak Khe G ideline a, ma be I m reading iK differenkl from e er one el e, b K Khe a "depending pon Khe circ m Kance, Khe follo ing markek fackor among okher ma be rele ank." Ho man iggle ord do o need? And Khen hen o go Khro gh iK, iK nok a checkli K of j K er pecific markek fack.

For e ample, in a p ha e to look at marketing and pricing practice, characteritic of b er and eller, characteritic of to pical transaction.

The n mber of firm KhaK I ha e een, and Khere are Kho e of aK DOJ ho ha e orked er clo el iKh Andre on orking on ome anal i on coordinaKed effeck, man of Khe Khing e are Kalking abo K KhaK

	abo 🖔	₩h∈	cr i	i e 1	ine	in	. е Ж	iga⊮	Kion	and #	Khe a	anal	i	
	₩ha₩	a	done	₩her	ce,	he	a	in h	ni	peecl	ne ,	KhaK	a	а
	er	Kra	aighKf	or a	ard	appl	icaK	ion	of K	he fa	ас≰	₩o ₩h	e	
_	g ide	line	, <u>G</u>	idel	ine	₩o	₩he	fac	妪 .					

I don K mean Ko arg e abo K emanKic , b K I
Khink e do ha e Ko be caref l hen people a Khro o K
Khe checkli K.

The owkher whing what e need wo remember an wime e walk abo with a of common characteri wic what might be red flagged for an agenc , red flagged for coin eling client, i whe folloing. A low of whe anwiwr with ork and anal i what i done, er important anwith with anal i, i done with o wime.

Fir K of all, in the conel coneling Kheir clienk ell before Khe agencie hae eer heard abo K in, ell before in ha hin Khe pre , hen Khere han K en been Kime for a Krle Ken i e marken anal i . B K in fack, o hae a clienk ho and Kokno i in en orkh m Kring Khi Khing. Than Khe fir K place.

The econd i itkhin the fir the 30 da at the agencie. If the agencie can the hale ome factor that e can agree on that are at leath red flag, that if the eare not pre ent, the agencie cold then hale ome comfort baled on other factor, including market hare and concentration and enter and the reth, gee, thi in the

1	one here e need Ko keep going, i e a econd reg e K
2	and go for ard, When e ma be in Wro ble beca e a low
3	of ork i done in What fir W 30 da . I Whink Whe
4	Kem depend on a look of ork being done in Khe fir K
5	30 da .

MR. YDE: LeW me j W add Wo What. Debbie

Wole mo W of m line. The g e Wion here i haw o r

objectie i. If e are Walking abo W re i ing Whe

G ideline, changing Whe a What e organie e idence,

Wo mo e a a from Whe checkli W and de ign ome whing

el e, What one Whing.

If e are Kalking abo K ack all changing hak economic Kheor e ack all rel on in appl ing Khe G ideline, Khak anokher Khing.

Lew go wo whe fir w park, hich i haw

Debbie a referring wo. I cerwainl has ene er read whe

Gideline a a checkli w. We look fir w aw whe

nderling economic wheor, whaw I whink e er bod agree

i whe ba i for whe coordinated interaction ecwion.

Then e look aw whe wandard and whe objectie whaw are

wawed i w in what ecwion a ell a in whe o erall

proe of whe Gideline in wr ing wo interpret haw

whe "checkli w" a .

I Whink a long a e read Whe G ideline fir WK of all in the object in mind and nder Wanding hawk

Whe nderling economic Wheor i, When o don W end p iWh Whe SWE e Salop range of fackor What he gge Wed.

I g e Khere i a more f ndamenKal g e Kion
hich i haK I Khink I didn K f ll appreciaKe from haK
Da e a a ing hen I fir K read hi paper, hich i Khe
gge Kion KhaK Khe Kheor of oligopol, SKigler Kheor
of oligopol i noK an appropriaKe Kheor for predicKing
o Kcome for merger or for deKermining heKher a merger
hold be nlafl in predicKing Khere i a b KanKial
le ening of compeKiKion.

Thi goe Ko Jon poink. That eem Ko me not Ko be j K a Kechnical poink. There i a Kechnical poink abo K hak kind of e idence i being ed Ko predicking ankicompekiki e effeck, b K if Da e i a ing Khak e ho ldn K be ing Khe likelihood or Khe po ibilik of coll ion or ak lea K Khe Kheor of oligopol a a mean of predicking hekher a merger i ankicompekiki e, Khak I Khink Kake Khe e G ideline and Khro Khem o K and Kark o er.

MR. KNIGHT: I Khak hak o are a ing, Da e?

MR. SCHEFFMAN: I m glad o aid Khak. Lek

remember here Khe checkli K came from. IK came from

ome here el e. Po ner a j K brilliank abo K

implemenking ik. Ik came from Khe Kheor of oligopol.

Thak a a brilliank paper. IK a a ek of condikion,

1	reall Withe 40,000 fook le el of ork of er broad
2	ind Kr charackeri Kic , Khak o co ld ma be make ome
3	prono ncemenK abo K in a er general model abo K
4	hetkher or notk tkhe ere cond ci e or notk cond ci e tko
5	coll ion.
6	Again, Whaw paper i Whe Wheor of coll ion.
7	Low of people ha e aid Whaw. IN now reall Whe
8	Wheor of oligopol . IN Whe Wheor of coll ion.
9	I agree ikh Debbie. The agencie are m ch
10	more ophi KicaKed in Khe e of Khi . The paper Mar
11	and I did aid leWK reall geWK do n on WKhe gro nd in
12	Khinking abo K a "checkli K" orK of anal i . If George
13	Swigler old hae acom all applied hi wheor who an

ack al ind Kr and had all Khe e idence and fack e

14

iK onl ha po er in one direcKion. Gi en cerKain facK
iK can rejeck Khe iabiliK of an ork of coordinaKed
beha ior. In cr i e , hich a an imkere king e ample,
e had a merger ikkh omekkhing khak o nded bad ko ome
people, hich i ield managemenk, o Khak Khere m K be
acae here. The onl reaon h Winhere an Wiacae i
e gowk do n in whe fack and aid, ell, here i whe
e idence of coordinaKion? If e co ld ha e fo nd an
orK of coordinaKion in Khere, e o ld ha e had fi e
oKe again K Khe cr i e merger. We co ldn K find iK.
Hoeer, if e fo nd "iK," KhaK Kill o ld noK pro e
Whank Whe merger a anki-compenikiki e.

The problem o get into the e da in coordinated interaction ca e i the bai of the cae? The problem i increa ingl, that the attrone not principle of the problem is increated ingle of the the attribute of the problem is increated ingle of the the attribute of the problem is increated ingle of the the problem is increated ingle of the problem of the problem is increated ingle of the problem of the

That i here the real gap i . Economic doe not gie m ch to an er to that in thi gra area here ma be omething can happen, the checklit pa e in

a en e. There i ome ba i ma be KhaK iK o ld be

po ible Ko coordinaKe on omeKhing. I m noK a ing

coll ion co ld ne er ari e from a merger, b K KhaK noK

Khe primar problem, a I ee iK.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In merger , e are orried about hetcher it going to change the nat re of competition not in a coll i e a , and now in whe parkig lar d namic game model e are Kalking abo K, hich e ne er ee. Think abo K Kho e model . Look aK eg ilibri m here ****he coll i e eg ilibri m i Kained beca e Khere are p ni hmenK KraKegie and o ee price ar and Khing like KhaK. Yo hardl e er ee KhaK in an real orld ee iK in a fe . Hardl e ee. Υo Withe ing Wirie Withaw e ee do e ee Wihaw. NoneKhele , ee ome "oligopolie ."

That i here e ha e to de elop more anal i.

Thi i here the attoorne r n thing, here the
economit ha e to ha e omething more to a. The
can to a per the checklit, it fail the checklit in
the large, o there i no ba i at all for coordination,
look at the data. When o ha e hat look like
coordination in ome en e, that here e ha e to get
more economic anal i into the deci ion making.

1	iK nok reall anal ed Khe a Khe fir K g e Kion i ,
2	heWkher afWer Wkhe merger, Wkhe firm can ol e Wkheir
3	carKel problem .

In order Ko anal e Khak g e Kion, o are looking ak a lok of fackor, in Kerm of d namic oligopol inkerackion. I agree ikh Pa l and Debbie.

Ik an inno aki e anal i of e idence khak foc e on khe g e Kion of hekher khe firm can ol e kheir carkel problem, and hekher khe can reach con en.

MR. SALOP: I don K Khink an erio economi K o ld a KhaK o old like Ko gek rid of Khe coordinaked effeck eckion of Khe G ideline. I belie e Khe i e i hekher Khere hold be an e kra eckion p K in, hich i called -- I don K kno hak Ko call ik -- MR. SCHEFFMAN: Non-nilakeral.

MR. SALOP: Da e, e ill lew o name whe ecwion hen o come back who being B rea Direcwor for whe whird whime.

If whe idea i what e are anwicipawing ri al ill follo whe price increa e. The firm ma whink abo w iw in i w what a , iwho w whinking abo w iw in werm of con en and p ni hmenw. If o ha e wo ha e what orwork of anwicipawion, what orwork of wrawegic inweraction inwo whe conve w of con en , de iawion, o are going wo ha e wro ble.

1	I o ld a Wind We pical non-nilaWeral effects
2	ca e i Whe ca e I m Walking abo W. Yo ha e read a
3	man la er memo a I ha e, and K he are no K abo K
4	coll ion anal i . The are abo K compeKiKion i going
5	Wo be red ced omeho a a re lw of whi. Iw i foc ed
6	on Withe right get Kion. I don Kala agree it Kht Khe
7	concl ion beca e Where i no anchor Where. Thank i

1 of	parallel	cond	cιΚ,	and	е	CQ	ldn	K	find	iΚ.

MS. MAJORAS: Da id, j K Ko ro nd Khak o K. I

agree ikh o . Ik ma be khak khe G ideline are

imprecie, nok khak omeho khere i omekhing o mi ing

from ik khak khe agencie ha en k been able ko e khe

G ideline anal i .

We have done in the case here eare looking and park coll ion. The anal is where a a limbel different.

MR. YDE: The fack Khak Khe memo Khak are coming for ard and Khe anal i Khak i being pre enked b Khe akkorne -- and I m re alob a lok of Khe economik -- Khe fack Khak Khak anal i i nok ell gro nded in Khe Kheor of oligopol and Khe coll ion Kheorie Khak are Kaked, or ak lea K de cribed, in Khe G ideline, Khak doe n K nece aril commend Khak anal i.

There hold be ome rigoro economic anal i applied, and if ik a khe anal i in khe Skigler kheor, khak going ko be bekker khan j k ork of a gge kion khak e kno ik ankicompekiki e beca e khere i going ko be a red okion in compekikor.

MR. SCHEFFMAN: Ab ol Kel. The SKigler Kheor onl ha po er Ko rejeck. Yo can pro e, a I Khink e did in cr i e and ome of Khe okher e ample, Khak o r

1	Kheor can K po ibl hold here, gi en all Khe
2	n KemaKic noi e in Khe e ind Krie.
3	The SKigler Kheor doe n K Kell o hen o
4	don K ha e a look of ind Krie acok all look like
5	iw iw. doe n w. w.ell o in w.he iw. aw.ion w.haw. don w.
6	look like hard core oligopolie b K look ack all more
7	oligopoli Kic in ome of Khe o Kcome , hak o ho ld
8	make Khe deci ion on. Thi i hen Khe la er a iK
9	concenKraKed and look picio , o e ha e a ca e.
LO	That i not a proper bai, b K I am a ing Khe
L1	economi K ha e Ko geK inKo Khe game here, and Khe model
L2	What e are ing aren W Whe right Wool.
L3	IK parkl going ko be empirical anal i , b k
L4	e al o need ome Wineorewical de elopmenwik woo hed beweker
L5	light on hether e ha e a problem or not.
L6	I Khink Khe DOJ folk ha e ad anced Khe ball in
L7	Whinking abo W he wher Whe merger
L8	MR. YDE: I WKhere an empirical anal i WKhawK
L9	gge K KhaK Khe e of Khe G ideline or Khe G ideline
	a Wine ha e been_ eTjo .74eckoli , h de rl o an K

MR.SCHEFFMANE: am a ing KhaK Kheap prachK

1	₩ha₩ n mber	of compeKiKor	make a	differenc	e, o ha e
2	acae. Yo	don K need a K	heor oKl	ner Wihan Wi	Tha ⊮ .
3	"S picio "	oligopol cond	cK, ha	K withe awtiko	rne Whink
4	are picio	oligopol co	nd cK, Kl	naKK i a	iK aKion
5	here Khe eco	onomi € and €h	e la er	genK innKo	erio
6	arg menK , ar	nd here e nee	d ome mo	ore econom	nic and

Witheore Wical anal i Wo do What.

Are Where mi Kake? In Whe enforcement deci ion? We kno e, beca e e ee hardl an con mmaked merger Khak an one i complaining abo K. We al o kno Khak Khe economi K di agree, m ch le Khan Khe ed Ko, b K Khe di agree on ome ignificant percentage of Khe ca e . Probabl omekime Khe are right.

