## OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT

Date: November 21, 1995

Docket No.: P951201

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>

<u>WITNESS</u>: <u>EXAMINATION</u>

None.

EXHIBITS

FOR IDENTIFICATION

Commission's:

None.

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

> Tuesday, November 21, 1995

Federal Trade Commission Sixth and Pennsylvania Avenues Room 432 Washington, D.C. 20580

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m.

## **SPEAKERS:**

ROBERT PITOFSKY Chairman, Federal Trade Commission

ROSCOE B. STAREK, III Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission

JANET D. STEIGER Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission

CHRISTINE A. VARNEY Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission

JODIE BERNSTEIN
Director, Bureau of Consumer Protection

ELAINE KOLISH Acting Associate Director, Division of Economics

DEAN GRAYBILL
Associate Director, Services Industry Practices

SPEAKERS (Continued):

EILEEN HARRINGTON
Federal Trade Commission

JONATHAN BAKER Federal Trade Commission

GERALD LEVIN Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Time Warner

DICK DUNCAN
Editor, Time Inc. News Media

ERIC TVETER
Vice President, General Manager
Time Warner Communications, Manhattan

STEVE PEARSE Senior Vice President, Engineering, Denver

TAMMY LINDSAY Vice President for Communications, Full Service Network, Orlando

SCOTT BLAKE HARRIS Chief, International Bureau, Federal Communications Commission

RICHARD D. HELD

SPEAKERS (Continued):

RICHARD G. MEIER

Deputy Associate Trade Representative, Office of United States Trade Representative

ROBERT P. HALL III

Vice President, Government Affairs Counsel, National Retail Federation

WILLIAM MacLEOD

Collier, Shannon & Scott

MARI ANN BLATCH

Vice President, Government Affairs, Readers Digest

STEVEN SPIVAK

Professor, University of Maryland Chairman, Consumer Policy Committee, International Organization for Standardization

SUSAN LORD

Vice President, Government Relations, Springs Industries, Inc., Chairman, Export Subcommittee, American Textile Manufacturers Institute

BRUCE SILVERGLADE

Director, Legal Affairs, Center for Science in the Public Interest

ZANE BROWN

Director General, Consumer Products Directorate, Industry Canada

JOSEPH HOFFMAN

Director of Policy, Ontario Ministry of Consumer & Commercial Relations

E. TONI GUARINO

Buc, Levitt & Beardsley, International Bar Association Council

CARL PRIESTLAND

Chief Economist,

American Apparel Manufacturers Association

MICHAEL THOMPSON

Director, Government Relations, Whirlpool Corporation

Т.	FKOCEFT GP
2	CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Good morning, everyone.
3	I might mention that for those of you who are
4	standing three deep, there is an overflow room in 532, where
5	this entire demonstration is available. And there's another
6	one on the third floor below us. And so if you want, you
7	can watch this demonstration down there.
8	This is a continuation of a set of hearings that
9	we initiated almost two months ago. Our aim then was to
10	examine whether changes that are taking place in the
11	commercial world and with particular emphasis on the
12	globalization of competition on the importance and pace of
13	technological change suggest that a regulatory agency
14	like this should review the way in which we interpret, of
15	course, the antitrust laws and consumer protection laws.
16	We have, throughout these hearings, placed some
17	emphasis on high-tech industries and the way in which
18	competition and marketing is changing as a result of changes
19	in the marketplace.
20	We begin this final day of consumer protection
21	hearings with a special presentation and a demonstration of
22	what the future may hold for consumers involving the
23	convergence of interactive technologies, televisions,
24	telephones and the computer.
25	We are delighted to have with us Mr. Gerald Levin,

- 1 Chairman and CEO of Time Warner, the world's largest media
- 2 and entertainment company with interests in publishing,
- 3 music, films, and television.
- A leader in the telecommunication's revolution,
- 5 Mr. Levin, present his vision of the marketplace in the year
- 6 2000. We will then actually see an interact with some of
- 7 the technologies of the future.
- 8 Before he begins, let me say that these hearings
- 9 are devoted to consumer protection issues primarily, and
- 10 they involve questions of policy. Any case that might be
- 11 pending, or will be pending in the future, is not relevant
- 12 to the discussion that we are going to have today.

- 1 influenced every aspect of American life.
- I think that, taken together, the interactive
- 3 technologies that we're going to demonstrate this morning,
- 4 represent another milestone. And, although, no one can say
- with certainty what the final uses of broadband digital systems wilm10öTD WWW

- 1 disappears.
- Whether it's what we call video-on-demand or
- 3 transactional services or access to libraries or print or
- 4 video, the consumer will have a range of options that really
- 5 was unavailable and probably unimaginable in the home.
- 6 Secondly, at consumers gain, what we believe is,

- 1 The deployment of broadband cable dramatically
- 2 enhances that convenience. It opens an electronic path that
- 3 is indifferent to the nature of the screen that it's plugged
- 4 into. What matters solely are the wishes of those who are
- 5 using either keyboard or a remote -- which we will show you
- 6 shortly.
- 7 The purpose of our demonstration is to demonstrate
- 8 some of the concrete ways in which we are bringing this

- 1 we're already building all of our systems to conform to
- 2 what's called this hybrid and fiber-rich coax architecture.
- What happens when you install this technology, the
- 4 systems are then easier to maintain and subject to far fewer
- 5 repairs; you get a clearer, sharper picture and a
- 6 significant expansion of channel capacity that gives the
- 7 consumers new choice.
- 8 And once you upgrade the system with this
- 9 architecture, with only incremental additions, we can begin
- 10 to offer an array of new services that we're going to

- 1 We soon be equipping all of these schools with
- 2 these cable modems, at no charge, and providing this
- 3 expanded service, that you'll see today, directly into the
- 4 classroom.
- 5 At our cable company, we are also wiring
- 6 commercial sites for transmitting television signals into a
- 7 personal computer.
- 8 What this innovation enables viewers to do is to
- 9 -- assuming you're hooked into the same networks, is to
- 10 follow fast-breaking news are, indeed, a presentation that's
- 11 related to their work that's video but it's coming through
- 12 their personal computer.
- The power of the interactive networks that we're
- 14 building means that, with the installation of huge, but
- 15 cost-efficient digital switches and what are called home
- interface units that attach to the side of a house,
- 17 consumers will now have a fast, highly reliable, completely
- 18 digital, and competitively priced alternative to traditional
- 19 local phone service.
- 20 At the moment in Rochester, New York, the nation's
- 21 first deregulated telecommunications market, our cable
- 22 company is providing phone service to a certain number of
- 23 consumers. And this makes this the first cable operation
- 24 that is actively engaged in offering consumers real dialing
- 25 choices.

1	Elmira and Rochester are two steps in the
2	evolution of cable into what we call the Full Service
3	Network that, in my view, truly unlocks this digital realm.
4	Our network, the Full Service Network, is in
5	Orlando; and here we have the world's first fully
6	operational, digital, interactive television system.
7	We are currently offering this system to over
8	2,000 customers in what we call "video-on-demand." By the
9	end of 1995 we expect to reach 4,000 customers, a goal that
LO	we set at the beginning of this year.
L1	And although we're still in the learning stages
L <b>2</b>	about what consumers will demand, it's not too early to dra
L3	some conclusions. The fact that we've seen the
L <b>4</b>	participation of firms such Silicon Graphics, Scientific
L5	Atlanta, and AT&T in the development of this technology,
L6	clearly indicates that the leadership that our high-tech
L7	sector lost to foreign competitors in the 1970s and '80s is
L8	being regained.
L9	Today, the American cable industry is at the
20	forefront of a high-tech success story that is not only
21	creating new manufacturing jobs in the United States but
22	will clearly lead to the worldwide export of networks that
23	are largely American conceived, designed, and built.

used to know of a fixed number of pre-determined offerings,

In terms of programming, though the world that we

24

25

- 1 according to a very rigid schedule, will not disappear.
- 2 There are today very exciting alternatives.
- 3 The Internet has already made clear that digital
- 4 interactivity is radically altering consumers' expectations.
- 5 More and more consumers are choosing to travel in the
- 6 digital domain because they can tailor their information,
- 7 their news, their sports, and their entertainment to their
- 8 own tastes and their own time tables.
- 9 Interactive television will benefit from this
- 10 trend but will also accelerate it. I think the effects that
- 11 we will see will be profound for suppliers of content as
- 12 well as consumers.
- 13 As long as there was a relatively narrow
- 14 distribution channel through which consumers could receive
- 15 programs, there was an artificial ceiling on supply.
- Now that this channel is being thrown open and the
- 17 demand of consumers, freed from the narrow confines of
- 18 broadcasting as we have known it, the ceiling on supply is
- 19 lifted.
- I would have to say that the former limits on
- 21 distribution made life much easier for studios and
- 22 producers. This new instant access on-demand world is
- 23 infinitely more complex, more competitive, and certainly
- 24 more creatively challenging.
- In the digital world, the open-ended nature of the

- 1 demand creates a vast number of programming opportunities
- 2 that no single company could ever hope to meet.
- 3 The end result will be a dynamic increase in the
- 4 number of suppliers and producers and new creative
- 5 relationships among companies of every size aimed at
- 6 satisfying what is essentially now a global demand.
- Now, the demonstrations that you're about to see
- 8 can only hint at the dimensions of the changes we are
- 9 already in the process of introducing to America's
- 10 consumers.
- 11 It's my personal view that if we do it right, if
- we have the wisdom to do what is necessary to spur
- investment in these technologies, if we have the foresight
- 14 to let the market operate to the benefit of consumers, I
- 15 believe that the biggest winner of all will, in fact, be our
- 16 American ingenuity, because this is a case where we lead the
- 17 world in conceptualizing and programming these networks.
- 18 Now, we're going go to our demonstrations. We'll
- 19 make this as informal as possible; and when we're through,
- 20 obviously, we'd love to have the opportunity for questions.
- We're going to start by going to Elmira, New York.
- 22 We have a direct line to our computer servers there.
- 23 My colleague, Dick Duncan, who was an editor from
- 24 Time Inc. News Media, is going to be your tour guide.
- 25 Dick.

- 1 MR. DUNCAN: Thanks, Jerry.
- 2 Good morning.
- 3 MR. LEVIN: I'll just come over here, and I can
- 4 help out.
- 5 MR. DUNCAN: What you're looking at is the welcome
- 6 screen of the cable modem community service in Elmira, New
- 7 York.
- 8 Let me introduce Frank Kyst, who's our
- 9 communications chief.
- 10 What you're seeing here is coming down this
- 11 morning from Elmira, from our server in Elmira. It's a
- 12 little slower than it is -- just a bit slower than it is in
- 13 Elmira, where it's 50 to 100 times faster than the
- 14 conventional telephone dial-up service that most people use
- 15 to get on-line.
- 16 The distinguishing characteristics of this service
- 17 are its speed and its community content. It really
- 18 emphasizes all sorts of information about the community,
- 19 unlike other on-line services.
- 20 And as we go from screen to screen, it will be
- 21 Frank who is clicking, who's clicking on our Elmira server
- 22 and bringing information down to you.
- 23 MR. LEVIN: So the first point is to just
- 24 acknowledge the speed. I think what's frustrating about
- 25 current computer downloading is what we call the "tap time"

- 1 it takes just for a screen to fill up with a picture or with
- 2 any kind of digital material.
- The key -- which is a winner, I can assure you --
- 4 is the speed with which these frames appear upon the touch
- of the clicker. That will be the persistent theme here,
- 6 instant access, speed.
- 7 Sorry to interrupt.
- 8 MR. DUNCAN: That's fine.
- 9 Let's go to news first, and we'll show you what's
- 10 there.
- 11 You see the speed.
- 12 This is oriented around five news summaries: The
- 13 <u>Times</u>, <u>Daily News Summary</u>, the <u>People</u> magazine news summary,
- 14 Money magazine news summary, Tech Daily down there, and a
- 15 summary put up by the Elmira Star Gazette, a newspaper in
- 16 Elmira which is our partners on here.
- 17 And this is last night's news.
- 18 MR. LEVIN: See, just looking at that picture, how
- 19 long it takes the computer to fill up, with the telephone
- 20 speed, to lay out a picture for you, I think that's what
- 21 really most remarkable. The consumers see that almost
- 22 instantly.
- MR. DUNCAN: And let's go to the <u>Times Daily</u>. We
- have got some pictures there, too.
- 25 "Washington goes back to work."

1 And, i	in addition	on the screen	, on this news, we
----------	-------------	---------------	--------------------

- 2 have other features. We have Reuters News Service 24 hours
- 3 a day, constantly updated; we have outside services which
- 4 supply us with stock quotations and sports and scores 24
- 5 hours a day. That's the news on here.
- 6 But the largest amount of content is in this
- 7 "About the Town" area, where we have -- this is a community
- 8 connection. We go to the institutions, which really provide
- 9 the infrastructure within the community: the hospitals, the
- 10 churches, the city government, the chamber of commerce, the
- 11 institutions of higher education. In fact, we help them
- 12 come up on here and tell what they're about, where people
- 13 can get information from them and communicate with them.
- 14 MR. LEVIN: That's because access is really very
- 15 easy and very cost efficient. In a sense, almost any member
- of the community can go on-line and to be received in homes.
- 17 That's what's remarkable, you know, we call it kind of voice
- 18 of democracy.
- 19 MR. DUNCAN: Absolutely.
- 20 We also have another very important service on
- 21 here which has to do with the schools. Time Warner Cable
- 22 and Hewlett Packard are donating computers and modems to the
- 23 public schools in this area. We are connecting the schools
- 24 to the homes, the parents to the teachers, the kids to
- 25 everybody, as well, through filtered Internet, where we can

- 1 <u>Compton's Encyclopedia</u>, some historical documents for kids
- 2 who might be doing a paper from home, or for anyone who
- 3 works from home, these things are easily accessible there.
- 4 Of course, no community is totally about work. We
- 5 have another area, this one called "Delights and Diversions"
- 6 devoted to whatever is going on in Elmira that's for fun.
- We have the movie schedules for all of the cinemas
- 8 around town. We have the television schedules. We have a
- 9 local journalists who writes about things that are just
- 10 pleasant going on in town.
- 11 Let's go back to this. Yeah.
- Note, by the way, that this with kind of broadband
- 13 speed the amount of information that can be transferred

- 1 realize that that's what it can do, there is instant
- 2 recognition of its value. Most people don't have a lot of
- 3 excess time. So this is not for demonstration purposes
- 4 only. This is connected to the computers in Elmira. This
- is exactly -- it's actually not quite as fast. We couldn't
- 6 get full cable into the FTC building here, so it's not guite
- 7 as fast. But it gives you some sense of the timetable.
- 8 MR. DUNCAN: The business that's transacted on
- 9 this service is largely transacted in the shopping area.
- 10 Shopping has Dream Shop, National Catalog. It has other
- 11 features. It also has local ads. We're encouraging people
- 12 to put up advertising locally and to transact commerce this
- 13 way.
- 14 We, as well, have the Chamber of Commerce area
- 15 where businessmen can tell what they do; people can look
- 16 for jobs, that that sort of thing. We figure it can't get
- 17 much more micro in this area than "Wax-On Wax-Off" services.
- 18 But here's one, and that's how people can do
- 19 business on this service.
- 20 Another business aspect we have to foster the
- 21 business is what we call "Work At Home" our line-on service
- 22 where people can work from their home at the same speeds as
- 23 they could in their offices. We connect their homes to
- 24 their offices at these speeds so that have all of the
- 25 facilities and all of the computer capabilities that they

- 1 have in their offices.
- 2 This pretty rounds out the service.
- MR. LEVIN: Okay, Dick. Thanks a lot.
- 4 Let me just kind of underscore again what I think
- 5 the messages have been.
- 6 Live demonstration that was done with computers
- 7 Elmira.
- 8 Speed. Instant gratification. Instant access.
- 9 A filtration system.
- 10 The ability to use software, digital software to
- 11 prevent or control material that's coming in or being
- 12 accessed.
- And finally -- well, it's sort of a sterile word:
- 14 Content. But local content. It so important to have
- 15 community material that's constantly available.
- 16 And you can see, whether it's the local newspaper
- or the local library or the local school system, it's a form
- 18 of digital community that is as powerful as any of the
- 19 national or international material that's being brought into
- 20 Elmira.
- 21 And we have approximately 400 homes and 100
- 22 schools, libraries, and public institutions that have this
- 23 system and these cable modems.
- 24 So that's demonstration number one in Elmira.
- We're going to turn now to our second

- 1 demonstration, which we're going to call "PC Television."
- 2 And I'm going to ask Eric Tveter to join me, who is Vice
- 3 President and General Manager of Time Warner Communications,
- 4 our system in Manhattan.
- 5 And he's going to show you how you can run a
- 6 television signal through a personal computer.
- 7 MR. TVETER: Thank you, Jerry.
- 8 PC-TV is an exciting product because for the first
- 9 time, Time Warner can deliver, approaching 100 channels
- 10 direct to the desktop in a local area network environment
- 11 and in a cost-effective manner.
- 12 It's a product designed for the business
- 13 marketplace. For 25 years, we have provided service to
- 14 businesses who have an insatiable thirst for information and
- 15 knowledged-based services. It's a product that has been
- 16 developed over the past year. It's a video distribution,
- 17 hardware and software system. You can see the equipment
- 18 here.
- 19 There's an equipment hub that would reside in a
- 20 central computer room. And from that computer room, video
- 21 is distributed to the desktop.
- 22 Chief information officers like the product
- 23 because it works on Windows, DOS, OS/2 operating systems.
- 24 It can work on a 286 as well as a Pentium.
- MR. LEVIN: Excuse me, Eric.

- 1 What we were just doing is showing you how, with
- 2 the computer control, you can fill up the screen with the
- 3 video, go to another channel, go to CNBC or CNN or C-SPAN,
- 4 to take the whole screen or just a part of the screen while
- 5 you're working on your spreadsheet. That's the kind of
- 6 versatility you have here.
- 7 MR. TVETER: That, in fact, is the most important
- 8 quality. Most users leave the screen in the upper
- 9 right-hand corner, and they can work on Excel. In this
- 10 case, my colleague Mark Stark, who is assisting, is
- 11 adjusting an Excel spreadsheet. A journalist can be working
- on the wordprocessing package and be watching Court TV.

IR:

- 1 Alan Greenspan is testifying before Congress. So he can
- 2 click on the screen, bring it up to a full screen picture --
- 3 Mark, if you could do that -- and listen to Mr. Greenspan,
- 4 hear the tone of his voice, watch his body language.
- 5 Wall Street bets billions of dollars on the
- 6 direction of interest rates.
- 7 Again, a very powerful tool.
- 8 Journalists can be working at midnight and
- 9 watching Court TV and can adjust an article that they're
- 10 working on.
- 11 Schools, government can use it for training
- 12 purposes.
- 13 Advertising agencies like to monitor the
- 14 commercials that are being broadcast.
- 15 Hospitals are looking at it because they do not
- 16 want to distribute video in a radio frequency mode. They
- 17 want a narrow band because they're concerned about radio
- 18 interference in the hospital environment with CAT Scans
- 19 being done and the like.
- 20 We expect to role out thousands of units in the
- 21 next year with our business partner CCC. We spent the last
- 22 year developing this product and just rolled it out last
- 23 month and are receiving a lot of interest.
- 24 MR. LEVIN: Eric, thanks. I really appreciate it.
- 25 Let me just give you what I think this means.

- We used to think that you get television and video
- 2 material through a television set, and through a PC would
- 3 come the material that you could scroll over, spreadsheets
- 4 or wordprocessing.
- 5 What this tells you is this buzzword of
- 6 "convergence" is real, psychologically that, in fact, it is
- 7 material assistance to have the television feed coming
- 8 through your PC.
- 9 I know in my office, I have the television screens
- 10 going on. I would like this service because, in a sense, a
- 11 news wire is insufficient these days. You need to see, to
- 12 look at the nuance of presentation, so that I can continue
- 13 to do my work on my PC, but this screen is already on and it
- 14 can catch my eye when there's something quite relevant.
- 15 So I think, again, all of our preconceptions about
- 16 display screens for PC or television are going to get pushed
- 17 aside by these very practical uses.
- 18 MR. TVETER: One other point, people can broadcast
- 19 messages. You can have a daily message and distribute that
- among the workplace.
- 21 So, again, it's a very powerful tool.
- MR. LEVIN: Thanks very much, Eric.
- That's, again, the ability to use video for
- 24 communications purposes.
- 25 Let's turn now to what we call "Cable Telephony"

- 1 so that we use the cable in its digital format to deliver
- 2 telephone service that you're familiar with.
- 3 I'm going to call up Steve Pearse, who is our
- 4 Senior Vice President of Engineering at our network
- 5 operations center in Denver.
- 6 Steve.
- 7 MR. PEARSE: Thank you, Jerry.
- 8 The message I'd like to leave with you today is
- 9 that Time Warner is in cable telephony services right now,
- 10 today, in Rochester, New York. We are providing service to
- 11 apartment buildings as well as single family residents. And
- 12 I think this is a very important event in the history of
- 13 telecommunications. And the reason why is because, for the
- 14 first time, our customers in Rochester have a choice.
- 15 Previously, you had to call your local telephone to provide
- 16 the only telephone service you could receive.
- 17 Now you can call Time Warner to receive your local
- 18 telephone service. And we're going to complete with the
- 19 local telephone company on price, features, and quality.
- 20 And we'll talk a little bit more about those attributes in a
- 21 few minutes.
- But first you might be thinking: How is a cable
- 23 company going to provide you telephone service?
- 24 It gets a little complicated, but I'll try to show
- 25 you, on a schematic here, exactly what I'm talking about.