We don K, all get it rong on ind Krial merger and ith relation mall number of c Komer.

I m happ to rel on the c Komer a e ment like the agencie do. We get the e right.

Branded prod oK . We ha e middlemen.

S permarker. IK now clear e are gewoking ik right. I Whink e gek ik rong eno gh of Khe Kime Khak e cold do a bekoker job.

MR. SALOP: Thank i hank e call good
la ering.

MR. KNIGHT: Andre?

1	MR. DICK: I m nok re in li Kening Ko Khi
2	dig ion for Whe la W liwWkle hile Whaw Where i reall
3	a m ch di agreemenK among Khe people p here a ma be
4	meek withe e e. I withink almo with e er one of ld agree withank
5	e don K ank Ko Khro o K Khe checkli K fackor or
6	hatke er e antk tko call tkhem. Atk tkhe ame tkime, e al o
7	annK nKo be er careflin ho e e nKhem.

A SKe e poinKed o K in hi opening remark on Khi Kopic, on Khi g e Kion, clearl Khe checkli K condiKion are nok nece ar for coordinakion beca e e can ob er e co nker e ample, nor are khe fficienk beca e e can ob er e ind Krie here e can co nk p on bokh hand khe n mber of fa orable or allegedl fa orable checkli K condikion and ek khere doe n k eem ko be an e idence of coordinakion.

The holdnow be waken liwerall. I have ala whoghwoof whem a now welling omewhing about fack alconcl ion ometha orword gide pow.

1	The owkher poink whak I anked ko menkion i
2	KhaK one of Khe deficiencie of corre of Khe checkli K
3	fackor i khe can be prekk helpf l in de cribing or
4	charackeri ing coordinakion or coll ion pre-merger, b K
5	Whe are now b Whem ele all Whaw helpf l in Werm of
6	welling abo w po w-merger condiwion for whe imple
7	rea on WhaM man of Whe e condiwion can be changed
8	direckl Khrogh firm ackion, Khrogh Khe ackion Khe
9	₩ake.
10	Some Wime Whe can be helpf I in iden Wif ing
11	pre-merger con KrainK on coordinaKion, b K Khe ma noK
12	Well ho Whe merger ill rela or change Who e
13	con KrainK .
14	MR. KNIGHT: Jon Baker, e ill gi e 🔉 Khe
15	la K ord on Khi parkic lar Kopic.
16	MR. BAKER: Sike e ma anik iko come back afiker
17	₩hi.
18	I nder Kand here e differ, Da e, and I Khink

L	a	idance.	Ι	i	₩	Khink	₩ha₩	i	rong
-	¥	raarroc.	_	_	25-4	24111111	24110424	_	± 0119

I find Khe G ideline er helpf l in

nder Kanding compeKiKi e effecK , incl ding coll ion

and compeKiKi e effecK anal i , hich I Khink i

imporKanK.

MR. SCHEFFMAN: A Greg Warden ha indicated and artic latted, the G ideline b their nate re are age enough to incorporate an thing. I don think it o m ch a problem ith the G ideline. The can be mi leading a to act all hat the practice i.

I don K ha e an Khing again K nilaKeral. I ha e a lok of problem ikh Berkrand, for lok of rea on , and in a lok of circ m Kance . I don K ha e an problem ikh nilaKeral a a more general Kheor , a man of might e ik.

I do ha e a problem in Whe gra area here e don W Whink e ha e nilaWeral, b W e Whink e might ha e a ca e beca e of coordinaWed in Werackion, and Whe d namic per game are now Whe an er, Whe are now going Wo gi e an an er Wo he Wher e ha e a ca e or now, b W Whe problem i Whe a Worne don W e Whem an a . The ill go ahead and Whe ill generate a ca e. We need Wo ha e a between an er. The Wool e e don W gi e m ch help Wo an er Who e diffic lw i e in Whe for Wo Whree merger here in it diffic lw and

1	i₩	clearl	no₩	nilaKeral.

MR. KNIGHT: We are going to get to ome of

Kho e additional tool that e might e. Before e do

Khat, I ant to take a light detto r to talk about

ma erick for a min te.

The G ideline coordinated effect ection doe indicate that a merger can contrib to to coordinated interaction b taking ot a ma erick firm.

Jon Baker, doe WhaW anal i make en e, and if o, hen hold in be applied?

MR. BAKER: IK eem iK hold ala be applied.

When o Khink abo K Khe g e Kion of ho doe

Khe merger change Khe o Kcome, ho i Khe con KrainK

being changed b Khe merger? IK i all abo K ma erick.

IK make iK o nd like iK i ome ork of pecial

anal i. IK come right o K of Khinking abo K Khe

d namic oligopol Kheor, firm are going Ko differ in

all ork of dimen ion in Khe e ekking.

If a merger in ol e non-ma erick firm , When need to anal e ho the merger i affecting the ma erick.

MR. KNIGHT; One of Khe crikici m Khak e ha e heard ak Khe agencie i Khak Khe in e KigaKing Kaff are all Koʻgʻick Koʻlabel Khe acgʻired firm a a

1	MR. SALOP: I like Withe ma erick Witheor, Withi i
2	now meanw wo be criwical by rawher woj w empha i e
3	e eral poink .
4	Fir K, I Khink "ma erick" i an nforK naKe
5	Kerm, beca e ma erick gi e o Khe idea KhaK Khere i
6	omeone ho i now going along iwth e er one el e.
7	IMK i po ible WKhaMK WKhe dir pWKi e firm mighMK
8	be Withe firm in the whe greate W incented e wo cheate, and
9	WKhaWK i haWK i fond in WKhe G ideline for WKhe mo WK
10	park, bk a di pkki e firm cold al o alkernaki el be
11	₩ Khe firm i#Kh #Khe grea#Ke #K #Khe firm i#Kh 60 percen#K of
12	Withe markew, an Wime an bod g W price, he lam Wihem.
13	ThatK firm o ld be tkhe ma erick. He tkhe one ho
14	de Kermine Khe eg ilibri m.
15	I Whink e ho ldn W impl Whink abo W Whe
16	ma erick a being Winhe firm Winhawk i lea Wi likel Wio go
17	i\text{\text{W}}h \text{\text{\text{K}}he price increa e.}
18	We ho ld al o a k for an al Kerna Ki e Ko
19	ma erick .
20	MR. SCHEFFMAN: I like Khe ma erick, b K
21	probabl now for rea on o old appreciake. I m
22	al a ha ing arg menK . I ha e big arg menK iKh hard
23	core economi K ho Khink Khi ma erick K ff i b
24	I, a a KraKeg profe or, a firm choo e
25	Kheir compeKiKi e KraKegie . Mo K of Khi i abo K

1	hifKing Khe demand creone a or Khe oKher. IK
2	abo K hifKing c Komer Ko o from o r compeKiKor Ko
3	gain ne c Komer . Thak i hak I Khink a ma erick i .
4	I Wink hoehorning Whaw in Wo Whe coll ion

Khing i Whe problem. IN i now abow hewher whe ind wr ill break ow in coll ion. In i what whe ind wr old be le competitie. The ma erick doe get mi ed. The la er conf e competition in the meaning omeho what whe merger i going wo make a difference. The eare now who ame whing.

MR. KNIGHT: LeW me gee e in W o ge Wion.

Fir W of all, if coordinawion i now Whe wheor, i now

Whe nderling Wheor, h do e need principle on haw

i a ma erick? And, ho do o re-riwe Whe G ideline

o e make re Whe ma erick Wheor i now ab ed?

MR. SCHEFFMAN: I do Khink Khak o ha e Ko ha e -- I Khink hak i n K done ell i de eloping Khe fack al ba i . IK reall i a ma erick, b K Khi i Khe firm Khak ack all i making Khing more compekiki e. I Khink if o ha e a fack al ba i ho ing Khak Kr e and Khak compan i being acg ired b omeone el e ho doe n K ha e Khak Krakeg , o ha e a Krong Kark Ko a ca e.

The problem i of Ken Khak hak old eem Ko me old come pi e idence Khak Khak compan i compeking

1	more. Did I a Withaw back ard ? I Withink a ma erick i
2	here he doe now and to p ni h a m ch a he ho ld.
3	I Whink in real orld in awion here firm
4	differ and Withe firm can W find a Wop ni h or Wo make
5	ide pa men ${\mathbb K}$, ome firm are doing more of ${\mathbb K}$ he ork in
6	con Wraining coordinaWion Whan oWher .
7	IK eem a perfeckl rea onable khing for me ko
8	do Ko find o K ho Khe ma erick i in order Ko nder Kand
9	hekher khe merger
10	MR. SALOP: I gold hake ko ee coordinaked
11	effeck anal i ko be red ced ko hekher a firm i a
12	ma erick.
13	MR. DICK: There a corel Ko Khi , Koo, in
14	Kerm Ko Khe re pone Ko Khe econd ge Kion Palaked,
15	hich i Winere i ala a firm on Wineg p, Winere i
16	al a $$ a ma erick, more $$ Khan one $$ ma erick, and $$ Khe
17	g e Kkion i if Khe merger eliminaKe KhaK ma erick, ho
18	i ne $ $
19	Werm of Whe con Wrain Whe place on coordina Wion, When
20	Whe merger, e en Who gh iW eliminaWe a ma erick, o ld
21	now hae a er ignificanw effecw. Yo ala hae wo
22	look ak Khe ne k g and h he i going Ko replace Khe
23	g Window good knocked o W.
24	MR. SALOP: J K like Khe ne K be K b KiK Ke.

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

MR. DICK: Right.

25

1	marke₩	and 1	I doi	n ₩K	recall	earching	for	а	ma	erick
---	--------	-------	-------	------	--------	----------	-----	---	----	-------

To Khe e Kenk Khak e are reall in in e Kigakion omekime looking for one, I Khink Khe rea on for Khak come back Ko omekhing Khak o ha e been a ing here, Da id and okher, hich i if Khe ge Kion o reall need Ko an er i h doe Khi merger makker, nok i k hak i khe Krok re and hak are Khe markek charackeri Kic Koda, and hak o ld Khe be po K-merger, b k ho i Khi e ack merger going Ko make an impack. Thak i a er hard ge Kion Ko an er.

Thank i one of whe rea on ank DOJ e whiled who rein igoranke looking ank when wheorie and looking ank hank e ha e and looking ank hank e ha e left who do. The en e a e eren who doing a er good job of an ering whank g e which.

When o ha e a ma erick to point to, that er pecific -- thi merger, thi g , i ha ing thi impact on the market and pre mabl on the that impact an more.

IK nok rpri ing KhaK e o ld fall back on KhaK, KhaK Khe enforcemenK agencie o ld.

MR. YDE: On Khe definition of a ma erick and ho o can define a ma erick, ho i identified a a ma erick, in North et K/Conkinental, here I a on the other ide, North et K-- Im orr Jon I ha en the read or paper et K, I did read or deposition and or e pertherenous -- North et K

a now a compan withaw cold have been o idenwified, and
lea K a far a I nder Kand ik, Khere a n K an nderling
KrcK ral fackor Khak gge Ked h Khe old be Khe
ma erick. Ne erKhele , iK did appear, aK lea K o arg ed
What it ma hae been whe cae and it ma be pportable in
ome a , whank whe had a wrankeg whank on a repeanted ba i
Whe hi Woricall had now gone along ith Whe generali ed
price increa e KhaK ere propo ed in Khe ind Kr .

I ge Khi goe alo Ko o ranal i , Da id.

I iK e er fficienK KhaK o j K find Khere i a
hi Korical record of ma erickne ? I o ld Khink o o ld
ha e Ko idenKif ome nderling Kr cK ral fackor, eiKher
in iK co K or e ce capaciK or hake er el e broadl
defined, KhaK gge K h afKer a merger iK ma erickne
being lo K i imporKanK.

MR. BAKER: I Whink o are much happier if o can idenwif h. In whe Norwh e we ample, o ba icall had or fack right. It a hard wo pinpoint it. The beha ior had gone on for o long and o con i wenter what it a hard now wo reach whe concl ion whi reall a a firm what for rea on what eren we clear ba ed on marked were the conclination.

I old ha e been happier Ko ha e nder Kood h.

IK reall Kake a long hi Kor of ear of m lKiple

incidence of doing KhaK before I a illing Ko come p

1	i₩h	™ the	concl	ion	in	₩ha₩	са	е.
_	12411	24110		T 011		24110424	$-\alpha$	<u> </u>

2 MR. YDE: Do o Khink ik i imporkank khak o can e plain ko khe co rk --

MR. SCHEFFMAN: A a b ine Krakeg profe or,

I dra on more ba e Khan hak e e in imple economic

model. Ik i going ko be ob io Khak o ha e a ma erick.

Ik going ko be prekk ob io and o are going ko ha e

nak ral e perimenk ko be able ko pro e o had a ik akion

here khe one firm ne er follo khe price increa e of khe

compektikor and price change are imporkank, khe ho p on

khe hel e. Then o are going ko ha e a krack record like

khak or o are going ko ha e in ome ca e a relaki el

mall hare of firm khak go aro nd and are ed ko oice

khe bigger firm in ind krial markek.