- 1 Let's start in the home. This is a typical home.
- 2 You have a cable TV, telephone, and a PC. All these things
- 3 can be connected to your cable coming into your home.
- The new element here is, outside the house,
- 5 there's a little gray box -- and I'm going to show you that
- 6 in a minute -- that's called a "network interface unit."
- 7 Time Warner will puts its network interface unit on the cable, and it will extract the telephone e th.7.7istr

1	But in the cable head end, we have now installed a
2	telephone switch. We buy ours from AT&T, for example. This
3	telephone switch talks to the outside world. It connects to
4	long-distance companies and the other local telephone
5	company. And that's at the heart of the matter. We have to
6	negotiate an interconnect agreement with the other local
7	telephone company so we can terminate calls where they are.
8	And that's why we are very interested in what's
9	happening with Congress right now with the telephone bill,
10	because we want to make sure the barriers to entry for us
11	are dealt with fairly.

- 1 or a picture or music. It could be a book. But they are
- 2 all 1's and 0's that this switch sublimely indifferent to
- 3 what's passing through. But, in fact, you really have a
- 4 totally new concept -- and, you know, in your home, the
- 5 telephone handset is exactly the same, and you have your
- 6 telephone wiring. It's what happens outside the home in
- 7 this digital box.
- 8 So why don't we make a call to Denver and make
- 9 sure it works.
- 10 MR. PEARSE: This equipment we have here is
- 11 exactly what we're using in Rochester, New York. This
- 12 equipment is located in that building, the cable head end.
- 13 And behind there is the fiber optics and the cable
- 14 system that ends up at your home. In fact, this is the
- 15 little gray box. In fact, if you walk outside your home
- 16 today, you'll see a little gray box just like this. It's
- 17 from your local telephone company. This is our little gray
- 18 box, in place of the local telephone company's.
- 19 It's better than theirs because it is a digital
- 20 box. Today you have about a mile of 100-year technology,
- 21 it's all twisted pair, it winds its way through your
- 22 neighborhood into your house for your telephone. It's
- 23 analogue, and it can pick up noise and interference.
- 24 This is digital, so it's superior in quality. But
- 25 this cable comes in, and this box picks off and listens to

- 1 the telephone signals and let's all the other signals go
- 2 through here that go to the home, like the cable modem, a
- 3 basic cable, the Full Service Network functions. It just
- 4 picks up what it wants to listen to, the telephone piece.
- 5 This is working right now, so I have to handle it
- 6 carefully. This is what's going to be on the home. And
- 7 it's connected to this telephone. We will call Denver, to
- 8 our national operations center, which monitors our
- 9 nationwide network on a 24 hour, 7-day basis.
- 10 (Dialing.)
- 11 MR. LEVIN: You pre-programmed the number?
- 12 MR. PEARSE: Yeah, I had this on speed dial.
- 13 MR. LEVIN: This is a our operating center.
- 14 Someone better pick up.
- 15 (The following is a transcription of the telephone
- 16 call placed to Denver.)
- 17 MR. FRENCH: Central Communication, national
- 18 operations center. This is French.
- 19 MR. PEARSE: Hi, French. This is Steve Pearse at
- 20 the FTC hearing.
- MR. FRENCH: Yes, sir.
- MR. PEARSE: How are things going?
- 23 Could you tell the folks listening in how many
- 24 sites we currently monitor today.
- MR. FRENCH: We have 15 sites.

- 1 MR. PEARSE: How are things going in Rochester,
- where our first telephone network is operating?
- 3 MR. FRENCH: Our switch is doing -- at the moment,
- 4 is running clean and it's green.
- 5 MR. PEARSE: He's looking at the monitor.
- 6 Thanks a lot, French.
- 7 MR. FRENCH: Yes.
- 8 (End of telephone call.)
- 9 MR. LEVIN: We tried this earlier and got David
- 10 Letterman on the phone.
- 11 Essentially, we have an operations center -- I'm
- 12 going to ask Steve kind of the last question here as to why
- 13 -- I believe we have the most sophisticated telephone

- 1 started?
- MR. PEARSE: Okay. I'll answer that and then make
- 3 one point.
- 4 MR. LEVIN: Okay. Good.
- 5 MR. PEARSE: We, basically, started from a clean
- 6 slate. Current telephone companies have a rich 100-year-old
- 7 tradition; but they also have software that's 30, 40 years
- 8 old in assembly language. They have a lot of legacy kind of
- 9 that's in the nature of their business.
- 10 We hired some of the best talent in the industry
- 11 to come in and say: If you were to do a telephone company
- 12 right, what would you do? And they are having a field day
- doing that. We're using the latest and greatest technology
- 14 for software, hardware, transmission systems, everything.
- 15 So that's why you see some of the abilities we
- 16 have here, done on a very efficient basis and a very rapid
- 17 iteration basis.
- One more key point I'd like to make, Jerry, is
- 19 because of the architect we have, where you have a cable
- 20 coming into your home and you're listening to and responding
- 21 to any information you want to hear, it let's you do new
- 22 features.
- We just made what's called a "POTS," That's in
- 24 telephone jargon. "Plain old telephone service." POTS.
- 25 But the "PANS," the Positively Amazing New Stuff,

- 1 we're going to do -- "POTS" and "PANS" -- is going to be
- 2 introduced -- let's say you're sitting down at dinner and
- 3 the phone starts ringing, do you answer the phone or not?
- One feature we can do is, through the new
- 5 generations of set-top boxes, you can look at the TV set and
- 6 see the name and the number of the person calling you at
- 7 that moment; and you can decide: I don't want to answer the
- 8 phone call right now. You can even decide: I never want to
- 9 receive a phone call from that number again.
- 10 These are some of the things that you can do with
- 11 this kind of architect over the cable system. Over the next
- 12 several years, we're finishing the upgrade of our cable
- 13 networks with this hybrid fiber-coax architecture; so you
- 14 will be able to see these services rolled out nationwide as
- 15 we finish up our upgrades.
- 16 Thank you very much.
- 17 MR. LEVIN: Thank you, Steve.
- 18 So that was cable telephony.
- 19 We're now going to conclude with what I think is a
- 20 new experience for consumers; and that is a digital
- 21 interactive television.
- 22 I'm going to asked Tammy Lindsay to do this
- 23 presentation. Tammy is our Vice President for
- 24 Communications in Orlando in what we call the Full Service
- 25 Network. She is going to use a remote control. And what we

- 1 will try and do is pass one of those around to you so you
- 2 can see what it looks like.
- 3 Tammy.
- 4 MS. LINDSAY: Thank you, Jerry.
- 5 It's my pleasure to show you the world's first
- 6 digital interactive television network. It is fully
- 7 operational, working in paying customer homes and has been
- 8 since December of last year.
- 9 The Full Service Network programming is all
- 10 digital, as Jerry has been describing. And it's loaded on
- 11 computer servers that hold vast amount of storage capacities
- 12 and programs like movies, shopping, and games and the things
- 13 that I'm going to show you today.
- 14 Because it's digital, you can access it as a
- 15 consumer at your convenience. So the premise behind the
- 16 Full Service Network is to provide consumers greater choice,
- 17 more control over the programming that comes into their
- 18 homes, greater convenience so they can access it when they
- 19 want to rather than when it's programmed to air, and also
- 20 the ability to customize the Full Service Network for every
- 21 individual in the household.
- 22 When we sign on customers to the Full Service
- 23 Network, we give them this remote control. This accesses
- 24 not only the digital services of the Full Service Network
- 25 but also the regular broadcasting cable TV signals that come

- 1 into the home. So this is the only remote that you'll need
- 2 to access all those services.

- 1 video coming through a television set with something that
- 2 looks like a remote control.
- 3 And so you essentially have an electronic VCR.
- 4 That's all this is, but it took more computational
- 5 programming than it took to put a person on the moon to pull
- 6 this off.
- 7 MS. LINDSAY: That's correct.
- 8 But the point for the consumer is that it's very
- 9 easy to use. It's transparent to the consumer, all this
- 10 computer processing power behind it.
- We have made specific use of color coding on the
- 12 remote, for the buttons that you use most often, to
- 13 manipulate your way around the network. In the center you
- 14 see this arrow key that allows you to go in eight
- 15 directions, left, right, up, down, and diagonally; and then
- 16 the green select button you press any time you want to enter
- 17 or complete a transaction.
- 18 With that background, I'm going to show you how
- 19 consumers actually interface. We have downloaded some of
- 20 the video assets, just a portion of them, from Orlando and
- 21 brought them into a small computer server here to D.C. to
- 22 show it to you.
- 23 But the consumers access the network through the
- 24 carousal. And what I'm doing is depressing the arrow key to
- 25 the right, and it will actually turn the wheel. And I can

- 1 turn it to the left, because I'm the consumer, I'm in total
- 2 control of that.
- 3 As you see, as a venue is highlighted, I can press
- 4 the green select button, stop, and enter that venue; or I
- 5 could punch in the channel number that we've assigned to
- 6 each venue.
- 7 And we only did that -- it's really unlimited
- 8 channel capacity, but we have done that to help bridge the
- 9 gap for consumers because they are very comfortable with
- 10 channels and channel surfing.
- 11 MR. LEVIN: One point to note, there are no
- 12 channels here. We are on the digital side. There is a
- 13 switch bringing a television program from a big computer
- 14 that is going right into your home.
- 15 But most people think in terms of channels. So to
- 16 provide easy access, we are saying movies are on channel --
- 17 MS. LINDSAY: 98.

- 1 I'm going to show you in the controls venue, this
- 2 is where you would enter the Full Service Network, the
- 3 administrative area. It's where you can customize the

- 1 just a sampling; but in Orlando, we have about 97 movie
- 2 titles available today.
- 3 We have categorized these movies. So if you just
- 4 like action films, you can scroll up to action and just get
- 5 those.
- Now in A-to-Z, you can see on the right we have
- 7 listed all the movies available on the network in
- 8 alphabetical order.
- 9 Now, Ace Ventura Pet Detective is highlighted, and
- 10 you get the video promo -- to give you an idea of what the
- 11 movie is about playing -- behind it.
- 12 And as I scroll through movie to movie, my video
- 13 promo is going to change.
- 14 Now what's happening there is that I'm traveling
- 15 from the box through coaxial cable, down to a node in the
- 16 neighborhood, which transforms it into an audio signal, back
- 17 12 miles to our network operations center, that houses
- 18 equipment like the AT&T ATM switch and the computer servers
- 19 that store all the program.
- 20 And then it's actually sent in ATM packets quickly
- 21 through the home communications terminal that streams the
- 22 data and decompresses it and displays it on the television
- 23 screen in less than half a second.
- 24 You can see how fast the video programming is.
- Now let's say I want to order a movie, Outbreak,

- 1 for instance. If I press the green select button, I'm taken
- 2 to an order screen. We have made the movies free for you to
- 3 today. But in Orlando we are charging for --
- 4 MR. LEVIN: We're running a special for the
- 5 Commission today. It's \$3.95.
- 6 MS. LINDSAY: The movies vary in price. They
- 7 range from \$1.95 to \$4.95.
- 8 And to order the movie, all I would do -- now, I
- 9 also should point out that we give you a brief description
- 10 of the film, tell you how long the movie lasts and give you
- 11 a running length time we are giving you access to the film.
- We are varying that from one and a half times the
- 13 length of the movie to three times the length of the movie.
- 14 And we'll be playing around with that with consumers to
- 15 determine what they want.
- Now, to finish my order, I just press the A
- 17 button, and then I'm asked to confirm my order, in case the
- 18 dog stepped on the remote, which happens in homes. So we
- 19 have to hit the B button. And I'm reminded that I have full
- 20 VCR-type functionality.
- 21 MR. LEVIN: These are the VCR symbols.
- Now the movie is started.
- 23 Instant access.
- 24 On demand.
- Now the credits -- can we --

- 1 MS. LINDSAY: Now I can fast forward through the
- 2 credits.
- 3 MR. LEVIN: Yeah, let's fast forward through the
- 4 credits.
- 5 MS. LINDSAY: Now, there's no crazy lines like you
- 6 would see on a VCR, you might note.
- 7 MR. LEVIN: Can you --
- 8 MS. LINDSAY: I can also resume play of the movie.
- 9 I can skip forward by hitting the skip forward button, and
- 10 it then jumps in 10 minutes increments through the film. So
- I can look for my favorite seen. I can skip back the same
- 12 way.
- And, of course, if the phone rings, Jerry, I can
- 14 press pause. And it's going to remember the scene, right there and let me go answer the phone, answer the door, do

- 1 Go ahead.
- 2 MS. LINDSAY: I'm just going to send it to improv.
- 3 And I put the bookmark right there at that sign for a moment
- 4 on the screen.

- 1 down this roller coaster ride to get to all the games. We
- 2 have four network games that you play using the remote
- 3 control, and then we have 16 Atari Jaguar games that you
- 4 play using the Atari Jaguar game unit.
- 5 The TV box that's shown on the screen allows 16
- 6 households to play this game simultaneously. So you can
- 7 even join a game in progress. You can have a game with your
- 8 neighborhood.
- 9 It's a lot of fun.
- 10 I'm going to quickly go back out to the carousal,
- in the interest of time, and I'm going -- should I jump back
- to the movie, Jerry, and see what happened with that?
- MR. LEVIN: Sure.
- 14 MS. LINDSAY: It shows me that I have a movie in
- 15 progress, that it's called Outbreak. And I just press the
- 16 select button. And, boom, there I am right at the scene,
- 17 just as I left it. So I never miss a beat. And I can have
- 18 full VCR functionality.
- 19 MR. LEVIN: You want to fast forward and see that
- 20 again?
- Now stop.
- 22 And rewind.
- 23 All right. So it looks simple, but that
- 24 capability isn't very different from what we were doing with
- 25 the PC where you were scrolling up and down, except here

- 1 it's full motion video.
- 2 The concept was basically to say that a
- 3 compactible form of communication is what we call full
- 4 motion video. If I can control that and manipulate that, we
- 5 call it video-on-demand. What else can I do?
- 6 So I would like Tammy to show you what we're about
- 7 to do. It may not be commercially viable, but it's
- 8 something that we have been working on for a year and a
- 9 half, and that is news on demand.
- 10 If I can pull up a movie, why can't I pull out the
- 11 video news?
- 12 MS. LINDSAY: We call it the "News Exchange." And
- it allows you to pull up the news at your convenience. If
- 14 you miss the 6 p.m. or 11 o'clock news, when are you going
- 15 to be able to watch it?
- 16 You can watch it here on the News Exchange.
- 17 What we have done is digest the day's news. You
- 18 can get it from a local news anchor like you normally would,
- 19 or you can go to the category of news and --
- 20 MR. LEVIN: You can stop this news anchor.
- 21 MS. LINDSAY: Right. You can move from local to
- 22 world and national news. I can enter that category and see
- 23 where we have categorized all the news.
- 24 Now, this is being developed by Time Inc. working
- 25 with CNN, and ABC, NBC; the Tribune Company; the Weather

- 1 Channel; and, of course, the Tribune Company owns the
- 2 Orlando Sentinel, so we get local news and information.
- Now, if I wanted to see the latest World News
- 4 Tonight, a 20/20 program, or any of these, I can actually
- 5 pull it up on demand and then have full VCR functionality.
- 6 MR. LEVIN: Why don't we try "Cross Fire"?
- 7 Again, controlling this news program the same way
- 8 we control the movie.
- 9 MS. LINDSAY: All right. Here's the fast forward.
- 10 MR. LEVIN: Okay.
  - MS. LINDSAY: We have many other services under

Basically when you have digital capability, you
---

- 2 have on-demand speed, instant access. It's a very
- 3 significant consumer characteristic.
- 4 Secondly, because it's digital, you can program
- 5 the software; you can have denial devices, filtering systems
- 6 that are very interesting in terms of consumer protection.
- 7 The third is that -- and this is hard to explain
- 8 -- everything becomes a telephone call. When I pick up the
- 9 telephone handled set, when I use my mouse with a PC, or
- 10 when I use this remote control for the TV, it's all going
- 11 back to a server; the server can be in the community, it can
- 12 be somewhere in our country, it can be somewhere around the
- 13 world. And there is a switch, a very intelligent switch
- 14 that's satisfying my command with a personal direct program
- 15 -- it could be a telephone conversation, video, local news
- 16 -- that is basically coming to me.
- 17 So my own view is that this is a marvelous form --
- 18 and I don't mean to get too philosophic about it --
- 19 self-actualization, that instead of having just a relatively
- 20 limited set of materials that are thrown at me by companies
- 21 who in the media and entertainment business, I am
- 22 assembling, digitally what I want and what really reflects
- 23 my personality. It's just as if I come into your home, I
- 24 can see what magazines are on the coffee table and see your
- 25 furniture. It says a lot about individual personality.

- So I think it's highly significant.
- The last thing I'd say, not to confuse you,
- 3 everything that was seen today is electronic digital
- 4 distribution. There's a whole other side of what we call
- 5 hard copy digital capability, something called a digital
- 6 video disk, which we have been much pushing.
- 7 And we now have a worldwide standard for movies,
- 8 for music, for multi-media information, for computer storage
- 9 that can do on a five inch disk a lot of what you have seen
- 10 today, except this is real-time.
- 11 So this is the digital revolution. I'm sorry we
- 12 took so long. But I think that gives you some idea, some
- 13 experience of what it is.
- 14 That concludes our demonstration.
- 15 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Well, thank you, very much. I
- think we are all in Future Shock, but we'll pull ourselves
- 17 together to try and ask a few questions here; and I think
- 18 maybe we'll run over a little bit here. This is worth our
- 19 time.
- I wonder if you'd say a little bit more about
- 21 access. I mean, I see that you can purchase a movie, you
- 22 can buy a PC, I guess, you can buy a hearing aid and so
- 23 forth, you can buy a news service.
- 24 Down the road, how do you see the decisions made?
- 25 It's like a shelf space question. How do you see the

- 1 decisions made as to who gets on this screen?
- 2 Suppose there are five companies selling PC's and
- 3 a sixth wants to get on the screen, would they pay for that
- 4 opportunity?
- 5 MR. LEVIN: Well, the question, to get access onto
- 6 the network, is a fascinating questions.
- We're already seeing, I think, on the Internet,
- 8 where the cost of getting on to a network is so relatively
- 9 small that there are no real barriers to entry any more.
- 10 I'll give you a very prosaic example on the
- 11 Internet that's of great interest to a lot of companies that
- involves copyright protection and other issues.
- 13 We are almost at the point where you could have
- 14 what's called real-time audio on the Internet so that
- 15 anyone, anywhere in the world, in the garage or in a home,
- 16 with a PC and a fairly simple application modem can go on to
- 17 the Internet with real-time audio and can, in effect, start
- 18 what we used to call a radio station. That could be
- 19 received or accessed by anybody.
- 20 So I think we need to shift our whole concept of
- 21 limited, you know, gatekeeping limited access.
- Now, let's fast forward to this rather robust
- 23 digital television. What about access there? And here we
- 24 try to make the point -- it's very hard to get your arms
- 25 around it because you're so used to thinking about channels

- 1 and just a couple of channels on a television set -- but
- when we go into this digital domain, there are no channels.
- 3 So anybody with a server, with a digital server, who can put
- 4 material on that server can be accessed.
- I mean, for example, in a community, in Elmira, if

- 1 individual digital applications, I think, will be highly
- 2 cost-efficient. There is something called Moore's Laws that
- 3 every 18 months the capacity doubles, triples, ten times;
- 4 and the cost gets reduced by almost 50 percent.
- 5 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Mr. Levin, is that to say,
- 6 then, in the demonstration from Elmira and the demonstration
- 7 from Orlando, I think in both of those you had shopping
- 8 categories.
- 9 MR. LEVIN: Right.
- 10 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: So your network or your

- 1 done. And there are servers, yes, that we have in Orlando.
- 2 But what we want is a communications system that will tap
- 3 into anybody's server, anywhere in the country, anywhere in
- 4 the world. That's why I think the Internet is a terrific
- 5 kind of analogue to what it means.
- 6 So what we want to do, as a system, is simply to
- 7 get consumers interested in this so they can eventually
- 8 communicate. That's why I came back to, it's all like a
- 9 telephone conversation; it's a form of communication; it's
- 10 not a form of -- it's kind of the packaging or delivery on
- 11 our part.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: I have one other question on
- 13 behalf of many people in the room here.
- 14 Forgetting about the hardware, do you have any
- 15 estimate of what this is going to cost once you really have
- 16 this thing rolled out?
- 17 MR. LEVIN: You mean for the consumer?
- 18 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Yes.
- 19 MR. LEVIN: We are looking at what I'll call the
- 20 cable model, and the cable model is a very interesting one,
- 21 not just because it's an industry that I came from.
- 22 And that is, when we put all of this equipment --
- 23 instead of you're going to a store and purchasing consumer
- 24 electronics and computer, which changes fairly rapidly and
- 25 it has to be replaced -- because of cost-efficiencies of

- 1 using kind of a system that will replace this box, will
- 2 replace the switch and move it to another system,
- 3 essentially what I believe we will provide for the consumer
- 4 is that you will have the basic charge that you'll need to
- 5 subscribe to the cable system. And most of what we've
- 6 shown, to order a movie or something, will be on a -- kind
- 7 of a per choice or per view basis.
- 8 The interesting question for us -- and things that

- other subject, privacy and data collection, is what I'll
- 2 call a fear of "We don't want to create information
- 3 aristocracies." We definitely want this kind of technology
- 4 to be available to everyone.
- 5 And one thing I would say to you that, by working
- 6 so hard in Orlando, instead of an average consumer having to
- 7 purchase very significant computing power, we are trying to
- 8 bring that cost down so that it's available to the consumer.
- 9 COMMISSIONER STAREK: I had one question. From
- 10 what I've heard this morning, it sounds like you made a
- 11 giant leap over the technology that is available and
- 12 currently in use by the regional telephone companies.
- I was wondering if it's necessary for this system
- 14 to have some sort of a strategic alliance with the regional
- 15 companies for the system to operate?
- And if it is, do you foresee a variety of those
- 17 kinds of alliances and relationships with regional telephone
- 18 companies?
- 19 MR. LEVIN: Well, Commissioner, it's a very
- 20 question. Because, you know, obviously public policy
- 21 intersects with the financing mechanisms in the marketplace.
- I strongly believe that we are in a period where
- 23 we have an opportunity to build the infrastructure to create
- 24 this kind of digital interactivity. And it is heavy capital
- 25 requirement, and I do believe we are in the forefront,

- 1 worldwide of this kind of networking. And, in fact, it is a
- 2 model for export around the world.
- 3 So if I were designing public policy -- which I'm,
- 4 obviously, am not -- I would encourage relationships between
- 5 telephone companies, cable companies, computer companies, in
- 6 some form of joint venture, common use of certain facilities
- 7 so there isn't capital duplication for the sake of
- 8 constructing the infrastructure because I think it's a
- 9 central characteristic, is to provide such abundant,
- 10 interactive, on-demand opportunity that everyone will be
- 11 competing. But the challenge for America is to build this
- 12 digital highway. And I just think the public policy ought
- 13 to encourage this.
- 14 I think there are a lot of theories that are in
- 15 our law that are really 19th century theories. They don't
- 16 take into account this digital reality. So we, in fact,
- 17 have relationships with the telephone companies. But you
- 18 have to kind of work your way through regulations in order
- 19 to make it happen.
- 20 And the last thing I would say is that every
- 21 company has been operating in a slightly zone. Cable
- 22 companies have been, in the past, delivering one-way video;
- 23 telephone companies have been used to second-by-second
- 24 monitoring of switched signals. We're really talking about
- 25 the convergence of that. And then I add one other thing,

- 1 and that is computer software.
- So somehow if we could have an relationships so
- 3 that the financial markets -- because I do believe this
- 4 needs to be private sector financed -- can feel comfortable
- 5 that the infrastructure will be built and then there's
- 6 robust competition on the system.
- 7 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: On the question of that
- 8 infrastructure, it sounded to me as though area you're
- 9 working with now for the optic fiber interconnects is
- 10 possibly somewhat small. There is a gray box on my house.
- 11 A block ago there's the black box to interface the signals
- 12 from my box.
- If this is the case, do you expect that that area,
- 14 before you need a middle server, another black box, is going
- 15 to increase?
- 16 And, if not, what does that say about the
- 17 difficulty of optic fibering a neighborhood?
- 18 MR. LEVIN: Well, I think what we're seeing -- and
- 19 I've probably understated the development that's already
- 20 taken place, Commissioner Steiger. Because, in fact, the
- 21 cable industry, in what we call this fiber upgrade, is
- 22 laying, each day, miles -- and now thousands of miles of
- 23 fiber and connecting the head end to what we call the
- 24 neighborhood.
- 25 And then I think the economic elegance of this was

- 1 to retain the last mile of coaxial cable, which is the most
- 2 expensive part of the system. You don't need to replace
- 3 that.
- 4 So that if you change out this trunk line and to
- 5 fiber optics and go to neighborhoods of about 400 or 500
- 6 people and then retain the coaxial cable, you have a highly
- 7 cost-efficient system.
- 8 So when we talk about upgrading cable, remember
- 9 cable passes today about 90 percent of the homes in America.

- 1 MR. LEVIN: Nor am I. I'm able to deal with the
- 2 literature.
- 3 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: It shows in your profit,
- 4 right?
- 5 If the coaxial cable and the fiber optic upgrade
- 6 is combined into this one system that can deliver this
- 7 tremendous capacity like we have seen in Orlando, do we end
- 8 up in 20 years with one system, like we had with AT&T, that
- 9 basically delivered phone services throughout the country?
- 10 Are we seeing the future where there's only one game in
- 11 town?
- 12 MR. LEVIN: Well, you have asked the question of
- 13 the ages.
- 14 If I back up and say that I think we should
- 15 encourage the construction of this capacity, what's the best
- 16 -- from a public policy point of few and for the financial
- 17 markets and for our global situation, what's the best
- 18 structure to kind of let this go?
- 19 Then, somewhere down the road -- and you know, I
- 20 know regulation of vertical integration kind of runs in
- 21 cycles -- you know, where are we at that point?
- 22 My own view is that it's important to construct
- 23 the infrastructure and that, through some collaboration,
- 24 that's probably helpful, rather than having duplicative
- 25 facilities.

1	And I think you can throw old ideas like common
2	carrier and other regulatory forms, because I think then
3	what you'll have is, everybody is kind of converging on
4	providing lots of software, lots of navigation systems, lots
5	of access so that there will be a highly, robust form of
6	competition in this infrastructure if we just kind of let it
7	get built.
8	So, you know, I will go out on a limb and say,
9	even if 20 years there is, essentially, basically one piece
10	of infrastructure here, there will be so many switches, so
11	many components used by so many different players that it
12	almost doesn't matter.
13	CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Well, let me thank you, again.
14	We are extremely grateful for this extraordinary
15	presentation. It brings to a climax the issues that we have
16	been addressing and illustrates the point that, as
17	regulators, we've got to understand the way the world is
18	changing and make sure that our thinking is not devoted to
19	an earlier era but is adjusted to these new realities.
20	And I want to thank you, again, form coming down.
21	Incidentally, we will resume these hearings in
22	room 332 so that the Time Warner can retrieve their
23	equipment.
24	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
25	

1 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Good morning, agai
---

- 2 We are continuing our series of hearings on global
- 3 competition and consumer protection enforcement in the
- 4 future.
- 5 We had a fascinating presentation this morning
- 6 about what we can expect with the convergence of the three

- 1 first witness is representing the U.S. Department of
- 2 Justice. His name is Gordon Zubrod. He's an Assistant
- 3 United States Attorney for the Middle District of
- 4 Pennsylvania. He is a Senior Litigator for the U.S.
- 5 Attorney's office there and has extensive criminal
- 6 prosecution experience, including many cases that involved
- 7 economic fraud.
- 8 When I first came to the Commission, we were
- 9 bringing a lot of cases involving all sorts of fraud, but we
- 10 were having a difficult time pursuing criminal charges
- 11 against our most egregious defendants. Our friends in the
- 12 Justice Department were very bogged down with drug cases and
- 13 other major criminal conspiracies. But in the time that
- 14 I've been here, which has been about five years, we've seen
- 15 this enormous response from our colleagues at the Justice
- 16 Department, the Federal criminal prosecutors who work with
- 17 this Agency, the FCC, and other agencies that are
- 18 prosecuting in fraud and trying to put together cases where
- 19 one of the remedies is the most severe: Criminal penalties.
- 20 So, Gordon, thank you for coming; and we look
- 21 forward to your remarks.
- 22 MR. ZUBROD: Well, thank you, Commissioner, for
- 23 inviting us to this. It's been a fascinating presentation
- 24 so far; and I definitely feel like I'm in the vanilla league
- 25 after watching the presentation that just preceded.

1 COMMISSIONER STAREK	EK: I can't even get my remot
-----------------------	-------------------------------

- 2 to work. So I'll be really lost when I've got to do all
- 3 these things with a remote.
- 4 MR. ZUBROD: This whole field of high technology
- 5 and communication is one that we have a real concern about;
- 6 because you look out on the Internet, and I just know that
- 7 the frauders, scam artists see the fields ripe for harvest.
- 8 And the dual concern we have is that they will be
- 9 able to create some virtual reality mechanism whereby they
- 10 give themselves legitimacy, technologically, that they don't
- 11 have in reality.
- 12 The second one is the ability to cover their moves
- 13 by being able to leap from place to place technologically
- 14 and really be around the corner; and it would take forever
- 15 to locate them, and by the time you do, they have moved on
- 16 and set up in another place.
- 17 My point today is that the fraud -- however,
- 18 having said that, fraud is not intrinsically technological,
- 19 that the sophistication is psychological and not
- 20 technological; and the technology is the not the driving
- 21 force behind it.
- The thing that, having been in this particularly
- 23 economic crime now for about 15 years, is that the same
- 24 techniques reappear over and over again, very simple
- 25 techniques.

- I once asked an international defrauder what is --
- what's the earmark that you go for? What is the thing that
- 3 sets in motion a scheme to defraud? Expecting all sorts of
- 4 answers, both technological and otherwise, he said:
- 5 Recession. He said, because when there's a recession,
- 6 people's judgment diminishes and their fear level rises.
- 7 He made an interesting comment, he said that
- 8 Americans are noted as some of the hardest working people
- 9 anywhere; but the reason for that is not because they like
- 10 work. It's because they fear the future.
- 11 And it is that which they play upon.
- 12 They did a study once on successful businessmen
- 13 and women and to see what it was that drove them and
- 14 motivated them. In most of cases, the highest motivator was
- 15 fear of failure.
- 16 And we find that we're not just having elderly or
- 17 undereducated victims. We're having doctors, lawyers,
- 18 accountants, corporate presidents, as victims of these
- 19 scams, who have paid millions in order to protect their
- 20 future.
- 21 As I said, many, if not most, of the big scams are
- 22 low-tech. The nature of fraud hasn't changed. Sucker lists
- 23 ads, post cards, telephone pitches, glib telemarketers.
- 24 With these really dedicated, career individuals,
- 25 cease and desist orders don't particularly work.

1	So w	here does	the	FTC's	greatest	contribution	lie
---	------	-----------	-----	-------	----------	--------------	-----

- 2 in addressing telemarketing fraud and particularly global
- 3 telemarketing fraud?
- 4 And I would suggest that it is in the forging of
- 5 relationships, productive partnerships, that we need your
- 6 help in maximizing our effectiveness in law enforcement.
- 7 The critical need of the hour is groups focusing on fraud to
- 8 form cooperative relationships.
- 9 We need you to make us more effective both in the
- 10 private sector, administrators, law enforcement,
- internationally, we need to have some clearing house, some
- 12 continual way of exchanging information.
- We need the FTC to make us more efficient and
- 14 maximize our effectiveness. If you were to ask me: How? I
- 15 would say: Help us in several ways.
- Number one, by identifying what fraud is currently
- 17 the fraud du jour. It could be indium scams. It could be
- 18 gem scams, sweepstakes. They tend to come in waves. And
- 19 this rush pours through the criminal element, and they see
- 20 some things working, and everybody leaps on board. And by
- 21 the time we get around to it, with out grand jury subpoenas
- 22 and our court-ordered immunity and so on, they've moved on.
- 23 We need a business organization that is responsive
- 24 to what's going on and can alert us as it's happening.
- 25 Secondly, we need to know who the victims are,

- 1 what regions are being defrauded.
- 2 We worked with Bob Friedman in your gem scam case
- 3 because it's a bottomless victim pool. And what he was able
- 4 to help us do was to identify victims that were really going
- 5 to be dynamite for us. He found people who had had mental
- 6 breakdowns, having giving their life savings; people who had
- 7 borrowed money from family; and so on, all of the ones where
- 8 the jury is throwing the rope over the tree half way through
- 9 the testimony.
- 10 That was very, very effective for us in our
- 11 Canadian gem scam case. We need to know things such as:
- 12 Who are the most prevalent miscreants because they tend to
- 13 ride the wave, like a surfer from one scam to another. And
- 14 sometimes it is productive to focus on individuals rather
- 15 than on scams themselves.
- 16 We need to form an international telemarketing
- 17 database. I would really love to see the FTC take the lead
- 18 in cross-border information sharing in the forging of
- 19 productive partnerships.
- 20 For instance, Industry Canada, I think would be a
- 21 very valuable source of interplay between our country and
- 22 Canada because you are more responsive in the sense that you
- 23 react more quickly than we do to a lot of what's going on.
- 24 This would include operational collaboration,
- 25 Postal, FBI is now becoming involved, the Royal Canadian

- 1 Mounted Police, the York Regional Police, the Ontario
- 2 Regional Police, Metropolitan Toronto Police have become
- 3 very heavily involved in the process.
- I think the time has come and we're seeing now,
- 5 for cross-designation of FTC attorneys in criminal
- 6 investigations. Now, we should begin by acknowledging that
- 7 there's some institutional resistance to that, which Jon
- 8 Rush and the telemarketing working group are slowly
- 9 overcoming; and there's a hesitation about the sharing of
- 10 grand jury information, about, you know, violating any
- 11 disclosure or discovery rules, et cetera.

- 1 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you, Gordon.
- 2 What I can say is that I agree with just about everything
- 3 you've said. It's music to my ears. The problems are only
- 4 going to become more acute on an international basis; and

- 1 Risk Management and Security of the Visa International
- 2 Service Association. And on behalf of Visa, I appreciate
- 3 the opportunity to come before you to today to talk about
- 4 cross-border fraud.
- Visa is a membership association of over 19,000
- 6 financial institutions worldwide licensed to use Visa marks
- 7 in connection with payment services, including credit and
- 8 debit cards and emerging technologies, such as stored value
- 9 cards and electronic commerce.

- 1 fraudulent activity and prevent systemic problems.
- 3 cross-border activity is responsible for a growing
- 4 percentage of the fraud we experience. The worldwide Visa
- 5 organization operates with six regional groups of countries,

- 1 Program, or CVV, which incorporates a secret code in each
- 2 card's magnetic stripe. When a merchant swipes the card at
- 3 an authorization terminal, the information on the stripe is
- 4 read -- is transmitted to the issuer and read at the issuer
- 5 location.
- 6 This CVV enables the issuer to detect if there has
- 7 been any alteration to the magnetic stripe and, if so, to
- 8 deny authorization for that particular transaction.
- 9 Another new technology is our neural network
- 10 system, called the Cardholder Risk Identification System, or
- 11 the acronym we use is CRIS, which allows card issuers to
- 12 detect fraudulent cardholder and merchant transaction
- 13 patterns and relationships by using hundreds of variables to
- 14 analyze massive quantities of data.
- This highly specialized software "trains" itself
- 16 and develops enhanced predictive models as each new piece of
- 17 data is received. Responding to ever-changing criminal
- 18 patterns, our neural network system is updated continuously
- 19 to quickly uncover new fraud patterns.
- 20 Card issuers can base their authorization
- 21 decisions on a risk value that's assigned to each
- 22 transaction processed through our global payment system,
- 23 VisaNet.
- 24 This system also electronically alerts card
- 25 issuers to potentially fraudulent activity up to eight times

- 1 a day via a Compuserve mailbox, thus, providing them with an
- 2 early warning system that prompts them to follow up with
- 3 their cardholders by telephone to ensure the accounts are
- 4 not being compromised.
- 5 Our Global Fraud Information System is a PC-based
- 6 service that allows us to alert members as we see a
- 7 fraudulent scheme developing any place in the world. It
- 8 also gives members worldwide the ability to communicate with
- 9 each on problems, fraud schemes that are being experienced.
- 10 Another method that we use to determine where
- 11 fraud has clustered, in order that we may be cost effective
- 12 and surgical in applying solutions, is our Fraud
- 13 Concentration Analysis program wherein Visa analyzes
- 14 extensive transaction data to determine what kind of fraud
- 15 is occurring, is it counterfeit, is it on lost cards, is it
- on stolen cards, where it's occurring, by country, by
- 17 merchant category, by a particular merchant, which issuers'
- 18 cards are being compromised so we can determine why a
- 19 particular institution is being exploited, and, finally,
- 20 individual acquirers whose merchants are being compromised
- 21 above the norm.
- 22 Visa then develops potential solutions with the
- 23 issuers and acquirers and asks for feedback in order that we
- 24 not reinvent the world for these ever-migrating fraud
- 25 schemes that move from one place to another.

1	Similarly,	our	Risk	Identification	Service
---	------------	-----	------	----------------	---------

- 2 monitors fraud processed at merchant locations. Again,
- 3 looking for clusters of fraudulent activity and patterns.
- 4 When fraud is centered at certain merchant categories or
- 5 merchant locations, we notify those merchants, acquirers so
- 6 that Visa, the acquirers, and again the merchants can work
- 7 together to determine the causes of the fraud and prevent it
- 8 in the future.
- 9 Visa's Acquiring Monitoring Program identifies
- 10 acquirers whose merchants introduced excessive amounts of
- 11 fraud into the system. Thereafter, our risk control
- 12 specialists visit the site of their operation and reviews
- 13 all aspects of their operation.
- 14 If certain shortcomings are detected, we work with
- 15 the institution to correct them and strengthen their
- 16 process.
- 17 We also use floor limits as a risk control tool.
- 18 A floor limit is a value above which the transaction must be
- 19 authorized at the point of sale. The value varies by
- 20 country and by merchant category. By authorizing a
- 21 transaction, the card issuer tells the merchant: This card
- 22 is valid and the transaction is within the cardholder's
- 23 credit limit. When a transaction does not involve the
- 24 authorization process, it invites increasing potential for
- 25 fraud.

1	The United States telecommunications system is
2	highly developed and relatively inexpensive compared to
3	systems in other parts of the world.
4	This enables U.S. merchants and financial
5	institutions to authorize most all Visa transactions,
6	regardless of the amount, by merely swiping the card through
7	an authorization terminal at a point of sale.
8	In other countries where telecommunications costs
9	are higher, in systems less developed, Visa sets floor
10	limits, taking into consideration the cost of the
11	authorization as well as the fraud experienced, in order to
12	minimize our exposure in those countries.
13	Through our Central Deposit Monitoring Program,
14	Visa tracks the number of transactions a merchant deposits
15	into the system over period of time. Where unusual
16	increases in volume are detected, this is a sign of
17	laundering drafts. And, again, we would go to the acquirer
18	to seek corrections.
19	Laundering occurs when an authorized Visa merchant
20	submits Visa transactions on behalf of another merchant who
21	is not authorized to do business with Visa.
22	Our International Points of Compromise Program
23	allows us to trade counterfeit cards to determine whether a

particular card or a series of cards was comprised at a

specific merchant location.