A real ma erick, o are now going to ha e to h now for. Yo ha e to pro e it act all affect the price.

The go aro nd and knock on the door and the two gets b ine. That fine. Do the act all ha e an impact on price? That the critical point.

MR. KNIGHT: We have Kalked on a number of occa ion here about the additional approache and Kool Khak the agencie might begin to e to a e coordinated effect. Andre Dick a ort of in oled in the effort at the agencie to rein igorate the anal i. Can o tell a littice bit about hat o ee the agencie cold be doing

or are beginning to do in till ing coordinated effect?

Anowkher a wkhawk people ha e looked awk wkhawk, or ha e wkarwked
tKo look atK itK, i hetKher a firm mightK be pi otKal in a en e
WKhaWK WKhe remo al of WKhaWK firm i going WKo ignificanWKl
change whe incenwi e of a a bgrop of compewiwor wo
engage in coordinaked inkerackion, or khe incenki e and khe
abiliK of firm , a Withe firm Withaw aren K park of Kihi
alleged carkel or gro p of con pirakor , ko kake ackion
Whak old Wend Wo ndermine coordinawion.

One can Kr Ko look aK ario indice ch a comKrolling e ce capaciK, a I Khink Debbie menKioned briefl in Khe UPM ca e, in Khe complainK. In addition Ko Khe fackor Khak Debbie menKioned, Khere i al o dic ion abo K Khe acq ired firm repre enKing a er large hare of Khe e ce capaciK in Khe ind Kr. Thak i omeKhing Khak Khe complainK empha i e.

And when orking what nowion into hether, in fact, whe firm what a being acg ired in what merger a pi owal wo whe cee or whe likelihood of coordinated interaction.

Another categor of con KrainK i a mmetrie

bet een competitor. In o r earlier remark, e Kalked a

litokle bit abo K a mmetrie. I Khink Jon mentioned Khat.

A mmetrie in co K Kr ck re, in planning hori on, in

prod ck po itioning, geographic co erage, di co nk rake. A

lok of khe fackor khak come p in khe checkli K indeed, b K

1	here Wind ge Wion i now he wither wind e fac with are pre en with
2	or now b k co ld khe merger in ome ignificank a change
3	who e facwor , change who e con wrainw , rela whem, b
4	narro ing co K a mmeKrie . ThaK a one of Khe
5	allegaKion , for e ample, in Khe Primdor Ma oniKe ca e KhaK
6	withe Di i ion brog ghw w o or withree ear ago.

A Whird o rce of con WrainW cold be narroing Whe opport niwie for firm to de iate from coordination, and nder What categor, I whink people all whink about the degree of wran parence in whe market, what firm ober each other wrategie and can when ober each other pa off, hich i market hare and o forth.

Al o, whe pace of inno awion. I whi a markew in hich ne prodow are comminall being inwroded or cow change are comminall occurring what was create opport niwie or lend whem ele wo opport niwie for ma erick wo wake ad anwage of opening wo dir pw coordination?

None of Khe e concept, I Khink, i parkic larl ne. Probabl hak Khe Di i ion did, hich a , I Khink, noneKhele er helpfl, a Ko Kr Ko add a likkle more rigor and p K Khem in a more Kr ck red frame ork.

I had one lide Whatk a ork of helpf 1 Ko alk
Whro gh one e ample. It relake Ko khe point of ho e
inkerprek hi korical e idence, hich i omekhing khak ha

1	1	157 - 7 17	_ 1 177	alread	137T -
1	neen	mraiked.	ano Tik	airead	TK OCIA
_	\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim	E-LOL II I CO	G.D Q. 24	. allcaa	240040

Lew me j K ork of alk khro gh ik in khe inkere k of kime. If e find khe lide, e find ik.

The g e Kion i , ho ld hi Kor makker? We ha e a hi Kor of coordinakion, lek a , in Khi ind Kr . Sho ld ik makker, and if o, ho?

In prackice, whe cork has e placed b kankial eight in man case on his korical e idence. In the grain case, a his kor of price fi ing a who ght ko be er important. In Cardinal Health, whe his kor of kacit coordination a who ght ko be important. Price leader hip in the Hein case what Jon orked on, and in Hopikal Corp of America, whe notion where a cooperation, now nece aril anticompetitie, by cooperation in general in the past a whoght ko be possibly released to and ing a creat wran action.

Hi Kor ha clearl haped ho cork ha e Kho ghk abo K Khi and ha clearl haped ho agencie ha e heard e idence and infl enced ork of here Khe ha e ek Khe bar for a e ing merger effeck going for ard.

There i an empirical ba i for Khinking hi Kor
makKer KhaK i orK of gro nded in ome empirical e idence.

AK iK ba e, iK reall dri en b correlaKion, raKher Khan
o nd microeconomic Kheor.

In man cae, e Kalk abo K hi Kor a ork of a

1	mmar KaKi Kic for nob er able informakion, nob er able
2	dawka. We don wk kno h firm ere able wko coordinawke, b wk
3	e ob er ed withe did. Thank orwk of gew $oldsymbol{}$ o er withe iniwitial
4	h rdle of Khinking ma be Khe cold do iK again and ma be
5	Withe could do ink e en bewoker aftker Withe merger.

SKarKing ikkh Khak ba e, I Khink one of Khe Khing
Khak Khe ne approach ha Ka ghk i Khak e need Ko fir K
nderkake ork of a realik check.

The empirical e idence on hewkher hi wkor mawwker i ack all relawie el mi ed. There are ca e wk die, and Jon ha a er nice one in wkhe Jo rnal of La and Economic an mber of ear ago looking awk wkhe wkeel ind wkr and hewkher firm ere able wko learn more from coordinawion and conwing e en afwer orw of wkhe iniwial impew for iwk a remo ed.

On Whe owher hand, Where i low of cro ecwional e idence in W die of carwel and Wime erie
e idence Whaw indicawe recidi i m i relawi el lo or
rawher infreq enw, and hen recidi i m doe occ r, whaw
cce f l carwel eem wo break p m ch more g ickl whan
whe fir w ew of carwel or whe fir w incarnawion.

That i a realist check and it call a lists bit into g e kion the ba i for e krapolaking to the f t re from the pat.

INK al o bring _ p Withe ne WK point, Withe ne WK of

1	le on	of Khe Di	i ion	ne	learning,	hich i ₩	о а	е
2	ha e K o	idenKif	er	clearl	₩he micro	fo ndaKio	n for	h
3	hi ₩or	miqhK maK	Ker.					

A Debbie menkioned a fe min ke ago, khe crikical g e kion i ho ill khi merger effeck compekikion or effeck coordinakion in khi markek. In khe conke k of looking ak khe hi korical e idence, e ha e ko a k, ill hi kor affeck coordinakion afker khi pecific propo ed merger?

There are a n mber of good KheoreKicall - gro nded rea on h hi Kor might ha e Khat effect. Hi Kor can help b ild nder Kanding among K firm . It can help re eal Khe K pe of firm , Kheir co K parameter , Kheir di co nk rake -- information Khat Kheor Kell i rele ank Ko het coordination i Kainable.

Hi Kor can Keach firm ho Ko coordinake. Thak
i Khe e ample Khak Jon anal ed on hi paper on Khe NRA
code for Keel. Hi Kor can alo omekime improe Khe
acc rac of c rrenk and f k re monikoring; a Khak o er
Kime firm learn more abo k Khak, nderling demand
condikion and eeing Khe are relaki el Kable ma help
Khem in Khe f k re in Kerm of monikoring and p ni hing.

The final point Khat ha to be added to thi ,
pointed of the the Di i ion ne approach, i there ha to
be a en it it check. We ala ha e to a k, ha hi to

1	changed ignificankl ince e la k looked? Ha khere been
2	ignificanK enKr ? Ha Khe geographic markeK broadened?
3	Ha e po er b er emerged? Ha e capacik con Kraink been
4	rela ed? Can e demon KraKe heKher in facK Kho e change
5	in Withe hi Worical e idence ha e reall led Wo imporWanW
6	change , a in pricing beha ior?

I j K Kook Khi deko r Ko Kalk abo K hi Kor a one e ample, b K I Khink Khe ne approache are inKended Ko b ild from Khe checkli K approach and a i Khere omeKhing Khere KhaK mighK Kell abo K here e ho ld look for e idence, and ork of Kr Ko be reali Kic abo K iK, appl realik check and en iKi iK check, and abo e all, de elop a good firm micro KheoreKical fo ndaKion for h KhaK fackor makker.

MR. KNIGHT: Follo ing on KhaK, Da e, o Kalked earlier abo K KhaK Khe economi K ma ha e more Ko conKrib Ke, parKic larl in a e ing c rrenK markeK pre-merger. Can o Kalk Ko abo K ome a KhaK mighK come abo K?

MR. SCHEFFMAN: I ork of all ded Ko Khak. I e Kalked abo K ik in ario a alread, hich i, I Khink o need Ko look be ond Khe checkli K and Khen aid Khak Khe agencie clearl do Khak. I Khink ik ho ld be clear Ko e er one Khak e do, Khe agencie do.

Look at the detail . We are talking about merger

affecKing price. Thak i hak e are all concerned	
iWth. Where i price dewKermined? In mow K of Withe markewK	е
look atk, price i detkermined betk een tkhe eller and tkhe	
indi id al b er. IK now like Khe heak markek and har	dl
an of Withe markews e look aws are like Withaws heaws markews.	

Yo need to look at act all the detail of the e price and the determination of the e price to get ome idea hether or not o think there i coordination iability. That i the main point of Mar and m paper.

Looking at the detailed tran action le el data, looking at in detail hat information firm ha e from one another.

Mar and I had a onderf l ca e once here e had j K Kerrible doc menk Khak o r clienk a Khe price leader, all Khe compekikor Kho ghk ik a Khe price leader. IK K rned o K Khak e looked ak all Khe daka and ik a prekk hard ko ee from Khe daka Khak Khere a an leader hip going on. A I Kried ko Kell o r clienk, ik hard ko be a leader if o don K ha e an follo er.

What looked like from the doc ment a cla ic oligopol, that the ere tracking hat each other ere doing, looking at ho the ere gaining ale from and lo ing ale to, and e er thing like that, and tracking that b looking again to the other compan, there a no match in the data. Looking at ho the track capacity, hich the track do er carefil, and then e cold check that

1	again 🕊	ha#K #Khe	oKher	companie	acK	all	did,	KhaK	or ₩ of
2	de≪Kailed	anal i	i cl	learl e	r rel	e anK	and	i₩ i	pelled
3	_o K in de	Kail in t	Khe pa	aper.					

To get into the detail of hether o reall has an ork of coordination going on or o has a bai for it, again, I think it er good to ff, bt it onl a one a tet. Yo can act all do that. I e orked on a lot of market that o might think are oligopolie, bt the data o ee, a in a chemical merger, it hard to find real oligopolie the eda ho ing pat the agencie.

When a Khe la K merger ca e DOJ had KhaK generaKed a erio price fi ing in e KigaKion? NoK Koo man .

Ankikr K akkorne are going Ko look ak, Kalk Ko
people and look ak, Khe doc menk and a Khere i no a
o are going Ko do Khi merger. There i anokher rea on
h Khak i nok a er iable Kheor. Yo are nok going Ko
ee ik hardl, e en here ik doe e ik.

I Whink e need Wo be looking aw ome whing ele and here e pla , gi en Whe pre-creening, hich i doe Whe merger make a difference?

MS. MAJORAS: DOJ doe ha e one no .

Malk Ko

1 coordinakion model.	Yo	can onl	in,	9	can ₭ lo e.
-----------------------	----	---------	-----	---	-------------

Whank e need i nke nk nkhank can rejecnk coordinankion or e need onkher nke nk nkhank can rejecnk compenkinkion.

OWKher i e, WKhe WKe WK are reall problemawKic.

The i e of heWkher e are going Wko grandfaWkher e i Wking coordinaWkion or heWkher, in facWk, e are going Wko hold e i Wking coordinaWkion again Wk Wkhe merger. The 1992

Gideline don Wk re ol e Wkhi i e f ll.

In m ie , I don K Khink e ho ld grandfakher pre-e i King coordinakion, for khe imple rea on khak ab enk khe merger coordinakion mighk break do n if and hen markek condikion change, b k if o permik khe merger, ik co ld enkrench khe coordinakion and pre enk khe breakdo n in khe f k re if and hen markek condikion change.

The Merger G ideline reall do fog on whe incremental effects of whe merger, and when he er e plicital a what whe are orried abo w whi enterenchment factor.

There i Khi one place, in SecKion 1.11, in market definition, here the G ideline a Khat the agencie e pre ailing price, nle pre-merger circ m Kance are Krongl gge Ki e of coordinated interaction, in hich ca e, the agenc old e a price more reflectie e of the competitie e price.