24

25

1	We also regularly review the facilities and
2	operations of vendors worldwide that manufacture cards,
3	holograms, or otherwise participate and impact on the
4	integrity of our system.
5	Finally, we at Visa believe that awareness and
6	education programs also play a vital role in reducing fraud.
7	As a result of active participation by Visa members,
8	merchants, and law enforcement agencies, the human
9	intervention efforts, we think also have been quite
10	successful.
11	The focus of these hearings is on three
12	technologies: television, telephone, and cyberspace.
13	Advances in these technologies will clearly give
14	consumers new opportunities as we saw this morning to
15	communicate with merchants globally without being physically
16	present to effect a transaction and use, in the process,
17	Visa's products to make purchasers.
18	All of the fraud combating tools I have discussed
19	today are in use by members worldwide and virtually all will
20	or already are being used to combat both domestic and
21	cross-border fraud in television, telephone, and cyberspace
22	payment environments.
23	Visa fully intends to continue its leadership role

in fraud detection and prevention. Our programs are

continually evolving to meet new challenges in emerging

24

25

- 1 technologies. We have no intention of varying from that
- 2 precedent.
- 3 As Visa develops new products and services, our
- 4 Risk Management staff is an integral part of that product
- 5 development process. Our role is to evaluate the products
- 6 and services as they evolve in order to pinpoint any
- 7 potential exposure there might be for fraud and put that on
- 8 the table as a business decision is made.
- 9 While others may see technological advantages
- 10 creating new opportunities for fraud, we see new
- 11 technologies as opportunities to offer new services that
- 12 will substantially benefit consumers not only by adding
- 13 convenience and access to information but also making
- 14 transactions more secure.
- 15 For example, as we migrate from magnetic stripe
- 16 cards to integrated circuits, or chip cards, transactions
- 17 will be authorized in an off-line environment without using
- 18 telecommunications lines. Although a main reason for chip
- 19 technology is to take advantage of its increased memory, to
- 20 offer new product capabilities, a significant additional
- 21 benefit, will be that all transactions will be authorized,
- 22 making it easier to detect lost and stolen cards, and
- 23 schemes that target payment environments with high fraud
- 24 floor limits. Also chips are much more difficult to copy
- 25 than magnetic stripes, thus cutting into the danger of

- 1 counterfeiting.
- Obviously, cards used at the point of sale are
- 3 only one vehicle for emerging technologies. Home banking
- 4 and electronic commerce are other technological advances
- 5 that are in nascent stages today but will become the norm.
- 6 We'll bank from home using a phone, a personal
- 7 computer, interactive television, or a virtual bank. We
- 8 also will shop in virtual malls from anywhere we have a
- 9 personal computer connected to the Internet.
- 10 Visa's already working on encryption methods that
- 11 will secure credit card account information on computer
- 12 networks, such as the Internet, and be able to authenticate
- 13 parties to a transaction.
- 14 As these and other technologies evolve, our Risk
- 15 Management programs will evolve with them, offering those
- 16 who participate in our systems better security systems.
- 17 Thank you for giving Visa the opportunity to
- 18 address you this morning.
- 19 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you very much
- 20 for coming and offering that fascinating testimony.
- 21 Now that we know that major companies that deal
- 22 internationally are using technologies for risk management
- 23 to combat fraud, I wonder what the government's response is
- 24 going to be. How are we going to use these new technologies
- 25 to increase our enforcement efforts?

1	And maybe for an answer to that question or at
2	least some enlightenment on the issue, we turn now to Scott
3	Blake Harris, who is the Chief of the International Bureau
4	of the Federal Communications Commission in Washington.
5	His international work at the FCC includes
6	telecommunications, radio, satellite, and other
7	developmental matters. He has an extensive background in
8	this having worked both in the law firm of Williams and
9	Connolly here in Washington and at the Commerce Department.
LO	I think he's going to discuss with us today
L1	cross-border telemarketing fraud and how those new
L2	technologies will support the globalization of the
L3	marketplace and could enable the scam artist to evade law
L <b>4</b>	enforcement and how law enforcement can, then, use the new
L5	technologies to curtail scam operators.
L6	Thank you for coming, Mr. Harris.
L7	MR. BLAKE HARRIS: Commissioner, thank you.
L8	We all talk glibly today about the communications
L9	revolution, but it isn't a communications revolution. It is
20	a series of multiple revolutions.
21	The first and the most obvious is the
22	technological revolution. Communications are becoming
23	digital and being driven by computer technology.
24	The second, though, is the philosophical

revolution. Just yesterday we thought communications were

25

- 1 best delivered by monopolies, one country, one phone
- 2 company. Today we know that's nonsense.
- 3 The third is the commercial revolution. Commerce
- 4 is increasingly global. No longer does one need to be
- 5 visionary to think about doing business internationally.
- 6 Everybody does.
- 7 Each of these changes is to be encouraged.
- 8 Together they mean new services, more consumer choice, and
- 9 lower prices. They also mean greater communication and more
- 10 economic growth.
- 11 But I suspect that all revolutions have a dark
- 12 side, and these are no exception.
- More sophisticated technology, more competition,
- 14 and global thinking have all made it easier for con men to
- 15 defraud consumers -- and this is important -- to avoid
- 16 national regulatory authorities.
- 17 Today it is literally nothing to route a call from
- 18 Kansas to Sao Tome to New York in the blink of an eye and to
- 19 do so in a way that leaves the consumer thinking he has made
- 20 a call around the corner at 15 cents a minute when, in fact,
- 21 he is paying \$15 a minute.
- 22 Sao Tome, for those of you who don't know -- as I
- 23 did not until this problem arose -- is a small country off
- 24 the West Coast of Africa. It's an island which has become a
- 25 hub of international dial-a-porn activity.

- I don't know why Sao Tome.
- 2 Just this past spring, we discovered a dramatic
- 3 increase -- and I do mean dramatic -- in the use of
- 4 international information services, or audio-tech services,
- 5 as they call themselves, to defraud consumers. And I use
- 6 the term "information" rather loosely in these purposes.
- 7 What I'm talking about is international dial-a-porn,
- 8 international chat lines, international astrology lines,

- 1 the top five countries alone, just in the top five.
- Now, where did this problem come from? Why did it
- 3 spring up over night?
- 4 Actually, it is the result of a success story.
- 5 Over the last four years, the FTC and the Congress
- 6 successfully cracked down on the abuses of the domestic
- 7 pay-per-call industry.
- 8 So to avoid the domestic restrictions, the
- 9 pay-per-call providers moved off shore where our
- 10 jurisdictional reach is attenuated.
- 11 Now, what are the abuses? Why do we care?
- 12 As used to be the case for domestic services, the
- 13 abuses arise from these services being accessible through a
- 14 direct dial phone call. You don't need to use the Visa card
- off shore. And the charge appears on the local phone bill.
- 16 That means that anyone with access to a telephone,
- 17 authorized or not, has easy access to the service, the kid
- 18 in the house, the employee in the business who have no
- 19 business making phone calls to a dial-a-porn service or a
- 20 chat line have easy access. No one stops them on the other
- 21 end and asks for a credit card. All they need for access is
- 22 the phone.
- 23 Number 2, adults are misled about the cost of
- 24 these services. So even when an authorized user has the
- 25 intention of using these services, they are routinely misled

- 1 about what they're getting and what they're paying for.
- 2 Thus, consumers, unexpectedly, get enormous phone
- 3 bills. And I'm talking in the thousands of dollars here.
- 4 Suddenly you open your phone bill and rather than \$30 a
- 5 month or \$40 a month there's a \$6,000 phone bill because
- 6 someone has had access to a dial-a-porn service in the
- 7 Caribbean.
- And here's the worse part, if you don't pay the
- 9 bill, you can lose your phone service.
- Now, how do these international schemes work?
- 11 Every time an international call is made from the United
- 12 States, the United States-based international carrier makes
- 13 a payment to the telephone company on the other end of the
- 14 line in the foreign country. This is called a settlement

- 1 around the world, significantly above cost.
- Where the foreign settlement rate is high enough,
- 3 the foreign phone company can make a kickback to the
- 4 information provider, who set up service, of 60 percent and
- 5 still make a lot of money on the phone call, on the

- 1 part of the North American numbering scheme, their numbers
- 2 look like ours. 809 is a foreign number area code. Not
- 3 many people know that.
- 4 And so people literally think they are calling
- 5 around the corner, and their phone call goes to Sao Tome,
- 6 off the West Coast of the Africa.
- 7 By the way, the girls who do the dial-a-porn are
- 8 often back in New York and the phone call just visits Sao
- 9 Tome for the blink of an eye to make the whole scheme work.
- 10 And, in fact, it's all going on in New York City or Seattle
- 11 or some place like that.
- 12 Let me just give you this brief idea how these
- schemes can boost phone traffic. In 1992, there were 40,000
- 14 minutes of telephone traffic from the United States to Sao
- 15 Tome. In 1994, two years later, 13,202,000. 40,000 to over
- 16 13 million.
- 17 Moldova, in 1993 had 81,000 minutes of phone
- 18 traffic from the United States. In 1994, it went to 6
- 19 million minutes of traffic from the United States.
- 20 Since the spring we have been trying to grapple
- 21 with this problem at the FCC. And let me be candid with
- 22 you, I had hoped I'd find a single bullet, one thing we
- 23 could do which would make it go away. I'm coming to the
- 24 conclusion that there's no one thing to do. There's no
- 25 silver bullet that solves a problem that crosses

- 1 jurisdictional lines with technology the way this one does.
- 2 We think we have a multi-faceted problem which is
- 3 going to require a multi-faceted solution. Among other
- 4 things, it requires far greater cooperation among regulatory
- 5 and law enforcement authorities in the United States than we
- 6 have today. It requires additional concentration of time,
- 7 money, and effort from regulatory and law enforcement
- 8 authorities. It also requires more cooperation among
- 9 regulatory authorities internationally.
- This is not a problem, I think, ultimately that we

- Justice Department, and we're hoping they're going to do
- 2 that.
- 3 The second is that the essence of all of these
- 4 schemes is misleading consumers in the United States,
- 5 something that is squarely within the jurisdiction of U.S.
- 6 regulatory authorities; and, to be more precise, is squarely
- 7 within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Federal Trade
- 8 Commission. Without the vital connection to the consumer in
- 9 the United States, none of the schemes work. All the
- 10 technology, all the rest of it, unless you have that hook
- 11 into the consumer, that misleading hook, it all falls apart.
- 12 That's where the FTC can bring its enforcement authority to
- 13 bear. It can sever that crucial relationship with the
- 14 consumer.
- 15 Third, we have already worked with industry to
- 16 craft a voluntary agreement among all of the major telephone
- 17 carriers in the United States to protect consumers. We've
- 18 met with all of the major long-distance carriers. We've met
- 19 with all of the major local telephone carriers. And we now
- 20 have a nationwide understanding designed to protect
- 21 consumers. None of the major carriers now will collect
- 22 payment from consumers whose phones have been used for these
- 23 services without authorization or who have been deceived.
- 24 The local telephone companies have also agreed not
- 25 to terminate telephone service for non-payment of

- 1 legitimately disputed charges.
- 2 The U.S. telephone industry basically came
- 3 together and said: We refuse to be used by these people to
- 4 defraud our customers.
- 5 Fourth, we've already begun to work, both with
- 6 foreign telephone companies and regulators, to cut off the
- 7 fraud at the other end of the line. And we've actually made
- 8 some progress already. We have a couple of carriers
- 9 overseas who have signed up, essentially, to the kinds of
- 10 protections we have by regulation in the United States.
- 11 And we have embarrassed a couple of regulators
- overseas. And some, frankly, we didn't have to embarrass;
- they share our view of the world and are already beginning
- 14 to crack down on these practices. But as with any

L MR. HARR	IS: Basically,	, what we	were ab	ole '	to
------------	----------------	-----------	---------	-------	----

- 2 require -- because of our jurisdictional authority -- is
- 3 that if you make the call in the United States, you can
- 4 require the fellow at the other end of the line to demand a
- 5 Visa card, some form of the payment which protects the
- 6 person whose phone is being used because someone needs an
- 7 independent way of calling. We required information
- 8 providers at the other end of the line to provide
- 9 information before people could be charged over the
- 10 telephone system.
- 11 When the folks in Moldova -- you can't make them
- 12 require a Visa card.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: But can't you make the -- when
- 14 it lands in New York, on the second leg, can't you require
- 15 that --
- 16 MR. HARRIS: Basically, because of international
- 17 agreements, no, you can't.
- 18 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Ah, that's the problem.
- 19 MR. HARRIS: No. The answer is there is an
- 20 international treaty regulating how international phone
- 21 calls are made and how they work.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Okay. We have a technical
- 23 assistance grant from AID to aid the good folks in Moldova.
- 24 They may be way ahead of us.
- 25 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, our final presenter

- this morning is an old friend Rachel Larabie-LeSieur.
- 2 Rachel is the Director of Marketing Practices with
- 3 Industry Canada, a good friend of this agency, known to many
- 4 of us.
- 5 She and I participated in the establishment of an
- 6 international group of law enforcement agencies. It's known
- 7 as the International Marketing Supervision Network. It's an
- 8 informal network of law enforcers in various OECD countries
- 9 who get together and exchange information on the problems we
- 10 have in enforcement in our own countries as well as
- 11 cross-border enforcement.

Rachel31 TD

- 1 marketplace and within government.
- Within government, I think I would like to start
- 3 by saying that fiscal constraints in Canada has forced us to
- 4 review the structure of the Marketing Practices Branch,
- 5 which is part of the Bureau of Competition Policy.
- And we have, just recently, closed all of our
- 7 regional offices. We had seven regional offices, very small
- 8 offices; and it was felt that it was better to consolidate
- 9 all activities in the headquarters in the national capital
- 10 region.
- We've created a complaint's unit with a toll-free
- 12 line, which I think is going to compensate, to a certain
- 13 extent, for the closure of the regional offices.
- Over the past 10 years, I would say, we have
- 15 witnessed an increase in cross-border practices that
- 16 contravene our legislation and American legislation.
- 17 And in our estimate, this is due to closer trade
- 18 relations and more sophisticated and cost-effective
- 19 communication and computer technology.
- 20 We are now operating in an environment that is
- 21 characterized by an increasingly unified, global market for
- 22 business and fragmented law enforcement jurisdictions,
- 23 coupled with restrictions on cooperation and information
- 24 sharing among agencies, all operating with substantially
- 25 reduced budgets.

- 1 I think that sums up the situation from our
- 2 perspective.
- 3 So there is an obvious need, in our view, to fill
- 4 the gap, through cross-border enforcement and education

- 1 also sent to U.S. businesses by Canadian operators.
- 2 So that, I think, sets the scene. And I would
- 3 like now to give you an overview -- or a Canadian
- 4 perspective, if you want, on the enforcement of the criminal
- 5 provisions that are contained in the competition act that
- 6 deal with misleading advertising and deceptive marketing
- 7 practices.
- 8 These provisions deal with misleading advertising,
- 9 unsubstantiated performance claims, misleading testimonials,
- 10 double ticketing, bait and switch, pyramid sales, whatever.
- 11 And all of these are strict liability offenses, meaning that
- 12 we do not have to prove the criminal intent. And this is
- 13 being referred to as being a criminal regulatory regime,
- 14 which distinguishes it from the criminal code offenses.
- 15 Some of these provisions contain specific defenses
- 16 that can be used. And one of the provisions provides for a
- 17 due diligence defense when we are talking about misleading
- 18 representations.
- 19 The act also contains formal powers, so we can
- 20 obtain search warrants from the courts, we can obtain orders
- 21 to examine witnesses, to compel production of documents, or
- 22 to require written returns of information. And failure to
- 23 comply with such orders is usually punishable by either a
- 24 fine and/or a jail term.
- What is happening, though, is that search warrants

- 1 have been very useful in investigating typical marketing
- 2 practices offenses. But this, too, has limitations when the
- 3 target of the investigation is more fraudulent or mobile.
- 4 So I mean, to a large extent, that becomes
- 5 meaningless. So we think that we have to turn to quicker
- and more flexible means to gather evidence in such cases,
- 7 like search of banking records, surveillance, use of
- 8 informants, as well as increased cooperation and information

- 1 years.
- 2 However, we've seen very few jail terms. In fact,
- 3 I know of one that goes back to the 1970's, and it was a
- 4 one-year jail term.
- What we've seen in the past few years, however,
- 6 are community service terms that are imposed by the courts.
- 7 And in addition to those type of penalties, there
- 8 are also what we call prohibition orders, which goes to the
- 9 continuation or repetition of the offense or to the doing of
- any act that would be directed towards the continuation or the repetition of such offense.

- 1 contracts. So we've not been very successful in those
- 2 areas.
- 3 There is a need for increase deterrence. And to
- 4 achieve this end, we give priority to high impact cases; and
- 5 we attempt to provide the courts with evidence of the
- 6 economic impact of the impugned practice on the market.
- 7 The average fine level has increased significantly
- 8 over the past years with some significant peaks and always,
- 9 from a Canadian perspective, reach half a million dollars.
- 10 It is our experience in some cases that charging the corporation can be virtually useless since they can be

- 1 marketing practices.
- 2 Presently, the competition act offenses do not
- 3 qualify for proceeds of crime, that are contained in the
- 4 criminal code. But we are working on that, and we hope that
- 5 this can be changed.
- In the context of cross-border practices,
- 7 consideration will have to be given to the transfer of
- 8 assets to foreign jurisdictions as a means to avoid seizure.
- 9 The opportunity of improving existing
- 10 international agreements in this area to better deal with
- 11 cross-border marketing practices, I think, should be closely
- 12 examined.
- I would like to say a few words about the reform.
- 14 We have a reform of legislation going on. The
- 15 minister and the director published a discussion paper back
- in June of this year which proposes the addition of a
- 17 non-criminal option to pursue misleading advertising and
- 18 specific deceptive marketing practices, criminal prosecution
- 19 as the sole legal instrument of enforcement has a number of
- 20 shortcomings, such as lack of speedy decision making,
- 21 specialization and consistency in decision.
- 22 Criminal offense sometimes can be too severe a
- 23 response for some instances of unintentional misleading
- 24 advertising and invoking the criminal process can be
- 25 unjustifiably expensive of time and resources for both

- 1 businesses involved and the bureau.
- 2 Under the proposed hybrid regime, criminal
- 3 prosecutions would only be used in the most egregious
- 4 transgression while the availability of a non-criminal
- 5 alternative would provide more flexible remedies.
- 6 Cease and desist orders, both interim and final,
- 7 complimented by remedial orders, such as orders directing
- 8 the payment toward consumer education or publication of
- 9 information notices, would be available to the director.
- 10 And we are now at the stage where we are reviewing
- 11 the submissions that were made during the consultation
- 12 period.
- 13 Just a few words on the use of international
- 14 agreements. And that's one of the advantages of criminal
- 15 enforcement, is that there is a growing framework of
- international agreements which foster cooperation between
- 17 jurisdictions. And, although we have never taken any direct
- 18 enforcement action against a U.S.-based operation, unless it
- 19 had a business presence in Canada, some interested avenues
- 20 have been explored to deal with exploratory territoriality
- 21 issues.
- I can think of two examples that I would like to
- 23 share with you.
- 24 Last year we initiated proceedings pursuant to the
- 25 Canada-U.S. expedition treaty in order to compel a U.S.

- 1 citizen, accused of misleading advertising in Canada, to
- 2 appear before a Canadian court. Because the accused
- 3 subsequently agreed to appear voluntarily, it was
- 4 unnecessary to obtain the expedition order. And this
- 5 initiative was undertaken in conjunction with the USDOJ and
- 6 the FBI.
- 7 In another matter, which is still ongoing, we have
- 8 initiated an Em-Let request to recover the fine imposed on
- 9 the Canadian subsidiary of an American business that was
- 10 convicted of misleading advertising in Canada.
- 11 Evidence demonstrated that the Canadian entity, in
- 12 fact, was a corporate shell with no assets. And shortly
- 13 after the end of the practice -- of the offending practice,
- 14 the Canadian subsequently became dormant.
- 15 So if this fine is recovered through Em-Let, this
- is going to be a precedent. So we're crossing our fingers,
- 17 and we hope that everything works out fine.
- 18 I guess one word on confidentiality. And I think
- 19 that we could say that the current, the existing
- 20 confidentiality provisions contained in our respective
- 21 statutes as well as restrictive related policies and
- 22 interpretations limit our ability to exchange confidential
- 23 information regarding cross-border issues.
- 24 And, accordingly, the director has proposed in
- 25 this amendment package that is now being reviewed -- he has

- 1 proposed amendments to the act that would expand the
- 2 statutory protection and that would make explicit the extent
- 3 of his authority to communicate confidential information in
- 4 his possession with the appropriate safeguards.
- I mean, of course, there is this balance to be
- 6 achieved. In other words, safeguards have to be found.
- 7 And I think I would like to conclude by stressing
- 8 the following points:
- 9 We believe that misleading advertising and
- 10 deceptive marketing practices can be addressed more
- 11 effectively in a hybrid, criminal, non-criminal enforcement
- 12 regime. And we hope we are going to be successful in
- 13 putting these amendments through parliament.
- 14 Cross-border, misleading advertising, and
- 15 deceptive marketing practices are here to stay. They will

- 1 practices starts with the establishment of a network among
- 2 law enforcement agencies to facilitate assistance in
- 3 obtaining public information. I mean as it is now, we do
- 4 not even have access, or we cannot even share public
- 5 information because we don't know one another. We don't
- 6 know what we're all about.
- 7 Although a lot can be done by sharing public
- 8 information, necessary adjustments to our legislation may be
- 9 essential to develop the facts of cooperation modes.
- 10 And the new BCP-FTC-DOJ agreement that was signed
- in August I think provides an appropriate foundation to
- 12 build a cooperative relationship between our respective
- 13 agencies. And we think that we should examine the necessity
- 14 and the usefulness of establishing mutual legal assistance
- 15 provisions to assist each other in the enforcement of
- 16 non-criminal, marketing practices.
- 17 And, finally, I think that in order to meet these
- 18 great challenges -- and God knows they were huge -- if we
- 19 want to meet them collectively, I think we have to remember
- 20 that mutual trust and sharing of a common vision are key
- 21 elements to our success.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well thank you, Rachel, for
- 24 some very outstanding suggestions. You have laid out the
- 25 problems that we face, I think, in our countries, both in

- 1 Canada and the United States, and made some very interesting
- and helpful suggestions as to how we can address those

1 So ho	w it i	is, then,	that we	can	overcome	these
---------	--------	-----------	---------	-----	----------	-------

- 2 problems and begin to work more closely together with our
- 3 counterparts in other countries?
- 4 And, then, secondly, how is it that we can
- 5 incorporate the kinds of technological response that
- 6 Mr. Held outlined about how Visa is addressing fraud and how
- 7 they're responding to this technologically?
- 8 How can the law enforcement agencies respond to
- 9 this increased use of technology with a response that makes
- 10 our enforcement by fraudulent operation much more effective.
- 11 Any takers to those two, sort of, general
- 12 questions?
- MR. HELD: I would, I suppose, bring both
- 14 perspectives coming from a career in law enforcement and
- 15 looking at the changes that are taking place today.
- I mean, I think we all agree: The world is
- 17 becoming an increasingly small place, and technology is
- 18 changing how everything is being done.
- 19 Speaking only for myself, I would say I think that

- 1 When I was in law enforcement, if someone from a
- 2 payment scheme came to us for help, in truth, I would have

- 1 integrity of our payment system, a global payment system,
- 2 which is important to the financial community in this
- 3 country and every other -- it's as important as checks and
- 4 cash are -- our industry, our payment system can't wait, and
- 5 we must go forward.
- 6 The answer to the question is: All of these

- 1 people ourselves.
- 2 So you can't, necessarily, on those solutions.
- 3 Technology costs money.

Number 2, it's not clear to me that we don't have

- 1 we're operating at 75 percent.
- So, you know, you get what you pay for. And I
- 3 think the real issue is enforcing the damn laws, because we
- 4 have some pretty good laws in place, we have some pretty
- 5 good regulations in place. Enforcement does work. We've
- 6 seen it on the domestic side. The international side is a
- 7 little harder. But, you know, we're firing people.
- 8 So I think it's not going to be technology. I
- 9 think it's going to be enforcing the law. And I think we
- 10 have to figure out how to do that, though the way does not
- 11 seem entirely clear to me at the moment. We're doing what
- 12 we can with the limited resource, and we'd love to do more.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: Scott, if some one magically
- 14 gave you the 10 people, what would you tell them their first
- 15 priority is?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, at the Commission, we have all
- 17 sorts of consumer difficulties, as you're well aware. I
- 18 mean the kinds of thing that you can see on TV at night or
- 19 read in the paper.
- 20 I think this is a problem that's coming to the
- 21 fore, this international audiotext problem. And these
- 22 people, I believe, are violating existing regulations;
- 23 they're violating existing laws. And we are, with our
- 24 limited resource, attempting to find these people right now
- 25 and impose regulatory fines, turn it over to the Justice

- 1 Department.
- 2 But, you know, you find people who have a little
- 3 bit of time available and you throw them into the problem;
- 4 and then they get, you know, pulled away to work on
- 5 something else and then they get furloughed.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: We've noticed.
- 7 MR. BLAKE HARRIS: Yeah.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: But with this Moldova
- 9 business, you think with 10 people the treaties would not be
- 10 a block and that you could get at it?
- 11 MR. HARRIS: The treatise make enforcement more
- 12 difficult. The fact that there is attenuated jurisdiction
- overseas. And you have to be careful with a purely
- 14 regulatory approach.

Τ	Having said that, the people who engage in
2	defrauding consumers are violating, nine times out of ten,
3	existing laws, existing regulations. You just got to catch
4	them, and you got to impose fines, penalties, whatever it
5	takes. And I think the you'll never make it go away.
6	Criminal penalties, regulatory penalties never end a
7	problem; but they can affect the problem, as we've seen.
8	We could use more enforcement ability, and we need
9	help from other agencies.
10	MR. ZUBROD: I would say that there are really
11	four issues that need to be considered. The first one is
12	what my brother here referred to as education. It's
13	important to get law enforcement to perceive that there is a
14	problem. Because the tendency is to say that: We're being
15	overwhelmed by X, you know, fell in the blank, drugs,
16	technology, smuggling, et cetera, and they will tend to
17	respond to it.
18	But when there's a top-down emphasis that this is
19	important, once prosecutors and investigators are educated,
20	then judges are slowly educated and start getting sentences.
21	And we are now seeing people getting sentences of three to
22	seven to eight years my last one was ten years for
23	fraud prosecutions; and that's beginning to have an impact.
24	I note in dealing with Canada, we discussed this
25	morning, how one of the real disappointments is prosecutors

- 1 are becoming sensitized. Investigators, particularly in
- 2 Toronto, are now very sensitized to the issue.
- 3 And prosecutors are beginning to become
- 4 sensitized. The courts are not sensitive to it at all; and
- 5 if you are a white collar criminal doing global
- 6 telemarketing fraud, you are going to get a probationary
- 7 sentence, regardless.
- 8 We have a gem scam case, a \$35 million fraud,
- 9 where my counterparts told me that, this is a probation
- 10 case. And so we have taken them down, and we can get about
- 11 a seven-year sentence on a guilty plea and probably 10 if
- 12 they try to go to trial.
- 13 The next area is in the -- my experience working
- 14 with the Swiss in implementing our mutual legal assistance
- 15 treaty was that the seizure of assets is a powerful
- incentive for cooperation. That's something that people
- 17 respond to.
- 18 But to do that, you have to move out beyond the
- 19 common law of the particular nation and to explain money
- 20 laundering or expanding the definition of a straw party or
- 21 the idea of forfeiture of the proceeds of a crime after it
- 22 changes its character and moves into maybe a straw party's
- 23 asset or moves into the name of, for instance, under Canada
- 24 law, my understanding is, that if you put something in the
- 25 name of your wife, it is absolutely immune as an asset of

- 1 the individual.
- 2 Yet, under modern American theory, you could
- 3 pierce the corporate veil, you could pierce that
- 4 relationship, look behind it and seize the assets if it's
- 5 pure proceeds; and proceeds is never protected no matter how
- 6 many times it changes hands if there is some knowledge on
- 7 the part of the individual.
- 8 There needs to be some procedural statutes, too,
- 9 some procedural changes because the areas of confidentiality
- 10 and discovery -- we got the Canadian Royal Mounted Police to
- 11 do a search warrant for us. They are as tough on showing us
- 12 the results of the search as we are on grand jury material.
- 13 And so it was a very delicate process involving Washington,
- 14 D.C. -- our going through Washington, D.C., their going
- 15 through Ottawa; and it was months before we could look at
- 16 documents that we put together the probable cause for.
- 17 And finally our experience has been that, in terms
- 18 of speed of action, the most effective so far has not been
- 19 top down. It has been bottom up. One investigator getting
- 20 involved with another investigator, getting involved with a
- 21 specific individual at FTC putting their heads together and
- 22 saying: How are we going to attack this problem? What's
- 23 the best way? And from out of that comes victims, search
- 24 warrants, arrests in other countries, arrests in this
- 25 country, and a tandem approach that has been very, very

- 1 effective.
- 2 And I can't emphasize the need for one-on-one --
- 3 the formation of one-on-one, personal contacts, which are
- 4 the most effective.
- 5 MS. LARABIE-LeSIEUR: Can I add that I believe
- 6 that there will be need to change the organizational
- 7 culture, if you want, in a way.
- 8 We have a tendency within our agency to prioritize
- 9 cases using location of victims, for instance, as a factor
- 10 that would influence its ranking.
- 11 And you were right, Scott, when you were saying
- 12 that cross-border practices have been there for a long time;
- 13 but we have just ignored them for a long period of time
- 14 because they were not making the priority list. There were
- 15 no victims in Canada; there was no victim in one province.
- 16 I mean, this is the type of cultural change that
- 17 has to take place within our own organization if we want to
- 18 enforce together.
- 19 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Many of the countries that
- 20 are in the process of trying to combat global fraud scams
- 21 also have a very effective competition enforcement agency.
- 22 And in the United States last year, we had enacted
- 23 into law, which was signed by the President, a statute which
- 24 permits our competition authorities to exchange confidential
- 25 information as long as the country with whom we are planning

- 1 to exchange the information has in place the safeguards that
- 2 we have in place to protect this confidential information we
- 3 we obtain from companies who are engaging in a merger or
- 4 some other transaction that would come under the review of
- 5 this Agency or the Department of Justice.
- 6 The Justice Department and the Federal Trade
- 7 Commission have been talking to competition authorities in
- 8 Europe and Canada and Australia, et cetera, about changing
- 9 their laws, similarly, to put in place the kind of
- 10 confidentiality requirements that are necessary to assure
- 11 our companies, as well as their companies, that the
- 12 information that's shared with the foreign enforcement
- authorities is going to remain, just as they expect from
- 14 their own country's agency, confidential.
- 15 Is this an avenue that we might want to look at?
- 16 Is this kind of action that consumer protection authorities
- 17 might want to look at?
- 18 MS. LARABIE-LeSIEUR: Well, the director, as I
- 19 indicated previously, has launched consultation on
- 20 amendments to legislation that would deal with
- 21 confidentiality issues and ensuring confidentiality of

- 1 application in regard to misleading advertising and
- 2 marketing practices.
- And I think that what it amounts to is some kind
- 4 of mutual legal assistance treaty that is within the
- 5 mandates of the law enforcement agency.
- 6 So we are all in favor of that.
- 7 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, it sounds like you're
- 8 ahead of us. Because while we've done this for information
- 9 obtained in competition cases, including grand jury
- 10 information under certain circumstances, we have not looked
- 11 at it in this country for the kind of information that we,
- 12 consumer protection enforcement agencies, would need to
- 13 share.
- 14 But it sounds like Canada thinks it's a good idea.
- 15 That's great.
- 16 MS. LARABIE-LeSIEUR: I think we're ahead of you,
- 17 perhaps, on the consumer protection side, as you referred to
- 18 it; but I think we are lagging on the antitrust side.
- 19 COMMISSIONER STAREK: I think there have been
- 20 discussions.

One of the suggestions that Gordon

- 1 database here in the United States administered by this
- 2 Agency. And there are a number of law enforcement agencies,
- 3 both state and local, as well as federal, who use this and
- 4 develop cases based on the information contained in this
- 5 telemarketing database.
- 6 Are the constraints on sharing confidential
- 7 information too great to try to think of the appropriate way
- 8 to fund an international telemarketing data base?
- 9 MR. ZUBROD: I don't think so. I think there's
- 10 sort of an institutional reluctance. But when you sit down
- and talk to people, they say really we could be creative and
- we could form such a database.
- 13 I noticed that one of the things that the Swiss
- 14 did, was the Swiss said: Our law forbids us from sharing
- 15 information; however, our law does not forbid us from
- opening a case of our own if we were alerted to it; and our
- 17 law does not forbid us from letting you open a case of your
- 18 own.
- 19 So in one sense, the Swiss concluded that it was a
- 20 way in which the question was asked. If you would say: We
- 21 want information on such and such, it would be far better if
- 22 the United States would ask the Swiss, saying: Is there
- 23 such a person as John Smith? And does this individual own a
- 24 corporation? We have information which indicates he may be
- 25 involved in criminal activity. And the Swiss would say:

- 1 Well, we're going to open our own investigation on John
- 2 Smith and this organization.
- 3 And information was passed back and forth, I won't
- 4 say promiscuously, but to a far greater degree than the
- 5 three to six months it takes to get an Em-Let going back and
- forth, that the information that's passed between
- 7 investigators moves -- there's sort of an agreement, it
- 8 ought to move a little more quickly. When you get to
- 9 certain documents seized and viewing documents, it slows
- 10 down.
- But that type of information, I think it's doable.
- 12 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, that's sort of the
- opposite of the way our confidentiality laws work. I mean,
- 14 once we have an investigation open, is when we are
- 15 prohibited from sharing that kind of information.
- 16 But, you know, whether or not John Smith exists
- 17 and whether or not John Smith is a principal in a company is
- 18 relatively public information and information that we can
- 19 oftentimes share.
- 20 MR. ZUBROD: It flags the individual.
- 21 COMMISSIONER STAREK: But once we get into an
- 22 investigation that's been formally opened, that's when the
- 23 door comes down and the barriers to sharing that
- 24 information, even with foreign law enforcement authorities,
- 25 go into place.

- 1 MR. ZUBROD: That's true.
- 2 MS. LARABIE-LeSIEUR: We think that central
- 3 sourcing of complaints is an interesting avenue and needs to
- 4 be explored. I think it's one way of getting speed in that
- 5 the central sourcing approach does give you an immediate
- 6 snapshot of what's going on instead of having complaints
- 7 going from jurisdiction to the other until it gets to the
- 8 right one. I mean, this is one clear benefit.
- We have done that, to a certain extent, in Canada
- 10 through project "Foam Buster," which is a partnership with
- 11 police forces, provincial governments, Bureau of Competition
- 12 policy, Canadian Bankers Association, and other partners,
- 13 where we're doing central sourcing of complaints with the
- 14 OPP, the Ontario Provincial Police. And this allows the
- 15 individuals involved there to know, I mean, on a weekly
- 16 basis what type of new scams are being created, what is
- 17 going on, the number of complaints.
- 18 And this information is shared with the partners.
- 19 And so far, it has proven to be very useful.
- 20 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Any other questions--
- 21 Eileen?
- 22 MS. HARRINGTON: I have a question, I guess,
- 23 initially, for Mr. Held.
- 24 It seems to me that one of the real beauties of
- 25 the bank card payment system is that you've been able to

- 1 protect its integrity by controlling access. Only licensees
- 2 have access to that system.
- 3 And we just saw a presentation this morning of a
- 4 technology -- and I think the Chairman asked a question
- 5 about access there. And the answer is: Everyone will have
- 6 access to this new product of converged technologies.
- 7 It seems as though there's a real rub there
- 8 between -- if that's the case -- between our ability to
- 9 replicate the kind of integrity that you have created for
- 10 fraud prevention and other purposes in the credit card/bank
- 11 card payment system internationally and our ability to
- 12 protection against fraud on this new emerging global
- 13 information infrastructure.

- 1 Internet are prompted to -- having effected a transaction,
- 2 to now go to the phone and give us card information to
- 3 enable payment by card.
- But in the future, as this expands, as certainly
- 5 it's going to, what we're look at is now: How are we going
- 6 secure that transaction? How are we going to confirm for
- 7 the cardholder, protect the consumer, to say this is a
- 8 legitimate merchant who is entitled to do business, and we
- 9 will certify their legitimacy in the process.
- 10 And, similarly, for the merchant, that is a
- 11 legitimate card, and there is not a problem with the card;
- 12 and you can be assured that we will make payment on this if
- 13 you conduct this transaction.
- 14 So we will credential the two parts; but then,
- 15 also we need to the secure the transaction so that it is not
- 16 -- it can't be intercepted, it can't be changed. It is just
- 17 between the sender and the receiver.
- 18 And we are now evaluating, with Technical
- 19 Laboratories, to see that what we see as the solution, in
- 20 fact, will minimize any risk of compromise.
- 21 MS. HARRINGTON: If I could just follow up, one of
- 22 the things that the bank card industry learned painfully
- 23 during the, you know, incredible explosion of fraudulent
- 24 telemarketing was that fraudulent merchants, pretty easily,
- 25 were able to, initially at least, be accredited in your

- 1 system.
- Now you have a lot of checks and controls, on-site
- 3 inspections, all the things that you described.
- 4 How are you going to credential merchants that
- 5 might exist only in cyberspace? And how are you going to
- 6 protect consumers in the United States who may get onto
- 7 whatever the information highway is, do business with a
- 8 merchant that's based in some other country --
- 9 MR. HELD: Dubai.
- 10 MS. HARRINGTON: -- where that merchant really
- only exists in cyberspace?
- MR. HELD: Well, I mean, for us the beauty of our
- 13 system is, we have contractual arrangements with acquiring
- 14 institutions with institutions who go out and find merchants
- 15 to accept the card. And they have contractual arrangements
- 16 with merchants.
- 17 So what we would do is a continuation of the
- 18 process that we have now, that we --
- 19 MS. HARRINGTON: Have you thought about what those
- 20 criteria might be, though? How do you do it?
- 21 MR. HELD: Well, the criteria -- I can't say --
- 22 the answer is, yes, I can't see how they would be much
- 23 different than what they are. And that would be -- there's
- 24 a set of expectations that we have for an acquiring member.
- 25 And that is: They go see that there is, in fact, a

- 1 business, that it meets some material criteria to confirm
- 2 its existence, that it does have bank accounts, that it does
- 3 have a place of business, that it does have a product.
- 4 This will be different because, at the outset, the
- 5 information is apt to be research, pictures, movies, and
- 6 what have you.
- 7 But the process, in our minds, will be the same.
- 8 But clearly, it's complicated in the eyes of the law because
- 9 what will an agency like the FTC do with a merchant some
- 10 place else?
- 11 I mean, I think that that need for both
- 12 cooperation with the private sector, there needs to be
- interaction, but also intergovernmental relations are going
- 14 to be increasingly critical; and it's going to be a huge

- give more thought to and try to come up with some creative
- 2 solutions.
- I want to thank all of the panelists for appearing today. I found it very interesting. And I invite all of

- 1 technologies that will be utilized.
- 2 And this afternoon, we are going to continue that.
- 3 This afternoon we are going to begin with an overview of
- 4 developments in international trade and how that affects
- 5 consumer protection.
- 6 Our first witness this afternoon is Richard G.
- 7 Meier, who is the Deputy Associate Trade Representative from
- 8 the U.S. Trade Representative's office.
- 9 Mr. Meier is responsible for policy development in
- 10 international negotiations regarding a wide variety of trade
- 11 issues and standards.
- During the Uruguay Round of the multi-lateral
- 13 trade negotiations, he was the Chief U.S. delegate to the
- 14 negotiating group dealing with non-tariff agreements,
- 15 including the agreement on technical barriers to trade. We
- 16 look forward to hearing your remarks.
- 17 MR. MEIER: Thank you very much and good
- 18 afternoon.
- I am challenged here this afternoon to present
- 20 quite a bit of information in a rather short period of time.
- 21 So forgive me in advance if I am somewhat summary in my
- 22 approach; and I certainly would be pleased to answer
- 23 questions later in the afternoon session.
- 24 I don't pretend to be a consumer expert; although,
- 25 I become increasingly sensitized to the issues relating to

- 1 consumer protection and the relationship to the things that
- 2 I do. Some of the people responsible for that sensitization
- 3 process are around the table. So I thank them for their
- 4 help over the recent years.

- 1 there were of the exports.
- 2 On the services side -- and my figures are a
- 3 little bit older there -- back in 1992, we had \$168 billion
- 4 of service exports and a \$60 billion surplus. The services
- 5 account does quite well.
- 6 This year our trade performance has shown some
- 7 signs of dramatic improvement. In August 1995, we had had
- 8 export growth, for the year to date, moving ahead for the
- 9 first time of our rate of import growth, 15.7 percent for
- 10 exports, 15.4 percent for imports. And we expect that trend
- 11 to continue.
- 12 I believe the new -- I could be proven wrong
- 13 tomorrow, because I think the new trade figures -- right,
- 14 the new trade figures should be out tomorrow. There might
- 15 be some delay due to the problems in recent days with
- 16 government funding.
- 17 Estimates are that U.S. jobs supported by export
- 18 activities accounted for 11 million jobs in 1992.
- 19 At the same time these figures are very
- 20 impressive, we are facing increasing global competition. In
- 21 the Post World War II period, the United States accounted
- 22 for 40 percent of global output. Now it's about 20 percent,
- 23 and that's understandable. But it just shows that we have
- 24 many more competitors and we have to compete in a very
- 25 different world than we did in that period.