To Whe e Wenk Where i an coordinaked in Kerackion or a chance of it, Whak mean o hold appl Whi, and

1	Withaw mean g can wildow the SSNIP when with a ed on withe grrenw
2	price, and it mean critical lo , one more rea on h
3	ho ld Khro o K imple criKical lo anal i .
4	I don ₭ kno of an ca e in hich ₭he agencie
5	ha e e er applied \mathfrak{K} hi pro i o. The a ma be \mathfrak{K} he ill
6	e a different price SSNIP Ke K, b K I e ne er een one.
7	MR. SCHEFFMAN: I g arankee o an ind kr in
8	hich Khe Kaff ha prekK con incing rea on Ko belie e Khak
9	price look picio l high beca e of f nn ork of
10	beha ior, o are going Ko gek a merger blocked.
11	MR. BAKER: I ha e one point Ko add Ko SKe e
12	comment abo to the pre ailing price, hich I ba icall agree
13	itKh. The empha i tKhatK botKh of tKhe agencie ha e been
14	making recent(), and e peciall t() fTC, on gat() hering
15	empirical e idence on i _ e a Ko heKher Khe merger i
16	reallef 1.
17	There are inkere king idea abo k khing khak
18	might be te ted and o can look at on tacit coordination.
19	The point I and to make abot all the e empirical
20	y die i whaw whe are wied wo pecific wheorie of ho

1	h i	#70701 007	f ~ ~#7	
T	nı	Korical	Iacu	

We can a Whoe damn la er, iW. Werrible What e ha e Wop W, p iWh Whem, b W e are park of Whe proce, and What i haw e likimatel ha e Wo do. IW. go erned in Whe end b haw o ha e Wo do in cork, and What a good di cipline.

MR. YDE: We don K ank Ko di orce Khe doc menk from Khe Kheor. What o are doing e enkiall ith doc menk i Kaking admi ion in doc menk and form laking Khem in Kheor, hak i con i Kenk ith Kho e doc menk, and parkic larl okher e idence Khak ma gge K Khak Khe Kran ackion i nok ankicompekiki e.

I Whink o and two wake who e admi ion and form lawe a wheor what i con i went iwh who e admi ion

MS. MAJORAS: Ye, Khere noge Kion, and be ide KhaK, o hae Ko Kake Kho e doc menK and o hae Ko anal e Kho e in Khe conKe K of all of Khe oKher doc menK. Yo can K i K pl ck one o K of Khe file and a Khi i e idence.

MR. KNIGHT: A *Khe e anal e mo e for ard a*K bo*Kh agencie, ho do o ee *Khi affec*King *Khe a in hich o co n el clien*K?

MR. YDE: There are Whree different Whing e do.

I Whink Debbie old agree. Fir W., Where i Whe con eling on Wran ackion, con eling p-front, making prediction

abo K hak i likel Ko happen on a Kran ackion in fronk of Khe agenc, Khe core of re ie ak Khe agenc, and relaked Ko Khak i Khe a Khak o negokiake Khe pro i ion in Khe merger agreemenk.

The econd i Whe repre enwarkion before Whe agencie, and Whe Whird i liwigarkion. I ll c w wo whe cha e on liwigarkion. There i almo w nowhing what i going on in whe agencie what ha an effect on whe o w come of liwigarkion. I m re whe people in whe agencie right no o ld di agree in what, b w if o look are whe co rw deci ion in whe UPM ca e, I whink o recogni e what whe co rw aren w pa ing an are wearn to whe wheorie what whe agencie -- are lea w whe more rigoro de cripwion of whe wheorie what whe wheorie what whe agencie what whe agencie -- are proponding.

On con eling, whe mow ignificant effect or the mow ignificant e ent in all of this for con eling a client on merger i hen the leader hip of both agencie aid e intend to rein igorate coordinated effect anal i. That a pretok much the beginning and the end.

Once o are Kold Khak Khe agencie inKend Ko
foc on coordinaked effeck Kheorie, o need no Ko Kake
Khak inko acco nk in Khe a Khak o are making a
predickion abo k Khe co r e of agenc beha ior and, al o,
abo k Khe a o are going ko negokiake Khe ri k allocakion
pro i ion, e peciall if o are looking ak a Kran ackion

- in hich Withere i likel Wo be a mall park of Withe o erall
- 2 Wran ackion what comprie whe potential coordinated effect,

1	now pre emking a crikical lo anal i on e er ca e o
2	had before him, o ere probabl making a mi Kake. The
3	ame Whing i Wrre here. I old j W gge W Whe man al
4	Whank ha been p w wogewher b whe Deparkment of J wice
5	ack all hold be generall di Krib Ked Ko Khe general
6	p blic. I ha en K een iK eK, b K I o ld gge K KhaK
7	o ld be helpf l Ko e er bod in predicKing ho Khe agenc
8	i going Ko look ak or deal and ho o hold pre enk
9	orcae.

IK Wo gi e g idance Wo Whe Waff and management who who whe leader hip and when which was a management who look at whe ca e.

There i ome feedback. Yo ank ko do ome of the anal e pfronk ko ee hake er ik happen ko be khak i being gge ked b khe agenc a being infl enkial. Yo ank ko do ome of khak pfronk ko ee ho ik i going ko look hen o go in.

IN generall doe now ha e a big impact on mook of the ork of gro leel j dgment of are making about heather to proceed.

MR. BAKER: Wh bowkher wo do ink if ink doe n wk mankwer for whe o wkcome?

MS. MAJORAS: He in \mathbb{K} a ing \mathbb{K} doe n \mathbb{K} ma \mathbb{K} MKer for \mathbb{K} he \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{K} come.

MR. YDE: IK i Khe ge Kion of heKher o are

1	aoina	₩O	proceed	i K h	₩he	⊮ran	acKion	or	no₩
T	goring	-77.0	proceed	TFITT	FITTIC	TYL AII	actition	O_{\perp}	11057

- 2 MR. BAKER: I n K iK e pen i e Ko do Khi in 3 ad ance?
- MS. MAJORAS: The client doe n to often gi e o

 Kime to do to month orth of a pricing to d to tell o

 hat to do. The ant to kno tomorro hether o think

 the o ght to gi e thi one a hot.
- MR. YDE: Like mo K Khing, can generali e Koo m ch. Whak I aid a a gro generali akion.
 - MR. SCHEFFMAN: I ank Ko clarif omething Debbie aid, beca e I m re he didn K mean ik. Doc menk and okher g alikaki e informakion are nok nece aril "fack."

 And fack-ba ed anal e , ofken nok econometric anal i , can corroborake or di pro e khe "fack " appearing in doc menk and depo ikion .

In m la K KinK aK Khe FTC, e had a diffic lk ca e and khe akkorne reall had con incing e idence. B K ik a diffic lk ko fig re o k hak khe markek a . The markek i c Komer khak ha e khe e akkrib ke , and ik eemed right. That hak khe doc menk aid. Ho e er, khe economi k anal ed gok khe c Komer li k of khe companie . The markek a n k an khing like khak.

MS. MAJORAS: I m now a ing Whaw Whe anal e are al a going Wo come Wo doc menw. I Who ghw I a being a ked a general g e Wion. Yo ha e Wo pla Whem according

$ ilde{K}$ O ha $ ilde{K}$ O ha e in from $ ilde{K}$ Of $ ilde{O}$ O. In addition, where are
Wkime here I might ack all di agree ith o, here I
mighK Whink Whe doc menK , if iK i regi Wering haw in facK
a compan Whink one of iW compeWiWor i doing and reacW
accordingl and KhaK K rn o K Ko be rong once an economi K
come in and doe a K d , o hak. Thak firm a beha ing
beca e of haw iw who ghw, iw had imperfect information, o
What a ack all rele and, e en tho ghit k rned ot to be
now Withe act al fact .

One final Khing, one Khing Khak I Khink i inkere King in con eling clienk in merger, and Kalking abo K coordinaked effeck er nilakeral effeck, I Khink I a ome of ik in Khe d namic on Khe okher ide of Khe Kable hen I a ak DOJ, and Khak i ik i almo K p chological.

IK er hard Ko gek clienk foc ed omekime or Khe righk i e hen o are Kalking Ko Khem abo K coordinaked effeck, beca e Khe Kake ik per onall, beca e Khe become er angr. Yo can ack all ee ik in meeking omekime on Khe okher ide, here e old pend 45 min ke ikh member of a firm e plaining ko hak greak people khe are and ho khe are er hone k people, and khe are ne er going ko do bad khing khak old p k Khem in jail and khak ork of khing.

Ij K menkion Khak beca e ik i ork of an

1	inKere King fack. IK i Kre, I Khink, hen o con el
2	clienK , iK Kake a long Kime Ko gek Khe clienK Ko ork of
3	calm do n, now j w be orw of wicked off aw whe agenc , and
4	now j w and wo keep prowe wing, b w wo nder wand e are
5	now j w walking abo w e pliciw coll ion. We are walking
6	abo K oKher form of coordinaKion.

IK now going to be helpf 1 to go into the agence and tell them of hare learned of le on, of are er good no, this is near going to happen again. If the market han to changed by the tell that is the market han to changed by the tell that it is now going to be perface.

The don K reall nder Kand Khi. The ank Ko kno h did o make me p K in place all Khe e onderf l compliance program and no iK i n K going Ko do me an good. On Khe oKher hand, hen e are Kalking abo K nilaKeral effeck, nobod Kake iK per onall if o gge K Khe mighK ack like Khe are Khe king of Khe hill.

IK i al a an inKere King fackor, I Khink, hen g are con eling.

MR. SALOP: I di agree it Da e that imple correlation are going to carr the da in cort. That i hat econometric i all abot. One ide pt in a impleminded correlation and then the other ide ho that it didn to control for that factor, and hen o pt the control in, it the relitary nd.

1	The le on i KhaK U.S. Tobacco didn K y K an
2	economekric re pon e ko khe hodd 💃 d khak a done. If
3	Whe had, Whe co ld ha e blamed Whe damage a a in a
4	di Krick co rk.

I Whink e ee Wime and Wime again Whaw people do per correlaWion , When Whe oWher ide doe ome ophi WicaWed economewric , perhap j W e plained a frwher ariable , and Whe blo a a Whe iniwiial dawa.

MR. SCHEFFMAN: I aid o on K find a correlaKion or a regre ion in Khere aK all. IK i j K imple fack. Ye, here Khe price. Take a look aK Khem, ee hak o Khink. I m nok a ing Khak i Khe onl Khing. Economi K can do more Khan Khak. If o do omeKhing oKher Khan Khak, hich o omeKime need Ko do, Khen o need Ko be ophi Kicaked abo K ik, of core.

I a j K re ponding KhaK omeKime economi K can do omeKhing and Khe omeKime forgeK Khi , lek j K de elop Khe ba ic fack here and ee heKher hak Khe la er are a ing i Kre, and omeKime ik i nok beca e ofKen Khe b ine people don K ha e ik right. That i ofKen here Khere i a big margin of oppork nik . That i nok ko a o can do an ork of modeling and correlation, o bekker do ik right.

MR. KNIGHT: In Khe min Ke Khak e ha e lefk, I ank Ko gi e each of o r paneli K a chance Ko an er Khi

1	lang age from Khe G ideline abo K Khe merger making
2	coordinakion more perfeck, more compleke, and more d rable.
3	IK doe n K a iK i ab ol Kel perfeck or ab ol Kel
4	complete or d rable in all re pect. It a directional
5	implicaKion.
6	MS. MAJORAS: I agree ith Andre . I think it i
7	more imporkank khak khe ario piece khak e e in
8	SecKion 7 anal i ha e ome con i Kenc a Ko Khe Kandard
	7

1	₩andaı	rd K ha	awK I	don	₩ Whin	k a	inKer	nded b	Cong	re .	
2		I	agı	ree i	K i an	inKeı	re Kir	ng paper	and	⊮here	are
3	₩ime	hen _	9	are	orking	Khro	gh a	SecKion	1 p	roblem	and

1	adding to the proof. Thi i not an attempt to replace hat
2	e do no .
3	MR. SCHEFFMAN: I e done bowkh Secwkion 1 and
4	Sec Kion 7 ca e . IK prekK ob jo e ha e Sec Kion 1
5	Kandard for merger ca e . I Khink e e Khe ord
6	"coll ion," b K KhaK i noK reall haK e are doing aK Khe
7	agencie . We are looking at $_{ullet}$ b KanKial le ening of
8	compeKiKion, SLC, KhaK Khe markeK i going Ko become le
9	compeKiKi e. SomeKime Khe a g a iK, iK i coll ion,

1	ppl	-ه-	b ·	KiĶ	, ₩ic	on,	ho e	e er	î, i	hard	11	ne	₩o	anK:	iKŗ	ĸ
2	anal	i	•	ΙK	ha	ind	leed	a	er	long	and	di	₩in	g i	hed	
3	hi #Ko	r														

Indeed, in Whe 1982 G ideline Whe a Whor, in awww.empWking Wo pro ide a more rigoro algorithm for defining market and idenWif ing market parkicipant, poke pecificall Wo Whe concept of ppl b Wik Wion.