1	Moving to the issue at hand, I would say there is
2	definitely a convergence of interest between consumer issues
3	and trade policies issues. Over recent years and I think
4	it's increasingly evident that we recognize there's a very
5	strong relationship between our trade policies and our
6	regulatory policies; and these affect consumer interests.
7	These issues are important both for exports in
8	other words, you are the requirements that our industries
9	have to comply with to enter foreign markets and for
LO	imports the role of the government to ensure that our
L1	standards are upheld and our consumers are protected.
L2	I would like to briefly speak of a series of
L3	international agreements that provide the framework, at
L <b>4</b>	least in the trade policy sense, for our activities in these
L5	areas.
L6	I'd like to spend a moment on a couple of
L7	definitional questions. First of all, these agreements deal
L8	with both standards, which are defined as those that are
L9	developed and maintained on a voluntary basis and
20	regulations which are standards that have the force of law
21	because they are made mandatory through regulations that are
22	in force by the government.
23	These agreements deal with both of these areas,
24	and they also deal very importantly with matters of testing
25	and inspection and product approval, which has become known

- 1 as "conformity assessment."
- 2 And I would say, in the trade policy field, we are
- 3 probably as concerned or deal more with conformity
- 4 assessment issues than we do with the standards themselves,
- 5 because this is where I think the trade policy intersection
- 6 occurs: How products are approved to enter markets and be
- 7 sold commercially.
- 8 The most fundamental agreement we have -- and the
- 9 one that is drawn upon in other agreements that I will
- 10 mention this afternoon -- is the agreement on Technical
- 11 Barriers to Trade, TBT, as it's commonly known, which was
- 12 originally negotiated during the Tokyo Round of trade
- 13 negotiations and which was renegotiated during the Uruguay
- 14 Round of multi-lateral trade negotiations and is now part of
- 15 the World Trade Organization.
- One important aspect of this development is that
- 17 the agreement and its obligations previously were adhered to
- 18 by only 45 countries. As part of the institutional
- 19 construction of the World Trade Organization, all countries
- 20 who are members of that organization must comply with this
- and every other agreement.
- This means that right now we have over 100
- 23 countries who have ratified and are now obligated to this
- 24 agreement. And within, probably another 18 months, we
- 25 expect to have about 145 countries included in this

- 1 coverage.
- 2 So we are really talking about a very global
- 3 coverage of commitments here.
- 4 Let me just spend a minute on what that agreement
- 5 does, and then I'll briefly note some other agreements that
- 6 have similar objectives and principles.
- 7 The major objective of the agreement and its major
- 8 commitment is to not use standards or conformity assessment
- 9 procedures as barriers to trade.
- 10 The other basic commitment is non-discrimination,
- 11 that is not to discriminate in the application or
- 12 development of standards either vis-a-vis domestic producers
- versus foreign producers or one group of foreign producers
- 14 versus another.
- 15 The final basic obligation, which is really more
- of an encouragement, is to use international standards.
- 17 This is, obviously, a long-term goal.
- 18 But if more countries and industries use
- 19 international standards, then the process of harmonization
- 20 becomes automatic. The process of harmonization also is
- 21 encouraged in these agreements as a long-term goal.
- The basic principles of the agreement have now
- 23 found their way into a number of other agreements, which
- 24 I'll touch upon very briefly.
- 25 Foremost of these, perhaps, is the NAFTA, North

- 1 American Free Trade Agreement and, before that, the Canadian
- 2 Free Trade Agreement, which builds upon the Tokyo Round
- 3 agreement and expands it and intensifies it in terms of a
- 4 smaller group of countries. Thus, we could tailor the
- 5 agreement to meet the special needs of those countries; and
- 6 it goes somewhat beyond the TBT agreement itself.
- 7 It's also -- certainly the NAFTA is in the process
- 8 of revolution. There is a committee that not only oversees
- 9 the agreement but looks to application of the agreement to
- 10 new areas and to basically refine and expand its coverage.
- 11 And I think some of the other people on the panel will
- 12 probably speak of that.
- 13 We are now talking about these same types of
- 14 issues in the APEC, the Asian and Pacific Economic
- 15 Cooperation forum, which just had its summit in Osaka,
- 16 Japan, last week and over the weekend.
- 17 There's been a great deal of work there to examine
- 18 and identify the standards requirements in the various
- 19 nations of that region. And there's a great improvement in
- 20 our degree of knowledge of the problems and requirements
- 21 that are our exporters face in that region.
- 22 We are also starting to negotiate a free trade
- 23 agreement of the Americas, stemming from the Miami summit
- 24 and more recently at Denver meetings.
- 25 Standards, here again, is an important issue. We

- 1 are at the very early stages of looking at the work program
- 2 there. And this would be a very opportune time for

- 1 manufacturers and exporters to conform to prevailing product
- 2 standards, regulations, and conformity assessment
- 3 requirements.
- 4 At the same time, we are very aware of the
- 5 legitimate role the governments have in protecting consumers
- 6 and ensuring the safety and quality of products that are
- 7 traded.
- 8 Both the WTO and the NAFTA provide disciplines in
- 9 a framework for resolving perceived barriers to trade; but
- 10 at the same time, they clearly preserve the sovereign rights
- 11 of governments in this area.
- I am quite aware, as we seek market access and
- 13 negotiate our trade agreements, that we must seek the advice
- 14 and support not only of our industry and consumers but our
- 15 regulatory community within the government.
- 16 Working toward harmonization with our governments
- 17 on the basis of international standards, if that's possible
- 18 and appropriate, should contribute to safer products and
- 19 greater regulatory efficiencies.
- 20 I would like to commend the FTC for bringing
- 21 together this very important set of hearings. I believe
- 22 that it's a statement about the importance of this issue and
- 23 the need to work together in new and creative ways to
- 24 achieve multiple, but compatible, policy objectives.
- 25 Thank you very much.

- 1 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you very much,
- 2 Mr. Meier. I'm no expert in this field, but I know that
- 3 there are a number of international organizations that are
- 4 looking at standards being utilized as non-tariff barriers
- 5 against trade; and, yet, no country really admits to that.
- 6 And so therein lies the dilemma.
- 7 Our next speaker this afternoon is Robert Hall
- 8 III, who is the Vice President and Government Affairs
- 9 Counsel at the National Retail Federation.
- 10 He will continue our overview of international
- 11 trade developments, obviously giving, not the government's
- 12 perspective, but the private sector's view.
- 13 Mr. Hall joined the National Retail Federation as
- 14 Vice President for Government Affairs in 1991. And he
- 15 serves as the retail industry's primary strategist on
- 16 international trade issues.
- 17 Prior to joining the National Retail Federation,
- 18 he served as a Legislative Counsel to the Honorable Senator
- 19 Sam Nunn, from 1987 to 1991.
- 20 And today he will share with us his ideas on the
- 21 growth of international trade and how businesses are
- 22 responding to the challenges of globalization.
- Thank you for coming, Mr. Hall.
- 24 MR. HALL: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 25 I would like to start off with a few introductory

- 1 remarks on the retail industry itself and where we see it
- 2 going, not only globally but also here in the United States.
- 3 I think that is instructive as to why some companies are

- 1 November might look good; but there's still some real
- 2 concerns about where it's going to go for the balance of the
- 3 year and really into next year as well.
- 4 And what you're really seeing is competition from
- 5 all sides. You've got military PX's attempting to sell more
- 6 and more goods that have usually been restricted to retail
- 7 companies. The government and the armed service folks are
- 8 getting into those discussions.
- 9 You are also seeing manufacturers that are getting
- 10 more and more into the retail business, some with FTC
- 11 approval. Levi Strauss is one example of that. Liz
- 12 Claiborne and others.
- 13 You are even seeing catalogues becoming stores
- 14 with J. Crew and other examples of that nature.
- I had to clip with interest, we now have what we're calling cross shoppers. Women's Wear Daily

- 1 all sorts of retail formats, if you will.
- 2 Many have said that globalization is the way to go
- 3 for retailers because of the fact that we've got some
- 4 perception of over-stores here in the United States.
- 5 But I think it's safe to say -- many have said --
- 6 that that may, in fact, be just a myth and may be not the
- 7 reality. With a very few notable exceptions, WalMart being
- 8 one of them, the big push to globalize has not materialized
- 9 at the levels anticipated by analysts just a handful of
- 10 years ago.
- 11 Several problems have added to industry's chances
- of success as we move abroad; and one particular is market
- 13 access, which I'll address in a moment. But also, quite
- 14 frankly, retailers are having to look at using fundamentally
- 15 different formats as they attempt to globalize.
- Going in with your same, conventional approach
- 17 doesn't work very well in many cases. And so as not to pick
- 18 on any particular domestic firm, I will use two foreign
- 19 examples in this case; and one of those would be the
- 20 Galleries Lafayette. McKenzie & Company did an extensive
- 21 study for the retail industry which was released at a
- 22 Goldman Sachs' conference a few months ago.
- 23 And they looked at the Galleries Lafayette in New
- 24 York. Here you have a French company coming in with high-
- 25 priced merchandize with their sizes and fitting and labeling

- 1 lined up in the French manner, of course, meeting the U.S.
- 2 standards in terms of some labels. But in terms of sizing
- 3 and perception and presentation, it was exclusively French.
- 4 You had also limited exclusivity. And, quite
- 5 frankly, the business was Paris driven. It was not driven
- 6 by a perception of what the retail market would bear in the
- 7 United States, a perception of what the retail consumer was
- 8 looking for in New York or in that area.
- And, quite frankly, we saw the departure of
- 10 Galleries Lafayette
- 11 A similar example would be Marks and Spencer's
- 12 foray into Canada. That company expanded beyond it's normal
- 13 apparel base and went into food retailing. It chose not to
- 14 advertise because it had not been advertising in Britain;
- 15 but, quite frankly, the Canadian consumer depended on
- 16 advertising to form their decisions and to form their
- 17 choices about retailing. So you really didn't see the big
- 18 success that they may have anticipated there.
- 19 Let me turn now to international trade in what I

- 1 the apparel sector, you're going to see more and more
- 2 international sourcing.
- Now, some have argued that it's going to grow at a
- 4 much faster pace or faster clip than we believe in the U.S.
- 5 retail industry.
- Right now, according to the American Apparel and
- 7 Manufactures Association, the U.S. and foreign mix of
- 8 apparel is right at 50/50. That's their 1994 numbers.
- 9 But we will not see a march to off shore, in my
- 10 sense -- in my judgment -- after the phase-out of the GATT
- 11 textile apparel program in 2005 to the level some feared.
- 12 Quite frankly, our sense is that the import
- growths will level off and that we're going to see more U.S.
- 14 home grown goods, particularly what I would call in-stock
- 15 items, jeans, t-shirts, socks, underwear, and particularly
- 16 goods of that matter.
- 17 We're also going to see what I would say is an
- 18 increasing regionalism in the sense that, with the growth of
- 19 CBI and Mexico as a sourcing alternative, there should and
- 20 there can be with a CBI parity bill that could pass as soon
- 21 as early next year. You will see more of the sourcing
- 22 trends move from the Far East to this hemisphere and, quite
- 23 frankly, keep scarce U.S. dollars in the western hemisphere.
- 24 In terms of market access, as retailers dip their
- 25 toes into globalization, market access continues to be one

- 1 of our biggest issues in terms of the service sector
- 2 expanding. We have worked closely with USTR and others in
- 3 the past on this issue. But for retailers and people in the
- 4 service sector, it means financial issues, it means customs
- 5 issues, it means transportation issues, labeling, rules of
- 6 origin, and a whole history of other issues.
- 7 One example I would point to you in particular and
- 8 that is the example of Mexico.
- 9 With Mexico, we had what many called
- 10 hip-hip-hoorays for NAFTA. There was a lot of excitement
- 11 about moving into Mexico to serve the Mexican consumer and,
- 12 quite frankly, for the Mexican market to help serve the U.S.
- 13 consumer as well with goods.
- 14 But in an effort to block Chinese-made goods, the
- 15 Mexicans put in place some very restrictive certificate of
- origin requirements that would make retailers and people who
- 17 import in the United States and then, in turn, re-export
- 18 into Mexico goods for the Mexican consumers -- it would make
- 19 them have to come up with original certificates of origin
- 20 for every single container of goods that goes into Mexico.
- 21 This is not only for retailers. This is for
- 22 apparel manufacturers in the United States and others,
- 23 textile companies and others, who want to import goods or
- 24 bring in goods that are non-NAFTA originating, maybe U.S.
- 25 made, but non-NAFTA originating for purposes of rules of

- origin under the U.S.-Mexico NAFTA agreement.
- Now we are seeing a block on our efforts to come
- 3 up with a self-certification proposal. This is hurting U.S.
- 4 manufacturers. It's hurting U.S. retailers who want to
- 5 re-export.
- For example, let's say Company A wants to bring
- 7 100,000 pairs of shoes -- this applies to textiles, apparel,
- 8 and footwear -- 100,000 pairs of shoes from somewhere in the
- 9 Orient, let's stay Malaysia or Indonesia. They want to sell
- 10 90,000 pairs of shoes to U.S. consumers but 10,000 pairs of
- 11 shoes into Mexico. When they bring that shipment into their
- 12 warehouse -- that central distribution warehouse in the
- 13 United States -- split it up, send 90,000 into their stores
- 14 into the United States -- that shipment they want to send of
- 15 10,000 pairs of shoes into Mexico will be blocked at the
- 16 border; it will not be allowed to cross because there's not
- 17 an original certificate of origin that says "10,000 pairs of
- 18 shoes, made in Malaysia, signed and documented by all the
- 19 appropriate authorities there.
- 20 And this is a major, major concern.
- 21 And, quite frankly, as a trade lawyer representing
- 22 the retailers, I also get calls from importers. I had a
- woman calling me from Miami just last week, quite frankly,
- 24 in tears because she's got a shipment of apparel goods for
- 25 consumers in Mexico. She can't get it into Mexico, nor can

- 1 she really get it back into the United States to try to turn
- 2 around and sell it somewhere else, because she doesn't have
- 3 the quotas and the Visas to sell it here in the United
- 4 States. She had it ready to go to Mexico.
- 5 So it is a major problem.
- 6 Emerging trend issues, as I see for the future,
- 7 are: One -- and I know that Susan will address this more
- 8 completely because the retail industry also has similar
- 9 concerns as does the apparel industry, too -- and that is
- 10 labeling.
- We are very concerned that labeling may emerge as
- 12 a form of market restriction. We urge the Commission to
- 13 look carefully at labeling issues, particularly "eco-
- 14 labeling" -- as we have now seen as it has emerged in the
- 15 European countries -- but also particularly the Care
- 16 labeling issue that's before you right now.
- 17 Retailers and the retail industry, we will oppose
- 18 and continue to oppose any use of proprietary labels, such
- 19 as those used by GINETEX and other companies.
- 20 One other final -- rather, another trend is
- 21 technology; and that's one you have asked us to address
- 22 here; and I'm not the technology expert at the National
- 23 Retail Federation. But I have had conversations with
- 24 several of those individuals, and I would like to point out
- 25 just a few of those for you this afternoon.

1	Technology clearly plays an increasing role in all
2	aspects of retailing, from quick response to inventory
3	systems, to point of sale machines, to our increasing use of
4	EBT, Electronic Benefits Transfers. This is an issue where
5	you're going to see all federal income payments or benefit
6	payments to any individuals who receive any sort of aid.
7	Right now it's restricted primarily to welfare and
8	other AFDC payments. It's being used in a pilot program in
9	Texas. But EBT-2, as they call it, the Electronic Benefits
10	Two Transfer, will also add social security and other
11	retirement benefits that come from the Federal Government.
12	People are going to be using a debit cart at their local
13	retailer and at their local food store.
14	Primarily, right now, in the pilot stage, this is
15	a food retail issue; but it will be moving into what I would
16	call general merchandise and other retailing. And this is
17	an issue of access. I think there's some fraud issues there
18	you've got to worry about. A lot of other issues.
19	And, quite frankly, the reason the government is
20	moving to EBT is to avoid the fraud problems of the checks
21	getting lost and people forging names on their benefit
22	checks.
23	But there is still the added problem of what to do
24	about fraud in that area, too. And also simply just getting

stores and other segments of the industry up to speed and

25

- 1 ready to be able to serve the customer when they walk in
- 2 with their EBT card and want to use their federal payments
- 3 to pay for needed clothing, needed household items, or food.
- 4 A parting comment, also, on interactive shopping.
- 5 And this, again, being an area of electronics. This has not
- 6 been the strong success many in the retail industry had
- 7 envisioned.
- 8 While a number of formats have been successful --
- 9 Home Shopping Network and others -- several high-end pilot
- 10 projects have really not gotten off the ground. And this
- issue, quite frankly, went from being one of the hottest
- 12 topics at NRF's annual convention, national conference. In
- January 1994, it was probably the hottest attended; there
- were probably several -- 4, 5, 600 people in the room, one
- 15 of our big super sessions. It was one of the hottest issues
- in January of '94. January '95, not a mention on the
- 17 program.
- 18 And I use the annual convention as a real good
- 19 barometer, if you will, of retail interests and where the
- 20 trends are going. So, quite frankly, we did not see
- 21 interactive shopping take off like many thought it would. I
- 22 think we need to watch it continually for consumer reasons
- 23 and for technology reasons; but for right now, it's not
- 24 going to be the issue many had thought.
- Now, just one final thought on trade specifically.

- 1 And that is -- and here again, we've been working with
- 2 others in the industry, apparel and textile and others -- on
- 3 moving to what I would call paperless transactions in trade.
- 4 And there have been examples, and there are some
- 5 pilot cases now of using what the Customs Service and the
- 6 CITA folks -- that's the people, the Committee for the
- 7 Implementation of Textile Agreements, who regulate textile
- 8 and apparel trade in the United States -- are calling the
- 9 ELVIS system. And it is a paperless transfer.
- 10 There are four countries that are presently using
- 11 that for visa purposes. And that's Singapore, Korea,
- 12 Philippines, and China. These right now also require

- 1 say.
- 2 However, that doesn't stop any of you from
- 3 commenting on that proposal because we are on the public
- 4 record. So we will be anxious to hear any comments that you
- 5 might have on --
- 6 MR. HALL: We plan to file formal comments, Mr.
- 7 Commissioner. So we'll be doing that.
- 8 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Terrific.
- 9 The second thing is, you would think that I had
- 10 much more to do with putting together this program than I
- 11 really did. And the reason for that is our first two
- 12 witnesses today have touched on the two principal issues
- 13 that we are addressing in the OECD Committee on Consumer
- 14 Policy right now.
- 15 The issue of non-tariff barriers against trade by
- international standards is a major project of that
- 17 committee, looking basically at standards for the safety of
- 18 products.
- 19 And the dilemma that I raised earlier is exactly
- 20 what we're facing. Everybody seems to suspect that these
- 21 standards are being implemented either too rigorously high
- 22 to protect the domestic markets or the alternative is that
- 23 they actually are high because there's a strong consumer
- 24 movement in the countries and they don't want to lower their
- 25 standards to allow other products into their markets. Both

- 1 of them seem achieve the same thing, and it causes a huge
- 2 dilemma, I would imagine, for trade officials having to deal
- 3 with it.
- 4 But it also causes a huge dilemma for consumers
- 5 who are denied access to products which may, in fact, be
- 6 safe.
- 7 The other issue that we're addressing is the one
- 8 raised by Mr. Hall, market access. We had a conference in
- 9 June of 1994 which basically said: Look, markets are
- 10 becoming globalized; but, yet, there are impediments or
- 11 barriers for consumers to participate in these markets.
- 12 What are these barriers and impediments? And how
- 13 can we get rid of them so that consumers can enjoy the
- 14 benefits of international markets?
- 15 So I find it interesting, and I think some of our
- 16 other speakers will comment on that.
- 17 We move now to another phase of our program and
- 18 that is the differing national laws that we face. And one
- 19 of the implications for enforcement by the FTC and by other
- 20 consumer law enforcement agencies, is what are we facing
- 21 with these differing national laws?
- 22 Believe it or not, in several European countries,
- 23 it's still illegal to have comparative advertising, as one
- 24 example; and there are many more.
- 25 Our first speaker on harmonization and potential

- 1 harmonization of international laws and what are the
- 2 impediments to that is Bill MacLeod of Collier, Shannon,
- 3 Rill & Scott.
- 4 And I notice that you must have gotten an old name
- 5 tag there from the Bush administration because Mr. Rill is
- 6 left off.
- 7 Before joining Collier, Shannon, Bill was the
- 8 Director of the Bureau of Consumer Protection here at the
- 9 FTC and also served as Director of our Chicago Regional
- 10 Office from 1983 to 1986.
- 11 And today he's going to talk about the role of the
- 12 FTC as the marketplace becomes more global, and what should
- 13 be the FTC's approach to regulation compared to the
- 14 enforcement agencies in other countries.
- 15 Bill, thank you for coming.
- 16 MR. MacLEOD: Thank you very much, Commissioner
- 17 Starek. Commissioner Steiger, it's a pleasure to be here.
- 18 And I, once again, would like to commend the Commission for
- 19 holding these hearings, but particularly holding this phase
- 20 of the hearings.
- 21 When I first got wind of the plans that the
- 22 Federal Trade Commission was going to have global
- 23 competition hearings, my first thought was: Well, I
- 24 certainly hope they also have plans to consider that subject
- of which competition is a part, and that is consumer