The 1992 G ideline ad anced Whi nowion Will from the from the parkic lar, arkic lawed in a far more preci e manner whe mean bhich whe agencie ill e alawe enwr in wheir merger anal i.

A I nowked, whe werm " ncommitteed enter " appeared fir the in 1992 and, im leanned l , whe werm "committeed enter " appeared in the 1992 G ideline .

Cla if ing enKr inKo Khe e parKic lar cakegorie of commitKked and ncommitKked gge K , ak Khak Kime ak an rake, Khak ik a Kho ghk Khak ch a di Kinckion o ld impro e Khe anal Kical frame ork of merger anal i , and al o hopef ll Khe prackical ef lne of Khe G ideline .

We no ha e 12 ear of hind ight and e perience
b hich to a e the efficac of tho e re i ion, and that
re i ion in partic lar. Among other thing, e might a k
thoda, i hether cla if ing entr into the e cathegorie of
committeed and noommitteed, and indeed, placing them at
one hat in di parate part of the G ideline o erall

1	anal	₩ ical	frame	ork	ha	,	in	fac¶K,	helped	or	hindered	₩he
2	_ ef	lne	of Whe	e <u>G</u> :	idel	in	ıe .					

More f ndamenKall, gi en acK al e perience o er
Khe pa K 12 ear, e mighK a k heKher iK make en e Ko
conKin e Ko Kr Ko dra Khi di KincKion.

From an economic KandpoinK, i iK an arKificial di KincKion? Doe iK ha e a KheoreKical and/or prackical ba i Khak i orkh Kaining and mainkaining for Khe f K re? Ho of Ken i ncommit Ked en Kr a ke fackor in merger anal i and in hak ind Krie and market might e mo K e peck Khe concept of ncommit Ked en Kr Ko pla a ignificank role in merger anal i?

To addre whee and owher i e relawed who whee concept of noommitteed enter, I am plea ed to a what e ha e a er diwking i hed panel of commentation.

LeW me i W Wake a cople of min We briefl Wo inwrod ce o Wo Whe paneli W. To m far lefw i Dog Melamed, ho i a parwner aw Wilmer, C Wher & Pickering, here he co-chair Whaw la firm anwiwr w pracwice grop, and a mow of o kno, in bew een wink in pri awe pracwice, Dog er ed a Whe principal Dep W. A i Wank Awworne General aw Whe J Wice Deparwner in Whe Anwiwr W. Di i ion in Whe lawe 1990, and c lminawed hi wen re of dw Where a Acwing A i Wank Awworne General in charge of whe Anwiwr W Di i ion.

1	SiKKking ne K Ko Dogg i Dr. TimoKh Daniel, ho i
2	an economi K iKh NERA, here in Wa hingKon, D.C. Like Dog,
3	Tim al o ha a di King i hed prior career in go ernmenK
4	er ice, ha ing er ed a an A i Kank Direckor for
5	Ankikr K here in Khe B rea of Economic ak Khe Federal
6	Trade Commi ion.
7	To m near right i Mark Whitener. Mark i
8	c rrenkl ankikr k co n el for khe General Eleckric
9	Compan , here he ha been ince 1997. Like Khe oKher , he
10	al o ha prior go ernmenK e perience, for e eral ear
11	er ing a Wine Dep W. Direckor in Wine Brea of Compektikion
12	here aK Khe Federal Trade Commi ion. Mark i al o
13	c rrenkl an a ociake edikor of khe ABA Ankikr k
14	Seckion Ankikr k Maga ine.
15	La K, b K cerKainl noK lea K, Dr. Rick
16	Warren-Bo lKon, a principal iKh MiCRA, an economi K
17	con_ lKing firm here in Wa hingKon. D ring hi career,
18	like i e, he penk Kime iKh Khe go ernmenK ha ing er ed a
19	Whe Dep WK A i WkanWK AWWKorne General for Economic Anal i
20	aK Khe AnKiKr K Di i ion for e eral ear in Khe 1980 .
21	With tho e brief introd ottion, let me a k Do g tho
22	begin ikh ome remark on ncommikked enkr .
23	MR. MELAMED: Thank , Ted.

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

an important part of merger anal i , and indeed, lot of

24

25

Ob io 1 , i e of ppl re pon e and enKr are

L	a pecK of ankiky K anal i . I Kake ik Khak Khe Kopic
2	Woda i now Whaw broad one, b W rawher Whe narro er one of
3	Whe ef lne and de irabilik of breaking Khak Kopic inko
1	₩ o eparawe cawegorie or di iding whe ni er e of
5	pokenkial enkrank inko k o cakegorie , o-called commikked
5	and ncommitted entrant .

Under Khe G ideline , hich do make ch a di Kinckion, ncommiked enkrank are defined a firm Khak are now pre enkl elling in Khe market, b k o ld enker ikhin one ear in re pon e ko a price increa e and co ld enker ikho k inc rring ignificank co k .

Once fo nd to be an ncommitteed enterant, the firm i incl ded in the rele and market, and that, of core, ha implication for HHI and other calc lation. Potential enterant that are now ncommitteed enterant are taken into accord, a Ted aid, much later in the anal i a de cribed in the G ideline.

In m ie, whe di wincomion doe now enhance merger anal i. Iw make no en e for a n mber of rea on . Fir w, lew me wo ch pon ome of whe rea on what are ea ier wo wawe.

There are prackical problem in the kind of di Kinckion. In creake an addikional i e in merger anal i khak ome people ma ack all pend kime on, and khak i orr ing abo k hak cakegor doe khi pokenkial

ppl re pon e fall in Ko. Thi i no K an area Kha K i 1 2 likel Ko ha e or in m e perience ha had m ch pa off, 3 beca e Khere are almo K al a ome co K , e peciall if opport nit cot are taken into accont. I ge 4 5 anow Kher a of a ing without withe facts without with a firm i now K elling in Khe markeK a 6 pre enKl omeKhing. _ nder Kand iK, Khere ha e been er fe ca e here 7 _ ncommitteed enter ha fig red into the anal i. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Secondl, e en here an ncommitaked enkrank i idenkified, in reading the G ideline, o can dekermine that o ha en the kreamlined or horkened the anal i in an a b making the idenkification.

The G ideline are e plicit about a proposition

That eem clearl correct. That i, e en an noommitted
entrant can to be deemed to be completed in the market.

That i to a that not all of it capacit hold be deemed
to be in the market. The G ideline trate, for e ample,
that capacit that i "committed or profitable emplo ed
out ide the market, "that it old not enter in repone to
a SSNIP, and the hold not be included in the market.

E en if o idenKif an ncommikWked enKrank, o Khen ha e Ko a k Khe g e Kion, ho m ch of Khi enKrank capacik hold be in Khe markek. And for Khak, o old

1	Khe parKi	ç lar	fac≪ ,	ha∙K	i 🛡	Khe li	kelihood	of ₩he	K iming
2	and Withe m	agniK	de of	en K r	one	mighK	predicK	from W	hi
3	en⊮ran⊮.								

Now rpri ingl , o r e perience doe n k gge k

Khere i an a Khi cakegor Kreamline Khe anal i , nor

doe ik affeck Khe b Kanki e anal i of Khe merger. Ik

might affeck Khe b Kanki e anal i if HHI calc lakion

ere Ko be an end-all or e en a h gel important park of

merger anal i . B k e all kno Khak HHI are ak be k a

Karking poink.

The recent data relea ed b the agencie gge the are kind of like that in going to happen.

The ncommitteed enter e erci e i onl apparentel to enable refinement of the calc lattion of the initial HHI and, it eem to me, that i not going to ha e m ch effect on the other of the merger anal i.

In addiktion Ko Kho e prackical con ideraktion, I

Khink Khere are Kheorektical problem ikh Khe

ncommikKed/commikKed enkr dichokom. Fir K, Khere i

al a in m ie a problem hen o creake cakegorie and
force la er and economi K Ko foc on Khe cakegorie and
arg e abo K hekher omekhing doe or doe nok belong in a

cakegor. Yo Khen begin Ko gek a lok of rea oning b

analog, rakher Khan b Kanki e anal i abo K hak Khe

comp	peKiKi	. е	effec	×K of	₹ We the	merger.	. AK	be ₭,	9	ha	e a	a ki	nd
of,	nd e	for	rmali	m hei	ce b	creaKir	ng 🖔 (о саЖе	gorie	e 1	Ero	n ha	aŧK
are	reall	_	impl	diff	eren	K place	on a	a con ®	in_ n	n.			

We kno from Whe C ideline and ond anal i What it is often whe cale what where are perior meal re woode for calc lawing market hare, cha dollar ale and nit ale. If own wood wan noommitted enter into a market in hich whe C ideline old ordinaril gge when what whe between a woodlar ale, o either are going who has a apple and orange in or calc lawion of market hare, or o are going who be regiring all hare who be calc lawed on whe bai of capacit, hich ill owher ie be regarded a who about we a of calc lawing hare.

ell ikkh ikk prporked prpo e. Ikkake ikkkhe prpo e i
WKo fig re o WK haWK i in WKhe markeWK. I WKake iWK WKhe p rpo e
of fig ring of K hank i in Withe markenk i Witho be able Without a
iKh more or le preci ion and acc rac hak i Khe nak re
of Withe compektivion in Withe markew. Whave are Withe real
con KrainK on Khe compeKiKi e cond cK in Khe pre-merger
period.

The rea on o do Khak i Ko dekermine hekkher

Khi markek i cepkible Ko ankicompekiki e re Krickion.

If ik a heak farmer, e old probabl Khink nok. Or Ko

idenkif hekkher Khe merger eem Ko be eliminaking hak a

nder Khe Kak go anke an imporkank con Kraink on

ankicompekiki e beha ior b, for e ample, merging ikh a

ma erick or merging ikh a compan ikh a large markek

hare.

The ncommitteed/committeed enter di Kinckion doe not kell o er preci el an khing abo k khe kak go ante. The crikical definition of "ncommitteed enter "i khere are no nk co k . S nk co k are not an e enteial condition for e erking a pre ente competiti e re kraint.

Enter khat kake a great deal of nk co k co ld nder ome circ m kance ind ce enormo competiti e con kraink in khe market.

One ind Kr, for e ample, i mokion pick re e hibikion, here a ne Kake-of-khe-ark enkrank in kheaker cold

o ernight render ob olette the ing mbent, beca e of a brand
lo alw in wheawer e hibiwion. B whe ame woken, whe
ab ence of _ nk co K doe n K g aranKee KhaK Khere ill be
an effect on pre ent competition, beca e if limit pricing
i now reg ired beca e inc mbenw co ld in Kanwaneo l
re pond Ko anklicipaked ne enkr and rekain Kheir markek
hare, Khen o are now going to have an pre ent effect
from an one ho i charackeri ed a an ncommikked enkr .

For who e prackical and wheorexical rea on , I don which whe di which inchion make an ene.

I Khink if e are going Ko ha e a di Kinckion, I o ld gge K e go back Ko ome old fa hioned nomenclak re.

I o ld gge K Khak e o ghk Ko a k o r el e in a e ing
Khe Kak g o: In addikion Ko Khe firm in Khe markek, are
Khere firm nok in Khe markek ho are e erking a percei ed
pokenkial enkr effeck? Then o might be ack all making
Khe Kak of compekikion in Khe markek more compekiki e Khan
Khe Kak g o anke.

The econd cakegor, Khe committeed enkrant, Kho e ho e erk no pre enk percei ed enkr or ill predick ack al enkrie, and o anal e kheir impack on khe merger, in khe competitie effect kage hen a king khe g e kion, if khere ere ankicompetitie effect from khi merger, o ld khe enkr ameliorake khem.

If g look at it in therm of a ing effects

1	rakher khan ncommikked enkr nokion, o mighk ank ko make
2	ome roghji dgmenK. Ho m ch of hi capaciK do people
3	Kthink i likel Ko enKer? WhaK do Khe percei e Ko be hi
4	likel entKr? And o cold calc latKe ome HHI in tKhe
5	proce calc laKion.
6	I don 版 kno 版ha版 i版 _ o ld become 版he HHI
7	calc lawkion, bowk ink o ld be a dawkapoink wkhank mighok gi e o
8	ome a of grankif ing khe magnik de of percei ed pokenkial
9	emKr effeck.
10	Be ond KhaK, I old di pen e iKh Khe kind of
11	dichoKom KhaK Khe pre enK G ideline ha e.
12	MR. GEBHARD: Thank o, Dog. Tim?
13	MR. DANIEL: Good afKernoon. I Khink m commenK
14	are going Ko be largel complemenKar Ko Dog g . I d like
15	Ko make a fe addiKional poinK a e go. AK Khe end, like
16	Dog did, I ill hae a prackical gge kion for a po ible
17	alkernaki e ko khe ç rrenk applicakion of ncommikked enkr
18	concept in the merger re ie proce .
19	I don K Khink Khere i an di agreemenK among Khi
20	cro d KhaK Khe Merger G ideline pro ide a preKK logical
21	approach Ko Khe merger re ie , defining Khe markeK according
22	wo con mer beha ior, idenwif ing parwicipanwo in whawo
22	
22	

1	anal	i	, ,	go	inKo	₩he	compeKiKi	е	effec w	and	⊮he	enKr
2	and K l	ne	effici	ieno	cie .							

There i no di agreement Khank ncommitted entr a defined in the G ideline and a defined b Ted at the other of the confidence of the confide

The getKion i here ncommitted enter hold be anal ed. Ultkimatkel, it reall doen to matter. I think economit are all pretter method on the ame page it regard to that. Yo don to ank to gething pon here o do the anal i, but certainl o do need to do it. The anal i can be done in a confing a and it can be done in an efficient a, here it done at the trage of identifing market participant for prope of calc latting market hare for the participant and the HHI that follo.

Who do I a Khak? For man of Khe ame rea on Khak Dogi Karkic laked, a laid ok in Khe Gideline.

In relaki el complicaked. In nok enogh i k ko idenkif, a Dog old a, a firm Khak might be aiking in the ing or a a firm Khak might have capacik and ere a parkic lar markek.

I Whink Wo do Whe anal i correctl, o need Wo a e Whe profitabilit of What ncommitted entrant

beginning ale in Winhe marker of concern. To do Winham, a
Whe G ideline poinK o K, o need Ko con ider Khe e KenK of
Whe ncommitteed entrank cott. I don to think there are
an cae here a firm Withaw now grrenkl elling in a
markew whaw decided wo mo e inwo whaw markew iwho w
inc rring annk co K , o Khe _ nk co K are Kr l ero.
Yo ha e Ko con ider Khe e KenK Ko hich KhaK firm o ld
ing r who e co we and whe agencie ha e hi woricall , and
rightf ll o, been er concerned abo tt tr ing to g antif
Withou e and a e Withou.

In a different interest and out market, o need to a e hetcher or not the noommitted entrant old capt re ale. In other ord, old it prodot be attract e enogh to con mer to make that entr matter to the competitie equilibrim. It not a imple anal i at all, in m ie.

La Kl, hawk economi K like Ko do i a e Khe profikabilik of enkr ko compare Khe margin Khak might be earned b Khi ncommikked enkrank going for ard again K Khe nk co K of enkr and Khe ongoing co K of operation, and ee hekher or nok Khak ncommikked enkr make en e. In m ie, in Kho e ca e here Khe calc lakion of hare and Khe calc lakion of hare and Khe calc lakion of delka from a merger are inkended Ko be in an inikial creen -- i ik Kime for Ko go for ard or nok - Khe e kind of

- calc lawion are ork of o K ide Khak proce and co ld be
- an inefficient a to cond of the merger re ie .
- Thi morning hen I a here, I Whink in a Joe

fall inko khe ncommikked enkr bo, or hekker ik be i,

12 or 19 monkh ok and ma be be in khe commikked enkr bo

1k reall doe n k change khe imporkance of khe anal i or

4 khe nak re of khe anal i.

I don $\mbox{\tt K}$ Khink $\mbox{\tt K}$ ha $\mbox{\tt K}$ in $\mbox{\tt K}$ ion make $\mbox{\tt K}$ he merger proce mo e more mo $\mbox{\tt K}$ hl .

To bring home Whe point, Whe G ideline doe Whe anal i right in m ie or directed. Wo do Whe anal i right, b w what anal i i comple. A I aid, economi w like wo do whi w ff. Thi i now w ff what e propo e now wo do. Cerwainl, I am now a ing what aw all. We are ppo ed wo do it aw a different point in whe merger re ie proce.

The fir K point peak Ko Khe need Ko check and ee hekher Khi noommikked enkrank ill ack all be able Ko make ale in Khe markekplace. If a firm ha Khe Kechnical capabilik Ko achie e ch an noommikked ppl re pon e b K likel old nok, beca e of diffic lkie in achie ing prod ck accepkance or di Krib Kion or prod ckion old render ch a re pon e nprofikable, Khak firm ill nok be con idered Ko be a markek parkicipank.

I Khink Khe recenK FTC in e KigaKion of Khe ice cream cae -- here Khe i e, a I nder Kood iK a an o K ider, a heKher a ne enKranK, a ne firm, co ld geK di Krib Kion of iK ice cream prod cK o K Ko con mer --

pro ed wo be a er lengwih nderwaking, a er complicawed anal i in hich whe co w of di wrib wion and whe abiliw wo ew p whe di wrib wion new ork ere aw whe cenwer of what in e wigawion.

Again, whe kind of ork economick loe wo do, b we in each wo me wo belong in whe enwer anal i a oppo ed wo whe pfronk anal i of a igning marker hare and comp wing HHI.

On whe econd gowe, in wo whe opport niw cow point what Dog mentioned, hich i what in a e ing heather or now a firm i an noommit wed entrant, nder whe Gideline, one old look wo ard whe end of what gowe, heather whe firm capacit i elehere committed or elehere o profitable emploed what ch capacit likel old now be a ailable wo re pond wo an increa e in price in whe market.

Again, Whi i an anal i What ab ol Kel ha Ko
be done, if o are propo ing Khat a parkic lar firm i a
potential entrant into a market place. Yo ha e Ko a k hat
it i doing no and if Khat capacit i other i e being
emplo ed Ko er e another market, either another prod ot
market or another geographic market. Yo ab ol Kel need Ko
a k hether or not khe profit in the e market old be o
high a Ko make entr into thi parkic lar market le
profitable and therefore not likel. Thi i ab ol Kel

1	Whe anal i who do in whe enver economic of a merger re ie .
2	LeK me lea e o ikkh j k a er mode k
3	recommendation, based on the estimate ie . I think on the
4	ncommitMked entkr anal i , a _c rrentkl de cribed in tkhe
5	G ideline , in owkher ord , for hawk p rpo e of fig ring

6 ok ho i in Khe markek, ho Khe markek parkicipank are,

haw wheir hare are, cold will be ed, bw in ome fairl limited circ mwance.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Bowkh of whee condition hold fir which capacith i when proper meal refor calculating hare. This could be in a homogeneo prodown market or in a market here con mer make relative ellarge proha e decision and wherefore capacith is onlowed to the right a wowthink about whe abilith wo ere whee continuous works.

Capacik i Whe proper mea re, a Do g menkioned.

Secondl, Whe capacing for an noomminged engrands
i capacing what i constrolled b a firm what i alread
elling in whe marked and i e. S ppo e e are walking

1	re ie proce , iK i m ch more a back and forKh beK een Khe
2	pri ake parkie and khe go ernmenk official and khe
3	in e KigaKi e Kaff. In a cork, o reall need Ko ork of
4	la ok or bek cae ak khe ok ek, and if or bek cae
5	in ol e incl ding Kho e ine prod cer ho o reall Khink
6	can ing Kheir capaciK gickl inKo Khe direckion of
7	pickle reli h comKainer , KhaK i e acKl haK o o ghK Ko
8	do, along ikkh okkher ppl re pon e khakk co ld be
9	a ailable.

MR. GEBHARD: Thank o, Tim. B m reckoning, When own in the own of the distinction best een committeed and noommitteed ensure being larged arkificial, it who when possible e ceptain of limited circ motioned with the end of his remark.

I am ondering if where i an one ho ill defend whi beaw.

MR. WHITENER: Some that I happen to the control of the control of

Lew me begin b a ing I didn k parkic larl eek Khi ork. I didn k ha e a parkic lar a ko grind on khe eckion. I kend ko agree ikh khe okher paneli k , and I khink khi i here Rick probabl come ok, koo, khak ik nok a park of khe anal i khak gek ed an a f l lok, ak

1	lea K noK in Khe rigoro	en e Wichawi iwi i	laid o K in Khe
2	G ideline .		

The getKion a I ee it a a general matter of Gideline ritking i if o ha e a good economic and anal Kical frame ork, e en if the anal i i a rea onable appro imation of hat the agencie act all do, at leat in ome cae, then o ha e a bai to pretth m ch leae it alone. I think in thi cae the an er to both of the e getkion i e, and therefore the an er to the likimate getkion i e.

There are a n mber of owkher a peow of whee G ideline what I old probabl hae awk before I old wo ch whi one. Im re owkher hae walked abow nilaweral effect plenk and ill oer whe core of whi er helpfl ork hop. A er en ible frame ork nwill ogwintow whi enormol confing ming and mawkching of markew hare and markew whee hold in an anal if ndamenwall now abow hare and now abow where hold.

Lew me ee haw I can do wo pre enw a fair and

Man people ikkking in Khi room in ome capacikk
ha e had ome role, in drafking Khe G ideline. Ob io 1,
all of Kr Ko ork ikh Khem. I Khink Khere i a fir K
principle, hich i don K me ikk p. A I aid, if o
ha e a frame ork Khak i economicall ond, o hold look

ion.

balanced owkher ide of whi dig

1	er cloel and hendherndondarnd much include included included in the control of th
2	Ack al enforcement doe not go back to the ort of
3	${\tt K}$ li ed ${\tt G}$ ideline anal i . Arg abl no i a good ${\tt K}$ ime
4	Ko look ak Khe HHI pre mpkion and ee hekher khe reall
5	are o K of no iKh hak Khe agencie ack all do.

- dormank, nkil ome da omebod a , he , I ha e a ca e
- here ing capacit or repo itioning or import matter, and

rea	on WhaW I	og g	KaKe .	The	e ma	no•K ha	e Whe g rren	nK
con	K raining	effed	K KhaK	аç	rrenK	markeK	parKicipanK	ha

I it economicall ond? I think o. I think

Whe critiqe that e has heard of ar for on ho often

i it applied. I the dition betten committeed and

ncommitteed enter er often or e er a partic larl ef l

di tinction to make. Are e engaging in market dra ing that

i artificial.

I Khink Khere are ome legikimake g e kion and ik might be inkere king ko look ak e ik co k and a k hekher hik and r n enkr i reall hak e are afker in kerm of khe price con kraining effeck. M en e i khe e are nok o k of khe main kream of khe f ndamenkal G ideline economic, o I khink khe pa khak fir k ke k.

Are whee er efla a prackical mawker?

Again, I agree in the wheeler with the end interest when which wheeler and the control of the control o

There are a n mber of rea on h Khe impl
don K come inko pla er m ch. I don K ank Ko pend a lok
of Kime on Khi . In coordinaked inkerackion ca e e are
reall looking for ho are Khe parkicipank , ho man are

- 1 Where, haw i Whe rogh idea of Wheir hare and Wheir 2 ignificance.
- A Dog point ot, whe hare are j w a warwing point, o h go and do all whi ometime complicated anal i wo bring into what market who e ho ha e perhap whe powerwial wo come in gickl.
- That i a fair point. The coordinated effect anal i i
 in the G ideline. It doe look a a tarking point at
 hare, at least a number of firm. While I agree o are
 not often going to come p ith a er meaningf l hare
 calc lattion for that ppl re pon e, that ncommitted

ba i for a problem	. If o	defer When	n Ko enKr	anal	i,
and I ill come bac	κ Ko Khi	, I Whink	و ill إ	rn i₩	inKo
orK of an ndiffer	enKiaKed	ell ₩o do	haK. If	e o	ldn ₩
ha e a problem nde	r Khe coo	rdinaWed Wh	neor i K h	fi e,	i,
e en prekk compar	abl ie	d or decen	K i ed fi	rm , I	don ₩
₩hink e ha e ₩o loo	ok m ch f	rKher.			

Winding do n point, again, fair eno gh. The line are dra n thro gho to the G ideline. I don to think the line dra ing in thi ca e i partic larl e ere. I think the introd of one co to in to the anal i in the earl 1990 a a er appropriate anal ticall correct, ef l addition to the G ideline. What i ignificant in the of nk co to ? At lea to the G ideline that a crack at defining that, and talking abo to reco er of the e co to .

Yo are now going to get o to of line draing if
o thro e er thing into enter. Yo are talking abo to to o
ear no, and indeed, I think o ha e ome hat le
g idance abo to hat i _ fficient enter _ nder Section 3 than
o do nder thi ection on ho to e al atte the potential
pre ence of a firm a a c rrent market participant.

Ha ing aid KhaK Khi ecKion i anal Kicall preKK correck and perhap now, efl er m ch, if ik o rarel i going Ko come inKo pla, and i Kaking p pace in Khe doc menk, o can make a cae for a ing lek keep Khe doc menk hork and confined Ko Kho e ik akion KhaK reall

1 come_p in Whe real orld.

I Whink Where are ome e ample , b W now Woo man reported deci ion What I Whink of reall W rned on an ncommitted enter anal i . B Whe wime o ha e a f ll liwigated ca e and looked at Whe enter market place and competitie effect, one hope , whe role of who e other place ha been f ll e al atted. It almo to doe n we matter after a f ll in e wigated and liwigated ca e hat cattegor o p w whem into.

We are Kalking here abo K enforcement deci ion and an anal i Khak la er can do going in and Khak Khe agencie do in making Khe deci ion Khak Khe make on a eekl and monkhl ba i on hich ca e Ko bring and h. In Khak conke K, Khere are ome ik akion here I ha e een Khi anal i come inko pla.