- 1 protection.
- 2 And I am delighted that they have expanded the
- 3 consideration of issues all the way to consumer protection.
- 4 My subject today is a little bit of a daunting
- 5 subject as it's laid out in the agenda. I was asked to make
- 6 some suggestions for how the FTC might be able to provide
- 7 some leadership in the area of inconsistent international
- 8 approaches, policies, philosophies when it comes to
- 9 advertising.
- 10 And I thought that possibly the best way for me to
- 11 give that advice -- not to be so presumptuous and tell the
- 12 Commission how to do it and what to do -- but, perhaps, to
- 13 pass on to the Commissioners a few of the mistakes that I
- 14 had made in the course of my efforts to try to exert some
- 15 leadership a few years ago.
- 16 And I think that, at least, in a couple of the
- 17 areas where I found some frustration, there might be some
- 18 keys for finding a way of exerting some significant
- 19 influence, not only internationally, but also influence that
- 20 can come back here to the United States.
- 21 One the things I discovered very quickly in my
- 22 tenure as director of the Bureau and in my experience as a
- 23 delegates to the OECD Consumer Committee is that consumer
- 24 policies are very contagious internationally.
- 25 As Commissioner Starek and Chairman Steiger -- I

- 1 hope you don't mind, Chairman Steiger, if I call you by that
- 2 -- once President, always President; once Chairman. As I
- 3 think we have experienced in the last several
- 4 administrations, probably most of the time of the Consumer
- 5 Committee is spent with consumer protection officials of
- 6 various agencies comparing their consumer protection
- 7 policies.
- 8 What I discovered early on in that process was
- 9 that, by and large, there is a very broad area of agreement
- of philosophies of policies that the consumer protection
- 11 officials are empowered to uphold.
- Most of the countries that meet at the OECD are
- 13 countries that have general consumer protection standards.
- 14 When you look through them, you will see in many statutes or
- 15 cases or codes, whatever the source may be, likely to
- 16 mislead quotes; you will see allowances of puffery
- 17 recognizing that the impressions upon consumers of puffing
- 18 claims versus subjective claims is something that should be
- 19 recognized in the law.
- 20 Yet, at the same time we see some very puzzling
- 21 anomalies and inconsistencies among the various countries.
- 22 Commissioner Starek, you mentioned one of them: comparative
- 23 advertising.
- 24 When you run through the roster of what can and
- 25 can't be done in the various countries, it is somewhat

- 1 surprising. In some countries, buy one get one free is
- 2 illegal. In other countries, it may be legal if the one you
- 3 get free is one that is different from the one that you are
- 4 buying. The reasons -- I think that Mari Ann will go into a
- 5 little bit further -- delve back not to consumer protection
- 6 ideas but to unfair competition ideas.
- 7 One of the problems that we see in a number of the
- 8 other countries around the world is that they are a little
- 9 bit like the Federal Trade Commission was before the Wheeler
- 10 Lee Act. They are enforcing consumer protection standards
- 11 as a result of unfair competition rules. And the
- 12 consequence of that enforcement is that, while consumers are
- often a primary concern and consideration in the policies
- 14 that develop, competitors often get equal say and equal
- 15 consideration.
- 16 What are the implications of this?
- 17 I found fascinating a list that appeared in
- 18 Advertising Age just a few weeks ago in which Advertising
- 19 Age identified what they believed were going to be the next
- 20 25 global household words, the brand names that were about
- 21 to burst upon the global scene. And they were such brand
- 22 names as we have heard here: The GAP is an example; Acer
- 23 computers, something that any of us who are fiddling in
- 24 high-tech are admiring these days; also some names we
- 25 haven't heard here in the United States, like Daewoo, the

- 1 Korean maker, and Ericsson, the Swedish telephone
- 2 manufacturer. We're going to see more and more names like
- 3 these around the world.
- 4 What I found fascinating from this list was the
- 5 kinds of items that were not on it, the kinds of brand names
- 6 that were not there. We saw nothing from health care,
- 7 either health care products or health care services or any
- 8 other professional services for that matter. We saw nothing
- 9 in the nature of investments or consumer credit. We saw
- 10 nothing in the nature of nutrition-oriented food products
- 11 and so on.
- 12 There were a number of categories, categories that
- 13 were as important as apparel, as important as cellular
- 14 phones and computers, but for some reason, at least in the
- 15 judgment of the experts at Advertising Age, not ready to
- 16 break on to the world stage. Why is that?
- 17 I have a couple of suspicions, only suspicions but
- 18 something that I think is worth further looking into.
- 19 Why not investment and credit? I think one very
- 20 good example has to do with conversations I had early on in
- 21 my days at OECD. One of the areas in which the other
- 22 consumer officials were especially curious was about the
- 23 United States' experience in enforcing its credit
- 24 regulations. The FTC, as you know, has a major share of
- 25 that responsibility.

1	And the other countries where credit instruments
2	were probably ten years or so behind the development of the
3	United States were also suddenly very interested in the kind
4	of laws that we had established in the 1970's to govern our
5	credit transactions.
6	I tried to tell my fellow delegates that, at the
7	time the Federal Trade Commission was actually going through
8	a little bit of reconsideration. We had recently modified
9	some orders and we had done some other changes. We had
LO	issued some commentaries. Because one of the things that we
L1	had discovered was that, for example, in the area of truth
L <b>2</b>	in lending, we were asking for advertising to carry more
L3	disclosures than a lot of advertisements were able to
L <b>4</b>	contain. As a consequence, we were discovering that instead
L5	of disclosures, we were enforcing silence in consumer
L6	advertising; and the Commission modified its policies.
L7	Nonetheless, while they were interested in that,
L8	they were far more interested in the volumes of regulations
L9	and statutes that we could provide them, and I did provide
20	them.
21	But what I also provided, whenever I sent to
22	another country the regulations and the statutes that we had
23	governing credit or any other rule that the Commission
24	enforced or another agency enforced, I sent them the
25	Commission policy statements on unfairness, substantiation,

- 1 and deception and told them that when we consider
- 2 regulations here in the United States, we consider them
- 3 against the standards of deception, substantiation and
- 4 unfairness that are laid out in these relatively
- 5 straightforward, relatively terse, policy statements of the
- 6 Federal Trade Commission; and I urged my colleagues to
- 7 consider whatever regulations they wanted to adopt in the
- 8 context of those statements.
- 9 Was I successful in the credit area? I'm not so
- 10 sure.
- 11 Was I successful in comparative advertising?
- 12 Well, as a matter of fact, a directive has just come from
- 13 Brussels in which the countries in the European Union are
- 14 being instructed, being directed to accommodate their
- 15 policies to allow more comparative advertising. I'll be
- 16 glad to get into some of the details of that later on.
- 17 I found it fascinating that a French television
- 18 station that was running a story on this had to come over
- 19 here to the United States to find a consumer group that was
- 20 supportive of this change, because all the consumer groups
- 21 in Europe were on the side of the businesses who thought
- 22 that denigrating competitors was not particularly good for
- 23 consumers.
- 24 There remains a very serious unfair competition
- 25 hangover in Europe, and that infects a number of consumer

- 1 protection policies where consumers, unfortunately, are not
- 2 sovereign.
- 3 It is not just, of course, an example of policies
- 4 that prevent truthful claims from being made. Lest I sound
- 5 like I am Johnny One Note here, let me mention an area where
- 6 we're also seeing some concern and that is in the areas of
- 7 environmental claims, especially eco-seals, where we are
- 8 seeing a number of generalized environmental benefits being
- 9 claimed, which probably would not pass muster under the
- 10 FTC's environmental guidelines today; but are, nonetheless,
- 11 for some reason, passing muster in some of the European
- 12 countries. For example, if you reduce the oils in your
- 13 oil-based paints, you can earn yourself an eco-seal in some
- 14 countries; but you can't put that eco-seal on latex-based
- 15 paints that have very little oil and emit virtually no
- 16 VOC's, the goal of the seal itself.
- 17 How does that communicate to consumers? Which is
- 18 the best form of VOC-reducing paint to buy?
- 19 How do we influence these policies?
- 20 My thoughts, back in the times when I was debating
- 21 with my colleagues at the OECD, was that far and away the
- 22 most valuable tool that I had was a tool that the Federal
- 23 Trade Commission was almost in a unique, in the world,
- 24 position to provide; and that was the studies of a respected
- 25 agency of the Bureau that combined both competition and

- 1 consumer protection philosophy. And that is the Bureau of
- 2 Economics.
- 3 A very influential development that was occurring
- 4 in the 1980s was the development of the emerging health
- 5 claims for foods in food advertising that some would say
- 6 experienced a renaissance in the 1980's and generated a
- 7 great deal of excitement around the world.
- 8 A good deal of that excitement was substantiated
- 9 and confirmed by studies originating here at the Federal
- 10 Trade Commission demonstrating that the change that the
- 11 policies the FDA and the Commission pursued in food
- 12 advertising and labeling were policies that served consumers
- 13 well.
- 14 So my recommendations to the Commission from where
- 15 I failed and where I think I partly succeeded is to
- 16 remember, number one, that policies are contagious, policies
- 17 here in the United States; try to make those policies as
- 18 defensible as possible when we go overseas because our
- 19 policies themselves and not our criticisms of the policies
- 20 is what sells to our trading partners.
- 21 Secondly, arm yourselves with documents of the
- 22 victims and the beneficiaries of unsound policy on the one
- 23 hand and sound policy on the other hand.
- 24 With every Bureau of Economic study, with every
- 25 Commission comment weighing in on the benefits of

- 1 substantiation and deception-driven consumer protection
- 2 policy, we stand a better chance of finding harmonious
- 3 standards around the world.
- 4 Thanks very much, Mr. Chairman.
- 5 Thank you, Madam Chairman.
- 6 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you, Bill. Very
- 7 thoughtful comments. Appreciate it.
- 8 We move now to Bruce Silverglade, who is the
- 9 Director of Legal Affairs at the Center for Science in the
- 10 Public Interest. Bruce is known to virtually everybody here
- 11 at the Commission. He coordinates CSPI's legislative and
- 12 regulatory activities in a wide variety of areas involving
- 13 consumer protection.
- 14 Bruce is a frequent critic of the Commission,
- 15 although I haven't heard any criticism lately. So maybe
- 16 we'll give you an opportunity here.
- 17 Today he will speak about the importance of having
- 18 strong consumer protection standards that can serve as the
- 19 basis for international standards and will warn us, I think,
- 20 about harmonization which could result in lower standards
- 21 for U.S. consumers.
- 22 Bruce?
- 23 MR. SILVERGLADE: I thank you, Commissioner
- 24 Starek, Commissioner Steiger.
- 25 Good afternoon. Today I would like to make two

- 1 points regarding consumer protection regulation in the new
- 2 era of global competition.
- 3 First point is that consumer protection regulation
- 4 is actually necessary to maintain U.S. economic leadership
- 5 and international competitiveness.
- If you look at traditional consumer group
- 7 priorities whether it's ranging from honest labeling of food
- 8 products to safety standards, these are regulations that are
- 9 all necessary to help maintain U.S. economic leadership.
- 10 Oftentimes just the opposite is talked about;
- 11 consumer protection regulation is called a barrier to
- 12 competition.
- But in reality, the consumer rules help maintain
- 14 the high standards that American products and services are
- 15 often known for. And when we haven't had high standards, we
- 16 paid the price in the loss off international competitive
- 17 position.
- 18 For example, if you look at American automobiles,
- 19 which have, until recently, had a reputation for poor
- 20 quality, we certainly suffered in the international
- 21 marketplace.
- 22 Much of my time is spent in the area of food
- 23 regulation; and if you look at food additive regulation,
- 24 consumers in Japan will not buy many of our processed foods
- 25 because they contain particular additives that are

- 1 considered not appropriate or safe in Japan.
- 2 There was a controversy over growth hormones used
- 3 in cattle. Consumer groups in the U.S. and in Europe did
- 4 not want to see these growth hormones used just as a matter
- of consumer preference. The European Union, in fact,
- 6 prohibited the use of the growth hormones. We didn't. It
- 7 was difficult for us to sell our cattle in Europe.
- 8 So by maintaining high consumer protection
- 9 standards, it will actually help increase our international
- 10 competitive position.
- 11 The FTC, obviously, has a big role to play here.
- 12 Lots of FTC activities -- current activities as well as
- 13 prior activities -- could be reinvented to create the
- 14 appropriate economic incentives to increase product quality
- 15 and, again, maintain our leadership position and our
- 16 international competitive position.
- 17 My second point is how the FTC should go about
- 18 doing this. And I'm recommending that the Commission
- 19 develop a formal policy for strategic participation in
- 20 international forums to ensure the upward harmonization of

- 1 standards, the FTC must be very proactive. This is a
- 2 situation where the Commission is going to have to step in
- 3 and weigh in very actively.
- 4 The Commission should develop a strategic policy
- 5 for participation both in governmental and private sector
- 6 regulatory activities and standard-setting forums on the
- 7 international level.
- 8 And by encouraging the upward, as opposed to the
- 9 downward harmonization of consumer protection requirements,
- 10 the Commission can help ensure that U.S. standards remain
- 11 the leading standards in the world and set the trend and the
- 12 scope of the debate.
- I have some specific suggestions for a elements of
- 14 a Commission policy in this are.
- The first element of the Commission policy should
- 16 be to preserve and enhance the Commission's ability to
- 17 accomplish its statutory responsibilities to protect
- 18 consumers from unfair and deceptive practices. It obviously
- 19 has to be the guiding light, whether the Commission is
- 20 working on domestic issues or participating in international
- 21 forums.
- 22 The second element of the Commission's policy that
- 23 I would suggest would be to ensure that U.S. consumer
- 24 protection regulations and standards are maintained except
- 25 when a regulation or standard of another nation provides

- 1 consumers with a greater degree of protection from unfair
- 2 and deceptive trade practices.
- 3 And, of course, I suppose that's open to
- 4 interpretation: What is a greater degree of protection?
- I was meeting with a delegation of Japanese health
- 6 food manufacturers who were really appalled to see the
- 7 Kellogg's "All Bran" label in the United States actually
- 8 mention the word "cancer." They thought that was bordering
- 9 on fraud. And they were health foods manufacturers in
- 10 Japan. So whether health claim rules here have been
- 11 beneficial or not, I think, is open to debate on an
- 12 international level.
- 13 The third element that I'd like to see in a
- 14 Commission policy is to provide for and encourage the use of
- 15 international consumer protection regulations or standards
- only when such action will not result in the downward
- 17 harmonization of consumer protection requirements. That's
- 18 the converse of what I previously stated.
- 19 And, lastly, a procedural point, the FTC's input
- 20 into international regulatory and standard-setting
- 21 activities must be ... "transparent" is the word that's
- 22 being used in the context of this type of debate.
- 23 In other words, it should be open to public
- 24 scrutiny. And the Commission should provide all interested
- 25 parties with an opportunity to participate. And this is, of

- 1 course, logistically difficult, since we're talking about
- 2 international forums and international conferences,
- 3 meetings, and so forth.
- 4 If sum, the FTC has an important role to play in
- 5 international activities. And by defending U.S. consumer
- 6 protection standards when they are the highest, the FTC can
- 7 further its statutory mission as well as advance U.S.
- 8 economic interests and improve consumer welfare at home and
- 9 abroad.
- 10 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Can I ask a question?
- 11 COMMISSIONER STAREK: You sure can. We should
- 12 have you send this statement to our trade press, since you
- 13 think it's important that we participate in international
- 14 forum.
- 15 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Bruce, nobody knows our
- 16 budget constraints better than we do unless maybe it's those
- 17 who have watched us for as long and with as great an
- 18 interest as you do; so, obviously, we have a few barriers
- 19 here ourselves.
- 20 I know you have concentrated most recently on food
- 21 advertising and nutritional areas. But as you were
- 22 listening to your counterparts and to other government
- 23 officials in a global setting, could you rank the areas of
- 24 concern where it is felt we are, A, too strict or, B, where
- 25 we are too lax?

Is there any kind of consensus in the co	onsumer
--	---------

- 2 protection area?
- 3 MR. SILVERGLADE: Well, I think there are
- 4 differences. As a general matter, we have the strongest
- 5 rules, I'd say, if you had to generalize. But there are
- 6 important exceptions.
- 7 In tobacco marketing, our rules are weaker.
- In alcoholic beverage marketing, our rules are
- 9 weaker.
- 10 Even in the area of food marketing, in Canada,
- 11 until, I don't know, about five years ago, every food
- 12 advertisement that was broadcast on Canadian television was
- 13 precleared by the government, by the Department of Health
- 14 and Welfare.
- 15 Now they have since delegated that to an entity
- 16 similar to the National Advertising Division of our Council
- 17 of Better Business Bureaus, a similar organization that
- 18 operates in Canada.
- 19 So they still look at every food ad before it's
- 20 broadcast, because they consider it so important to health
- 21 that this preclearance process be undertaken.
- 22 So in those areas, we certainly have less strict
- 23 regulation. And I would argue, that we do not protect
- 24 consumers as well. Bill MacLeod might argue with that.
- 25 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Thank you.

1 Thank you,	Roscoe.
--------------	---------

- 2 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you. That was very
- 3 interesting. I think there is a legitimate fear that by
- 4 engaging in international discussion on standards, you know,
- 5 somehow you reach a lowest common denominator for everybody
- 6 in order to reach an agreement. And that is something, it
- 7 seems to me, that is not in the best interest of consumers
- 8 in any country.
- 9 Our next speaker may have a different view on all
- 10 of that. She is Mari Ann Blatch, who is the Vice President
- 11 of Governmental Affairs at Readers Digest where she has
- 12 served in that capacity since 1974.
- 13 She has worked with <u>Readers Digest</u> companies in 33
- 14 countries to support and encourage the establishment of
- 15 local direct marketing associations.
- And today she will speak about how legal standards
- 17 differ from country to country, the difficulties this poses
- 18 for businesses and companies trying to do business
- internationally; and she will share with us her views on the
- 20 appropriate direction for harmonizing consumer protection
- 21 standards.
- 22 Mari Ann, thanks for coming.
- MS. BLATCH: Thank you very much.
- 24 And I just wanted to say that, on behalf of
- 25 <u>Readers Digest</u>, which is a global company, some of my

- 1 comments I believe will be relevant to other marketers that
- 2 are fully invested in the countries in which they are doing
- 3 business.
- We talked earlier in this session about exporters
- 5 or importers. My remarks are directed towards companies
- 6 that are actually operating in the local market, with local
- 7 staff, in our case local editors, in the local language.
- 8 Our books, our music, and all of our advertising campaigns
- 9 are created on site.
- 10 One of the ways that I, as Director of Consumer
- 11 and Government Affairs, have built my grid of regulations
- 12 from around the 40 countries where we do business has been
- 13 by working with the local trade associations. And we can do
- 14 that because we are present there.
- 15 But for those American companies that are not
- 16 present -- and we're the only magazine that is present in
- 17 each country and has unique products. Most others ship them
- 18 from the United States. For those companies, what they have
- 19 turned to in order to be able to build global campaigns is
- 20 basically to follow the rules set by the FTC.
- 21 So perhaps you won't be surprised to hear that I
- 22 absolutely agree with Mr. Silverglade that the FTC must
- 23 continue to participate in the international forums, both
- 24 the formal ones and your bilateral mentoring and coaching,
- 25 and the new developments of the U.S.-EU transatlantic

- 1 dialogue.
- 2 At the same time, I absolutely, 100 percent, agree
- 3 with what Bill MacLeod has said, which is that while we are
- 4 talking with our partners and particularly the continental
- 5 European partners and particularly those that are influenced
- 6 by the German system of unfair competition, we need to have
- 7 a better understanding about what we mean when we use the
- 8 word "competition."
- 9 In the U.S. we gave up fair trade laws years ago.
- 10 In Germany when we have discussions with our German
- 11 colleagues about consumer protection, they say, oh, yes, we
- 12 have consumer protection; we have our unfair competition
- 13 law.
- I just came back from a visit to the European
- 15 Union's Commission Number 15. And the reason I was visiting
- 16 there last week is that they announced, in July of last
- 17 year, a specific project to look at the regulations which
- 18 should be harmonized in Europe on commercial communications.
- 19 Now they define commercial communications as all
- 20 forms of advertising, direct marketing, sponsorship, sales
- 21 promotion and PR.
- In their first newsletter, which was just issued
- 23 this year in July, the lead article focuses on sales
- 24 promotion which they define as gifts, premium discounting,
- 25 and similar sales incentives.