One e ample i import . Again, Do g and Tim old probabl agree that there are often going to be ome cot in irt all an kind of entr or repointioning. That i objoic lright. It doen the mean the are ignificant.

The often ill be ome nk cot . When ethink abot prodot repointioning, that o,,ooking the ale i.nlggbot.

coming in from o w ide whe geographic markew, I whink iw i
a liwokle ea ier ko khink of khi a an eflanal i.
Imporker ma ha e relaki el fe cok of pro iding, ppl.
On Withe owner hand, whe ma hae ignificant co we and int
ma now appl. In ome in wance, whe ma alread hae whe
prodow. The haee emwiall whe feature end. The hae
Whe e per ignificant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Wh do e need Wini anal i Wo look aw Winaw? look awK beitK j tKa ellinman cae tKo a le₩ Whem a enKranK and e ill come Ko Khe ame concl Again, I go back to the e ample . § ppo e o ha e decided or g are con idering a narro er geographic markew. U.S. market. Yo Khink Khere i ome ba i for Khatk, ha e Ko Kake iK credibl a an agenc and a k o r elf if Withere i a problem in Withaw markew, and g onl ha e a er mall n mber of local prod cer afker khe merger. If o ha e whe abiliw wo appro imake hich firm iKh roghl haw kind of ppl capabiliw, mea_red a capaciw or likel ale , can be elling in KhaK markeK in a rea onable amo now of wkime, h now and lea of co now when in whe ork of e Kimakion of grrenk parkicipank, and g mighk come ko a j dgmen#K #Kha#K #Khi i no#K a #Khree #Ko #K o deal, #Khi i nine Ko eighK deal, and Kherefore, e don K ha e Ko a k a hole low more.

Yo cold go back and look at import

find KhaK pro imiK ba ed on	dawka, and wko be er inwkere wked
in looking awk a powkenwkiall	nilaKeral effecK ca e ba ed on
kind of Wawkic bidding dawka.	Then Koa mabe e hae an
i e here, and reall kip o	er or perhap noK gi e eno gh
credence Wo Whe repo iwioning	poinK.

Go back to Joe Katekan point. If enter i the late re ork, and I think, in fact, there i a lot of the think in that, the late thing I think e hold do i kind of commit to noommitted enter fringe the enter anal i, beca e nder nilateral effect mabe in man of the e cae ill go a a, e en tho gh the to merging firm are cloe in ome feat re et or ome regard, beca e there are e eral other pla er toda that ha e not cho en to ell in that niche b to ld fairl readil do o.

We o ghk to look at them a ppl re ponder and not p h them off a timel, likel, and fficient entr.

To think of them a entrant i reall training the logic,

I think, at that point.

Don K me iKh G ideline if Khe are kind of rea onabl anal Kicall correck and if Khe are omekime ef l. I Khink Khe are rea onabl anal Kicall correck, and I Khink Khe are omekime ef l.

Thank 🧕 .

MR. GEBHARD: Thank o, Mark. E it polling no

Kell e ha e abo K a K o Ko one oke. Lek me K rn Khe

- 1 podj m o er Ko Rick Warren-Bo lKon.
- MR. WARREN-BOULTON: I d like to do thi tanding
- p. When e are crikici ing Khe G ideline , I ank Ko ee
- 4 if Werden i an here ikkhin range. Ikk i ea ier ko d ck if
- 5 g are Wanding, if g are going Wo criwici e Whem.
- Since it K o Ko one, I m going Ko change m
- 7 concl ion. I like Whe nderdog, and ma be Wr Wo defend
- 8 Whe beaw, perhap for all Whe rong rea on .
- Going la K mean I am nok ppo ed Ko repeak.
- 10 Thank er diffig lok gi en khank e er bod el e ha done all
- 11 Whe good W ff. I have a friend What e plain Whi b
- a ing if ik orkh p bli hing once, ik orkh p bli hing
- 13 K o or Khree Kime . If iK orKh a ing once, iK
- probabl or the aing it to or three time.
- I onl ha e Whree poin M. M r le i ne er ha e
- 16 Whree of an Whing beca e nobod can remember more Whan
- 17 Whree of an Whing. I can W.
- The fir K poinK I d like Ko make i Khe Kr e
- 19 __ncommitteed entrant, not nece aril the ncommitteed entrant
- of the G ideline , b the three ncommitteed enthrant i like
- an_nicorn. IK a Khing of beat K. IK of Ken igh Ked.
- 22 IK hard Ko confirm. E er bod el e eem Ko ha e een
- one. IK reall hard Ko bag.
- 24 IK er diffic lk ko gek enkr ikho k ome nk
- co K . The econd and Khird poink , I Khink, are more

1	inkere king. E en if g find khe ill i e ncommikked
2	enKranK, KhaK noK likel Ko ha e m ch effecK on Khe
3	anal i of a merger. E en if o bagged Whe nicorn, iw
4	now a parwig larl waw whing.

The conkrak, of core, i in the kee committeed entrank. The committeed entrank is a real find, becase hen on has a committeed entrank, it is three to to, and no it is to to to to. We call this at o-to. Sorr. Took me a long thine to think of that.

SPEAKER: I can w aiw for whe whird poinw.

(Lag ghwer.)

MR. WARREN-BOULTON: If o find or elf one good committeed enterant, it i orth a er large n mber of ncommitteed enterant.

The Khird point i Khak finding a committed entrant i going to ha e a ignificant effect on the anal i. The real problem that I ee that e are dealing ith here i the tandard in the G ideline for committed entr i i to too high. I don to ant to e the ord "real." The point that I am going to make i in a orld ith a great deal of ncertaint, e en a er lo probabilit, e en a highly nlikely probabilit of ind cing committed entry, can re train price and pre entry hat o ld other ie be a price increa e after the merger. The point i that the entry doe not be partically likely if

1 iK _ fficienKl dangero

Lew Kark ikh h mking Khe ill i e_ nicorn. I Khank Tim Daniel for Khe nicorn analog . A I nder Kand ik, and I co ld be rong, Khe defining charackeri kic of a Kr e_ ncommikked enkrank i khak e en kho gh ik doe n k ack all make khe prod ck, ell khe prod ck ak khe momenk, ik mere e i kence or pre ence o k khere, in khe ord of khe G ideline, likel ill infl ence khe markek pre-merger and o ld infl ence ik po k-merger.

There are K o a KhaK o might identif ch a creat re. Fir K, o can look for Krok ral charackeri Kic KhaK old impl Khi old be Khe ca e.

Then of core, alkho gh ik i a likkle nfair, o cold ack all look at Khe e idence and a k if it doe beha e Khak a.

Lew look aw when wo of whem. The fir w i whe one what la er lo e beca e o don w need an match wo do it, o hat e are going wo do i e are going wo look for e idence what whe pre ence of whe noommitted enwrant ack all effect price c rrentl, and wherefore o ld al o affect whem after ard.

In wheor, haw who G ideline do, beca e whe G ideline are highl wrow ral in whi en e, i e a whaw in hold affect whe c rrenk pricing deci ion, if what powerwial enwrant, lew call in a powerwial enwrant for whe

moment beca e e don t kno het kner it a committed or 227

lo eno gh and adj KmenK of inc mbenK i lo eno gh o

KhaK o can geK omeKhing KhaK o mighK call hik-and-r n
afKer-a-likKle- hile. Thak co ld be profikable and KhaK

co ld con Krain c rrenK price.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The mow likel candidate for that are in bidding market. The nice thing about bidding market i an entrant ma be able to make re he ha enough by ine at an agreed price to allo him to recover him ha cout even before he had no incommitted entrant.

Tho e are now Withe onl iĶ aKion . There are ik aktion in hich a pokenkial enkrank cold enker inko long Kerm conKrack ikh ç Komer in Khe markek. Airline are probabl a good e ample. We all kno it ha been er diffig lk for mall firm ko enker inko khe airline markek beca e of Khe price re pon e of Khe ing mbenK . The natk ral idea of the bett a tho do it i, that before o enker, g go and g kr ko conkrack ikh g komer. ConKrack it large companie like General MotKor Wickew on grairline if genwer, g promi e Ko b conkrack ikh repre enkaki e of grop of c komer. ill comkrack ikh o ak price khak ill i е are belo g rrent price b t abo e po t-ent price. ill rie. In Kho e ik akion ill make _ re 9 happen i Wiche g Womer can make a committeed entkrank intko

an n ncommitteed enterant and enco rage enter .

I Khink Khe 1992 G ideline, e er one agree on Khi, make a conKrib Kion b foc ing aKKenKion on nk co K, and I Khink KhaK a pre mabl Khe p rpo e, b K haK iK doe n K do er ell i iK doe n K an er Khe oKher half of Khe g e Kion. The fir K half of Khe g e Kion i ho large Khe nk co K, b K Khe econd half of Khe g e Kion i ho long i iK going Ko Kake Khe inc mbenK Ko reack.

The Whing What i reall arbitrar in Whe en e of Whe G ideline i Whi one ear Wo reco er. If o are going Wo a k Whi kind of g e Wion, hat o hold do i o hold a k ho m ch are Whe nk co w, ho long old it wake wo reco er who e nk co w, and when compare what ith holong is i going wo wake whe inc mbent wo adj w hi price.

In owher ord , if it wake m ch le whan one ear for an inc mbenk wo adj w hi price , and in mo w ca e what i wre, o can idenwif a hole b nch of people a ncommitted enwrant in whe en e what o whink whe are going wo con wrain c rrenk price , hen in fact whe are now.

What ele cold o look at Yo cold look at ack ale idence of competitie effect. When o Kark alking don this road, the nicorn begin to look pretok ill ie. Think of the kind of empirical the the Khat o

1	o ld	ank koj n ko	ee if a	firm	а	an ncommitteed
2.	enKran	₩.				

Remember, Khe goal i doe he c rrenkl con Krain price, e en Kho gh he i nok ack all prod cing Khe prod ck. Yo ank Ko find e idence Khak price or margin are lo er in markek here Khere are ncommitted enkrank.

Yo ank Ko find e idence Khak Kho e margin fell hen an ncommitted enkrank, "in okher ord, a n K ack all prod cing in Khe markek.

I kno it ond kind of f nn . Let imagine e ha e an airline Khat Kark fl ing bek een Ne York and L.A. and bek een Ne York and San Franci co. What e ank Ko a k i hat doe Khi do Ko price bek een San Franci co and L.A. Do Khe fall? Do Khe fall a m ch a Khe price fell bek een Ne York and San Franci co. Yo are clearl no an ncommitted enkrant bek een San Franci co and Ne York, once o are fl ing -- I m orr -- San Franci co and L.A., once o are fl ing back and forkh bek een San Franci co and Ne York and Ne York and L.A.

A more inkere king g e kion i do o find e idence of price increa ed hen khe ncommikoked enkrank enkered khe markek, khak i e iked from being an ncommikoked enkrank. One of m fa orike i do o find e idence khak price didn k fall hen khe ncommikoked enkrank began ack all prod cing khe prod ck. Sho ldn k khak be khe ca e

if he a Kr e ncommitated entrank. 1 When o look at price and margin , do o find 2 *Kha*K HHI *Kha*K o ha e been calc la*King i*Kh a igning 3 hare of ncommitteed entrant predict between than model 4 5 E en if o go Khrogh Khoe e ercie, o 6 oka , I fo nd m elf an ncommitted entkrant, hat o are 7 Kyck iKh i Khe ge Kion of ho large a hare hold o 8 gi e Ko an ncommikKed enKrank. I Khink Khe an er i Khe 9

1	₩ha₩	9	are	going	from a	mall	n mber	r ₩o	KhaK	mall_n	mber
2	min	1,	₩o	from a	large n	mber t	Ko and	₩her	large	e n mbei	<u> </u>
3	Tha K i	. r	10K	going #	Ko happei	n all t	KhaK c	of≪en	L •		

I Wink Tim al o commenwed, and I Whink correctl, What hat What mean i ncommitted enter i likel to pla a larger role or be more ef l in a Section 2 ca e Whan in a merger ca e.

Finall, doe all Whak mean Whak, ncommitteed enter i j K a concept, and ell, it not likel to do m ch harm, it not likel to do m ch good, o e might a ell lea e it in the G ideline.

I Whink Whe problem in ncommitted entr i in reall for e and committed entr. She e Smitch in an arkicle what came of right after whe 1992 Gideline made what point, what whe reall car whing about rai ing price after a merger i in might indice entre bomeone hocannow eath eit. In other ord, bacommitted entrant, omebod of are going to be to ke in a long whime and of can we get rid of.

The common is the time of the common that is the common of the common of

If where i a low of ncerwains in re peon to

1	When if or n Whatk
2	e perimenK and o gek commikKed enkr, Khere i a er hig
3	price Ko pa .

Sork of like n clear ar here, whe whreak of ender can reall be an effective descerrent to a price increa e, e en if what price increa e old onl light increa e whe probabilit of enter.

The odd Whing i iw i perfectl po ible in a orld of ncerwaink, hich I whink i whe orld e li e in, committeed engrank infl ence c rrenk price more whan a ncommitteed engrank.

If whe Merger G ideline make a comwrib wion here or if whe concept make en e, I old like wo a iw i beca e haw o and wo do i o and wo make re iw i onl an ncommitteed engrand. Where iw eparate ow whe ncommitteed and whe committeed engrand, and I whink whe le on e hold learn from whi i now what is i whe ncommitteed engrand are reall er important, but it i whe committeed one.

The nice Khing abo K Khe G ideline proced re i

iK leK o eparaKe o K Khe heak from Khe chaff Ko geK Ko

Khe g ho are reall going Ko affeck price if enkr

occ r , and Kho e are Khe committeed enkrank .

24 Thank .

MR. GEBHARD: Thank g, Rick. No, all Khe oKe

1	are ca K, and b m reckoning, iK i abo K 2.5 Ko 1.5.
2	(La ghker.)
3	MR. GEBHARD: I ha e j K a co ple of g e Kion I
4	o ld like Ko Khro o K Ko Khe panel aK large.
5	Mene i Wich all of Wiche dig ion
6	KhaK e ha e had Khi afKernoon behind KhaK diç ion i
7	Withaw e are orried abow kind of an nilaweral effects
8	anal i or Kor behind a propo ed merger. We are orried
9	abow hewkher whe powk-merger enwkiwk mighwk be ablewko
LO	e erci e markeK po er nilaKerall .
L1	I am ondering if Whe concept of ncommitted enter
L2	inKrod ce an parKic lar comple iKie , an addiKional
L3	comple iKie , or an pec liar comple iKie , KhaK need Ko be

1	idenKif aK lea K poKenKiall , ncommiKKed enKranK , ho
2	ho ld Whank ennker whe anal i? Doe whank add an
3	parKic lar complicaKion ?
4	MR. WARREN-BOULTON: Are o a king if an
5	ncommitted entrant cold be more important than the crrent
6	enKranK? I Khink KhaK i haK o are impl ing.
7	In Khakk cae, ikk old be e, nle ikk a apa
8	off. Ha ing an ncommitteed entrant of ld be more likel
9	Whan e en j WK ha ing one more firm ack all in Wkhe markewk.
10	MR. GEBHARD: I Kake ik Khen Khak doe n K add an
11	parKic lar complicaKion , a oppo ed Ko Kelling an
12	nilaKeral effeck Kor .
13	MR. MELAMED: To We he wenk what whe nowion of
14	ncommitted entr had tilit, I don to think there i a h ge
15	difference bek een ik kilik in a coordinaked effeck and
16	ik Kilik in a nilakeral effeck ca e.
17	If Windere i a aiwing-in-Winder ing effecwit, hich
18	i haw I wihink mighwik be efl in wihaw kind of in awkion,
19	iK cerKainl co ld con Krain an anKicompeKiKi e beha ior,
20	percompeKiKi e pricing Kheor .
21	In addiktion ko khak, one co ld imagine an
22	ncommitted entrant i act all coll ding, if o ha e
23	m lKiple markeK and Khe coll ion Kake Khe form of markeK
24	allocaKion.

For The Record, Inc. Waldorf, Mar land (301)870-8025

25

MR. WHITENER: IK a er good g e Kion, an

1	inkere king \mathbf{q} e kion. Unilakeral effeck, here I khink khe
2	imporKance i Khe greaKe K, Khe rea on h Joe KaKKan made
3	Whe commen≪ Whi morning, lo en≪r i I Whink Whe real
4	nicorn here, e peciall if e are Kalking abo K
5	differenKiaKed prod cK , hich i here nilaKeral effecK
6	all ari e . The folk ho are pokenkial pplier are
7	almo K al a in ome adjacenK prod oK area. IK i a
8	ge Kion of repo iKioning, noK age Kion of bilding a ne
9	plank or coming p ikh ne kechnolog or in e king 10 ear
10	in in Kelleck al properK . I Khink iK i cerKainl rele an K
11	in ome ca e in Kho e in Kance .

To orgetion, Ted, it eem to me that identifing the ein the ing or potential ppl reponder in a case here the potential theor i coordinated interaction, it cold er ell be the are particular ignificant. The mase the likimate maserick.

Ho doe Whe markeW parKicipanW Wr ing Wo e al awke Whe pa off from coordinaWion e al awke Whe ppl re ponder? The ma hae a m ch diffic lw e al awking Whe Wimeline, likelihood, degree of a powenWial ncommitwwed enwarm a whe paneli w here are a ing Whe agencie old hae.

INK eem WKo me ink i an inkere Kingge Kion, and ink ma be, I ha en K Khogh k abo K Khi a lok, Khak if o

1	had a n mber of Kho e folk in Khe ing iKh Khe
2	capabiliKie Ko come in gickl, KhaK ma be a er
3	de Kabili ing fackor for a coordinakion ca e. Yo o ld
4	need Ko look ak Khak in a earl in Khe anal i a o can
5	MR. DANIEL: I old congrikh Mark ie on
6	Whaw. I whink I old alocong riwth hi enwr poinw.
7	CommitWed entr to me reall i the profitabilit
8	of Withe e Wien ion of Withaw prod cw line or e Wien ion of Withaw
	qeographic region of Withe prod cw.

1	he iKank Ko mo e for ard er aggre i el . I o ld Khink
2	abo K haK iK o ld Kake for him Ko begin doing haK he
3	ha n \mathbb{K} been doing before, hich i er ing U.S. \mathfrak{g} \mathbb{K} omer .
4	MR. WARREN-BOULTON: One Wing hich I Whink i

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or the nothing, hen people are looking for ho i mo the likel ₩o be ₩he en Wran ₩, and omebod ho i making i ₩ ome here el e, ome Khing like KhaK, I Khink in man of Khe reall inKere King ca e , iK no∙K omebod ho i making Whe ame Khing or making omeKhing imilar, or making omeKhing in a differenK area. ΙW a erKicall relaKed firm. a ked ho a Winhe mo W likel and Winhe mo W Winhe mo with the work of the model with the model wi enKranK Ko Micro of K de kKop operaKing Kem, Khe an The an eri if g hae a monopol complementar prod ot, what firm i now orried abo to price red ckion khak happen afker ik enker beca e khak firm can pick ik, p. If khe price of MS DOS had fallen, Inkel cold pick ik, p b increa ing Khe price of Khe chip.

There are whee orw of reall invere wing in awtion in hich erwicall relawed firm can and are imm ne from whe all problem in the enwor, hich is power-enworder or and or in the enworder of the

MR. DANIEL: Mo ing $\mbox{\tt K}\mbox{\tt O}$ whe $\mbox{\tt K}\mbox{\tt hird}$ rail no , Rick. Ver $\mbox{\tt K}\mbox{\tt ical}$ merger .

MR. WARREN-BOULTON: Ye , long o erd e.

MR. GEBHARD: Rick ma ha e alread addre ed Khi

- g e Kion, akk lea Kk in parkk, b nokking khakk commikoked enkr
- i more important in term of eight than ncommitted entr,

1	nder Kand KhaK, o	ha e	₩o ge₩	be ond Ki	ne cawegorie and
2	o ha e Ko reall a	k ho	likel	i ₩hi	enKr and ho
3	likel i i∰ percei €	ed ≰o	be, ho	likel	ill i₭ happen, and
4	o er haw wime.				

The categor doe not help the anal i. Yo have to look at all the factor in the G ideline, of hich not cook i one, and make an a e ment.

I don K ee h o go Khro gh Khe cakegori ing e erci e. I Khink o o ghk ko j k a k Khe g e kion, i Khere omebod khak i nok in khe markek no khak mighk ameliorake khing and if o, ho and ko hak e kenk.

MR. WHITENER: A park of Khe probabl 1.5 oke for keeping Khe Ke K, I g e I old nok poink ko khe difference in Kreakmenk of e ik co K a Khe rea on ko keep Khe anal i . I do Khink ik i a rele ank difference bek een Khe anal e . I Khink Khe fack Khak e en lo enkr co K , khe are likel ko be lo nk co k of e ik. Ik i going ko be legikimake ko look ak Khak k pe of pla er a ha ing a high ela Kicik of ppl , omeone o ha e ko look

foreici k z w Kroof hanki ier w ka n kook aw ,7 - I T E N E e i 11 10 hank 3 e w E n k

L	hea ind Kr. I am making idgeK b K I cold make
2	gidgenK. Thank concepnK doennK make a lonk of en e ofnKennKo
3	Whink about lo $_{ullet}$ nk cout of enter . There are going to be
1	perhap g iKe ignificanK co K .

Thank i h I withink withe owther e ample withank I pointed wito are probabl more of when here within i reall rele and, and imported i a good e ample wito Tim pointed. It is nown a good commentar on merger anal i if e are a wind withank became with the hip are ailing path with ported, e are nown going wito content.

We are pooled to do a d namic anal i. We are pooled to po it a change, either a price increase or the entranal is no talk about a pol increase or decrease. There is an indicement for that hip to the rand go into port.

If it is reall that ea to the real go to port, anal wicall, e holdn to care if it has ne er done that, beca e before the merger, e ha e a nice competitie e market and after ard e don to the reall of the work of the act ald namic effect, and if that i relate elea, then it eem to me e ha e a role for gi ing tho e folk ome degree of ome pre ence in the market place, in or initial control to the whole the place are and hat the anal i look like.

MR. WHITENER: If there are price flow attion

MR. WHITENER: If Where are price flow awion

What eem to be the to pe that hold dra the re pone and

1	iK ha n K occ rred, I agree. Ma be KhaK i ofKen going Ko
2	be Khe ca e. If o had a fairl Kable eemingl
3	compeKiKi e markeK here Khe eg ilibri m doe noK gi e Khem
4	an rea on Ko K rn and go Ko porK, and a merger arg abl
5	change KhaK, Khen I g e iK o ld be rele ank Ko me
6	heKher iK preKK ea Ko make a lefK K rn and go hook p
7	Wo Whe dock and Wark nloading.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARREN-BOULTON: M concern i ho e re pond ion, iK g iKe clear KhaK E en in Khi diç ₩o ₩ha₩. e er bod i a ing Withe merger i omeho le likel ≰o ha e a price impack if ik ne er k rned and enk inko khe pork, if ik reall kr l a an ncommiked enkrank. If ik ne er K rned and enk inko khe pork, khere are co K of enKering and going inKo Khe porK. ing mbenK rai e Kheir price , Khi g i going Ko K rn inko khe pork, and once he gek inko khe pork, g are nok going to be able to get rid of him. The merger i likel \overlight tKo be le $\$ of a problem if $\$ Khe $\$ g $\$ ne er $\$ Khe $\$ g $\$ in $\$ Khe pork Khan if o ee him ro Kinel coming inko pork, and ek Whe pre ppo intion in e er merger I ha e e er dealw m God, do e er Khing o can Ko ee if o can charackeri e ₩ha₩ Whe g i an_ ncommitteed entrant.

MR. WHITENER: IK i Khe inKerpreKakion Khak I
Khink I find reall problemakic, and I m nok regike ho
Khak happened, e cepk ma be ik came earlier in Khe

1	G ideline , and like all proced re , o pend all or Kime
2	orr ing abo K haK come fir K.
3	MR. MELAMED: Anal i a ide, if o are arg ing
4	Whe orld i going Wo hell b W don W orr , Where i going
5	Ko be a a ior, o are in big Kro ble, and o ank Ko
6	a oid being in KhaK iK aKion.
7	MR. GEBHARD: I ee e ha e e ha #Ked o r #Kime.
8	In fack, e ha e gone o er for a fe $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}$ e.
9	Lenk me j nk clo e b nonking nkhank for a nkopic nkhank
10	iniKiall man people KhoghK a noK parKic larl Khe
11	e ie K on Khe ork hop agenda, I Khink e ha e had er
12	in Kere King dig ion, and ome in Kere King commen Khi
13	afKernoon.
14	For Windwig, I and Windows with the maneli Windows and the maneli with the man
15	and Khank Khe a dience ho K ck aro nd for Khe lake, lake
16	park of Khe da .
17	Thank o er m ch.
18	(Appla e.)
19	(Whereupon, at 5:20 p.m., the
20	workshop was concluded.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>
2	
3	I, RiKa M. Hemphill, CVR, do hereb cerKif KhaK
4	Whe foregoing proceeding ere recorded b me ia a dio Kape
5	and red ced Ko K pe riking nder m _ per i ion; Khak I am
6	neiKher co n el for, relaKed Ko, nor emplo ed b an of Khe
7	parKie Ko Khe acKion in hich Khe e proceeding ere
8	Wkran cribed; and f rWkher, WkhaWk I am noWk a relaWki e or
9	emplo ee of an akkorne or con el emplo ed b khe parkie
10	hereko, nor financiall or okher i e inkere ked in khe
11	o Kcome of Khe ackion.
12	
13	
14	
15	
1come c	ofnn l 2chworher HEMPHILL, RITA M. HEMPHILL,