In this article	, they point out that there a	re
-----------------	-------------------------------	----

- 2 such vast differences in the national laws in Europe that
- 3 there is an acute problem for transporter -- advertising and
- 4 transporter marketing in Europe.
- At one end of the spectrum, you find the UK and
- 6 Ireland whose rules are very similar to those in the United
- 7 States.
- 8 At the other end is the heavily regulated German
- 9 market where the law imposes complete prohibitions in the
- 10 name of unfair competition.
- 11 At our meeting, <u>Readers Digest</u> Europe, led by our
- 12 German lawyer, documented the differences and our experience
- 13 with the differences in those laws.
- I will leave the document which I gave the
- 15 Commission for the Federal Trade Commission as part of these
- 16 hearings.
- 17 On Tuesday I was told that the current timetable
- 18 for the release of this green paper on commercial
- 19 communications is January or February of next year.
- 20 Directorate 15 and Commissioner Bangeman, who is,
- 21 you know, the father of the European information
- 22 superhighway, is very concerned that we should lift
- 23 restrictions in the name of unfair competition so that there
- 24 can be -- so that the advent of on-line, digital, broadband
- 25 communications would increase in Europe and would allow

- 1 further opportunities for the reception and use of
- 2 transporter commercial communications.
- 3 They have told me that they are willing to assess
- 4 in a completely objective manner, as Bruce would approve, in
- 5 the highest consumer protection standards and, as Bill and I
- 6 are arguing, not in the name of competition standards, all
- 7 regulatory barriers to commercial communications in Europe.
- 8 In our discussions with the Commission we at
- 9 Readers Digest listed the burdens that we currently face
- 10 operating in Europe as a direct result of these differing
- 11 legal restrictions.

- 1 businesses out of Europe and 50 percent of our European
- 2 business is Germany -- nevertheless, it has not been able to
- 3 reach the potential it would have if we look at our
- 4 operations in Canada, in Australia, in the United States,
- 5 the UK, or Ireland.
- 6 I'm really encouraged and I'm really excited, and
- 7 I think we have some good news and some good fun in front of
- 8 us. Because the EU commission is determined to remove these
- 9 barriers. I was told last week, quote: "No where in the
- 10 Rome Treaty will you find any provision for protection of

- 1 have modeled their laws on Germany, and countries like
- 2 Taiwan and other emerging countries that are still trying to
- 3 decide which model they will follow, will definitely be
- 4 influenced; and we have a definite chance to make a
- 5 difference.
- I was also asked, when I was invited here, what
- 7 specifics I could recommend for the Federal Trade
- 8 Commission's future actions.
- 9 And I think basically what I'm trying to say is

- 1 protection.
- 2 And I think you'll find that we'll be allies as
- 3 you move forward. And we certainly wish you the best.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you very much.
- I had occasion about a year and a half ago, very
- 7 early on in this process, to meet with three of the European
- 8 Union staff who were assigned to this project. And at that
- 9 time, the debate was which directorate was this project
- 10 going to be in. And fortunately it ended up in DG-15. And
- 11 fortunately the people who are working on this effort are
- 12 economists, who, you know, have a bent against the
- 13 traditional European lawyerly regulation approach.
- 14 So I was somewhat assured, after an evening of
- 15 conversation with these folks, that maybe we will see a good
- 16 product. And the first draft of the green paper, of the
- 17 initial submission, I thought was very promising.
- 18 MS. BLATCH: I agree with you entirely. In fact,
- in my conversations on Tuesday, I had come with all the
- 20 lawyers for <u>Readers Digest</u> in Europe to provide some hard
- 21 data on existing law. And instead I was given a lecture
- 22 that we should be really concerned about any obstacles like
- 23 unfair competition, restrictions which would not allow
- 24 Readers Digest to attract people onto our on-line site.
- They know that we have been developing, with

- 1 Microsoft, a Readers Digest home site. And he said: How
- 2 are you going to get the customers to come and look at your
- 3 site? You're going to need sales promotion; you're going to need half an hour free time offers; you're going to need to

- 1 consumers in Europe, about the direct relationship.
- 2 But anyway, this fellow said that competition
- 3 policy begins where consumer policy ends. And I still
- 4 haven't figured out what that means.
- 5 Our next witness is Steven Spivak, who is a
- 6 Professor at the University of Maryland here at College Park
- 7 and serves as the Chairman of the Consumer Policy Committee,
- 8 International Organization for Standardization.
- 9 Since I'm having trouble talking, I'm not going to
- 10 go through all of this. However, I think what he's going to talk about today are International Standards Organization

- brought a copy -- if you have not seen it, I call to your
- 2 attention the OECD publication on Consumer Product Safety
- 3 Standards and International Trade.
- 4 Although it's 1991 -- which may sound a bit dated
- 5 in this time of fast-moving trade developments and mutual
- 6 recognition agreements -- it is available from the OECD
- 7 bookstore in Washington, D.C. It's still, I think, very
- 8 timely.
- 9 I have brought information on the ISO Consumer
- 10 Policy Committee proposal. And I've prepared -- and I
- 11 believe it's outside on the table -- a small annotated
- 12 citation list with reference to standardization,
- 13 globalization, consumers, and international trade. And
- 14 these are available outside.
- What I'd like to do today in the short 15 minutes
- is go through what I hope will be helpful. I will focus on
- 17 standards and standardization, but an overview and a
- 18 structure of company, state and local, national, regional,
- 19 and international standardization.
- 20 So I will build us up that structure, focusing
- 21 eventually on the ISO International Organization for
- 22 Standardization and its Consumer Policy Committee and then
- 23 work back down in summary to where I believe there can be
- 24 value to the Federal Trade Commission in its policy
- 25 development and future work in regard to learning from other

- 1 examples of national and international standardization.
- 2 This cartoon says: If things don't fit, you have
- 3 a standards problem.
- I guess it's -- if any of you have fought with
- 5 either incompatibility or lack of the appropriate sizes in
- 6 computer diskettes or information storage units, that's a
- 7 classic example. It certainly happens in our office, and
- 8 I'm sure it's happened in yours.
- 9 It is, however, an example being dealt with by
- 10 international standardization.
- I bring you a particular -- premier good example.
- 12 In fact, I believe this is from a Canadian publication,
- 13 Public Consensus. But it says: Standards to enhance the
- 14 international compatibility of credit cards. And it's a
- 15 reference to ISO-2894, for the standardization of
- 16 international credit cards.
- 17 It is one of many, many examples of international
- 18 standardization to allow you to move through almost any
- 19 country in the world, take the credit card, almost without
- 20 thinking, out of your pocket, put it into a machine and be
- 21 able to have it read at almost any bank machine around the
- 22 world, an example of international and national
- 23 standardization.
- 24 Since textile care labels were mentioned earlier
- 25 and I happen to be both a textile engineer and now Chair of

- 1 the Department of Fire Protection Engineering at Maryland,
- 2 with interests in textiles and furnishings, I give you some
- 3 examples.
- 4 Mr. Hall mentioned the exquisite French -- an
- 5 example of the exquisite French labels, examples that I've
- 6 dealt with. Care labels, whether they are in French or
- 7 German or English or Spanish or Japanese, in the United
- 8 States, an example, of course, that was mentioned and may
- 9 come up later with more expert colleagues than myself --
- 10 although I have been heavily involved as well -- of the
- 11 development of international standards. This happens to be

- 1 standards coexist.
- 2 This is an adaptation from Lyle Verman
- 3 (Phonetically) from his excellent book on standardization,
- 4 in which, in three dimensions, standards are put in
- 5 perspective. And let me just summarize those for you.
- One of the axes is the subject of standardization.
- 7 And we, of course, heard mention today standards for
- 8 textiles and clothing, standards for food, for medical
- 9 equipment, for communications, for consumer products.
- 10 So one of these axes talks about the products or
- 11 systems that are covered by standardization. Another axis
- 12 speaks about the aspect of standardization as mentioned by
- 13 Rich Meier and others, whether these are quality standards,
- 14 whether they are legislation, trade regulations, rules of
- 15 the Commission, safety standards, packaging and marketing.
- We've heard about testing, inspection, environmental standards, codes, whether they're fire or electrical codes;

- offense to those not mentioned, but ASTM is one example,
- 2 Underwriters Laboratories, Society of Automotive Engineers.
- 3 Hundreds of these that developed national standards.
- 4 They are coordinated in the United States by ANSI,
- 5 the American National Standards Institute. And of the more
- 6 than 100 countries in the ISO, International Organization
- 7 for Standardization, there are two that have a private
- 8 sector national bodies. And that's ANSI, is the U.S. member
- 9 body, and Switzerland also has a private sector body.
- 10 So ANSI is our private sector coordinator in the
- 11 national voluntary arena.
- 12 In the national mandatory arena, of course, the
- development of the Federal Trade Commission, trade reg.
- 14 rules, the Code of Federal Regulations, all of the -- GSA,
- 15 General Services Administration, and Defense Procurement
- 16 Standards, if you will, are national mandatory standards.
- 17 At the regional level, the key examples, already
- 18 well mentioned, are European Regional Standardization,
- 19 whether they're EN's, European Norms, maybe voluntary;
- 20 whether they're voluntary; whether they're European
- 21 directives, such as product safety directives that would be
- 22 mandatory.
- 23 At the international level, we have voluntary
- 24 standardization, such as the ISO, International Organization
- 25 for Standardization; the IEC, its counterpart, in the

- 1 electro-tech area; and now the ITU, in telecommunications.
- 2 So effectively we have, at the least, a
- 3 tripartite, three partners, in voluntary international
- 4 standardization.
- 5 International mandatory, there are a number of
- 6 these. One was mentioned, of course, the GATT standards
- 7 code on technical barriers to trade and what will be its
- 8 successor, the World Trade Organization also with a
- 9 standards code.
- 10 Signatories, the OIML is a legal methodology. So
- 11 there are international mandatory or treaty organizations.
- 12 And all of these play simultaneously.
- 13 In the United States we are unique in that we
- 14 probably have the most decentralized, many say the strongest
- 15 and most competitive national standards body because there
- 16 are so many players involved.
- 17 Canada, not quite as decentralized as ours with a
- 18 coordinator, the Standards Council of Canada, equivalent, in
- 19 our case, to ANSI. And then six accredited standards
- 20 developers. And we have Canadian experts who may address
- 21 that.
- We are focusing on national standardization. Let
- 23 me simply remind you, our voluntary ISO member, private
- 24 sector coordinator, the American National Standards
- 25 Institute; it coordinates the voluntary standards activities

- 1 in the U.S. It approves standards from other developers, as
- 2 American National Standards. ANSI represents the United
- 3 States' interests in international standardization, and it
- 4 is a source of information and access on worldwide technical
- 5 standards.
- 6 Very quickly, examples. Who needs standards?
- Well, both American National and ISO, International
- 8 Standards Organization for photographic film. Many of you
- 9 who simply put 35 millimeter film in your camera, your
- 10 automatic cameras, if you look closely enough, you'll see
- 11 that the film speed is an ISO standard, whether it's ISO
- 12 400, 200, 100. Okay? An international standard works so
- transparently well that we don't hardly appreciate.
- 14 SAE, ANSI American national standards for oil, for
- 15 the viscosity of the oil in your automobile, again a premier
- 16 example, nationally and internationally.
- 17 And in this particular time of political
- 18 campaigns, I brought one -- this happens to refer to the
- 19 fact that the glasses that we're all wearing are made and
- 20 conform to safety standards, in compliance with American
- 21 National Standards. And this particular campaigner doesn't
- 22 to have his glasses fitted properly in that he's shaking
- everyone's hand who comes by.
- 24 Back to the more important issue at hand. Let me
- 25 now move up the ladder through national, regional, to

- 1 international standardization. And I will refer to the
- 2 International Organization for Standardization and its
- 3 Consumer Policy Committee, affectionately known as COPOLCO.
- 4 The ISO is structured with approximately 200
- 5 technical committees; but there are four policy committees,
- one of which is CASCO, Conformity Assessment; another of
- 7 which is the Consumer Policy Committee of which I have had
- 8 the great honor of being able to serve the past five years.
- 9 The Consumer Policy Committee reports to the full
- 10 ISO general assembly, but it holds formal liaisons with the
- 11 OECD, which has been mentioned. It has a close liaison with
- 12 what was the International Organization of Consumer Unions,
- 13 now Consumers International, their new name.
- 14 And what do we do? What we do is we study issues
- 15 of assisting consumers in national and international
- 16 standardization. We promote from the consumer's point of
- 17 protection, the information and training of consumers,
- 18 provide a national and international forum for the exchange
- 19 of ideas and, maintain liaison with other important
- 20 organizations.
- 21 Some of the key things that we will do that I will
- 22 focus in the last few minutes are -- the COPOLCO played a
- 23 key role in setting up the first clarion call on the
- 24 confusion over the lack of ecological labeling or
- 25 environmental labeling standards, which eventually led to

- 1 major efforts by the ISO and other partners in beginning to
- 2 develop the body of international standards for the
- 3 environment.
- 4 COPOLCO has taken the lead, I believe worldwide as
- 5 well as at the ISO, in the development of standards for
- 6 services and service quality. And I will give you some
- 7 specific examples of that.
- 8 COPOLCO has been working on using a summary of all
- 9 of its national standardization members, including the U.S.,
- in developing criteria on priorities for selling services.
- 11 And the COPOLCO members recently prioritized a
- 12 list of 15 items that they believe, as consumer experts, are
- 13 key for national and international standardization. And I
- 14 bulleted just several of interest to the Federal Trade
- 15 Commission.
- 16 Air and water quality; medical and hospital
- 17 services were mentioned; smart cards, including banking
- 18 services; environmental management systems and environmental
- 19 labeling; energy labeling, which we'll hear about; public
- information symbols, okay? whether they're road signs or
- 21 textile labeling.
- 22 The Consumer Policy Committee has -- this is not a
- 23 complete list. It now has 64 members, of which most recent
- 24 members have been Mexico, Lebanon, Mauritius, and others.
- 25 So we have a very broad base of the entire structure of

- 1 international standardizers.
- 2 On the references, there is lot of information
- 3 about how ISO serves the consumer, so I won't belabor you;
- 4 and I don't have the time to do so, but there are detailed
- 5 articles in the ISO bulletin.
- 6 Let me close just with a few examples that I
- 7 believe are of interest to the ISO.
- 8 One of the things that COPOLCO does is it
- 9 participate in and also contribute to the development and
- 10 publishing of ISO IEC guides. These are international
- 11 guides, not formal standards per se. And one of these has
- 12 just undergone a major revision. That's Guide 37:
- 13 Instructions For Use of Products of Consumer Interest. That
- 14 was approved by the ISO and the IEC in 1995. And I'm
- 15 awaiting the formal receipt of the final printed document.
- 16 Guide 50 and 51 in the safety area. Guide 51 for
- 17 safety aspects and standards and Guide 50 for child safety,
- 18 both undergoing major revisions now in 1995 and 1996.
- 19 We publish a consumer communique twice per year.
- 20 And, again, I brought you a specific example that I hope

1	COPOLCO has been focusing on the very important
2	issue of international standards for services, and we held a
3	major meeting in Beijing, China. And one of the references
4	is a recent issue of the ISO bulletin, a major feature
5	article, 20 pages on service standards.
6	And I believe that's important to the FTC.
7	Let me give you some examples that I think would
8	be of use in terms of national standardization, being worked
9	intellectually by COPOLCO in service standards.
10	I've mentioned the Australian standard on consumer
11	complaints handling.
12	I mentioned what is a final draft of the Canadian
13	CSA standard on data protection and privacy for personal
14	data and protection for consumers.
15	A New Zealand national standard, a new rating
16	scheme for the entire hospitality industry, hotels and
17	motels.
18	The United Kingdom, a new national code of banking
19	practice, in part, for the protection of consumer.
20	APNOR, in France, new national standards
21	established for the service industry. And the first

The United States, the Toy Manufacturers of

Again, issues of importance to the FTC.

national service mark has gone to a furniture moving

22

23

24

25

company.

- 1 America in concert with European toy manufacturers and the
- 2 Council of Better Business Bureaus are working on a draft
- 3 ISO-IEC guideline on guidelines for advertising to children,
- 4 a very important and interesting area.
- 5 In summary, I bring you a different picture of
- 6 this international organization. This is a different
- 7 perspective. It happens to be a pyramid with company and
- 8 national standards moving up through international. It's a
- 9 different focus than the previous chart that I gave you, but
- 10 it gives you a different way of thinking about what's really
- important, who is at the top of the pyramid, or is there no
- 12 pyramid at all?
- In the interest of time, Commissioner, I will stop
- 14 at this point. And if there are any substantive questions,
- 15 I would be pleased to address them.
- 16 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you very much.
- 17 I found that to be very educational. I was aware,
- 18 generally, of how standards are set but not in such detail;
- 19 and I found it extraordinarily interesting and helpful.
- 20 Our next speaker today is Susan Lord, who is Vice
- 21 President of Government Relations for Springs Industries
- 22 Inc., where she serves as the industry advisor on textile
- 23 trade policy and negotiations.
- 24 And she also Chairs the Export Subcommittee of the
- 25 American Textile Manufacturers Institute.

1 I think too	ay she is	going to	speak about	the need
---------------	-----------	----------	-------------	----------

- 2 for harmonization of standards applying to textiles and how
- 3 the FTC can contribute in this area.
- 4 Ms. Lord, thank you for coming.
- 5 MS. LORD: Thank you, Commissioner Starek.
- Just by way of introduction, Springs Industries is
- 7 one of America's largest textile and home furnishing
- 8 companies. We currently have sales of just under \$2.5
- 9 billion, and we employ approximately 24,000 people.
- 10 I'm a member of the International Trade Committee
- 11 of ATMI, or the American Textile Manufacturers Institute,
- 12 which is the national association for textile products. And
- 13 I'm Chairman of both the Export Subcommittee and the Home
- 14 Furnishings Subcommittee.
- 15 ATMI's members process 80 percent of all textile
- 16 fibers processed in the United States and are engaged in
- 17 every facet of textile manufacturing and marketing. ATMI
- members collectively employ 670,000 people.
- 19 So it's from this perspective that I am speaking
- 20 this afternoon.
- 21 Two and half years ago when I left the world of
- 22 marketing apparel fabrics up in New York for Springs
- 23 Industries, I really had no idea how standards were set. I
- 24 had never heard of ANSI or ASTM. I had heard of ISO but had
- 25 no idea how it functioned. But I found, as I became an

- 1 advisor here in Washington, I quickly became aware of
- 2 standards and their importance within the international
- 3 trade community.
- 4 Beyond this, and most importantly, I found the
- 5 need for industry to be involved.
- 6 During the NAFTA negotiations, the textile,
- 7 apparel, yarn, and fiber industries fought hard to ensure
- 8 favorable rules of origin -- though I'm not sure Rob would
- 9 necessarily agree with me on that -- an acceptable schedule
- 10 for the phase out of tariffs, and the elimination of
- 11 non-tariff barriers.
- 12 One of the potential non-tariff barriers that were
- 13 raised during the negotiations was the issue of standards
- 14 and the need to work towards harmonization.
- 15 In 1991, at the request of the government's
- 16 negotiators, the national standard organizations of the
- 17 three countries met to discuss harmonization. The result of
- 18 this meeting was the formation of a series of
- 19 sector-specific industry groups.
- 20 For our industries, the specific need identified
- 21 was label requirements for apparel and home furnishings.
- 22 The Trilateral Labeling Committee was formed, and I became a
- 23 member in 1993.
- 24 Each country requiring different information
- 25 presented different levels of detail in different formats in

- 1 different languages. The task was large: How to conform,
- 2 how to come to a consensus.
- 3 The labeling issues identified included fiber
- 4 identification, country of origin, placement of labels,
- 5 manufacturing or importer identification, and Care labeling.
- 6 With representatives from three governments and
- 7 the private sector from each country, the committee has met
- 8 numerous times with the goal of identifying all the issues
- 9 and reaching consensus on solutions satisfactory to all
- 10 parties.
- While progress has been made, consensus solutions
- 12 have not been achieved. And that's been four and a half
- 13 years.
- One of the largest issues facing the committee was
- 15 Care labeling.
- 16 Let me just highlight -- give you a brief rundown
- 17 of what we're facing.
- 18 In Mexico, Care labeling is required for both
- 19 apparel and home furnishings. Care instructions may be
- 20 expressed using a care code symbol system as you saw on the
- 21 screen earlier or in Spanish.
- 22 Canada does not require care instructions for
- 23 either; however, if instructions are included, they may
- 24 either be expressed in the Canadian care code symbol system
- 25 or written in the appropriate language. And as you know,

- 1 English is appropriate for all provinces except Quebec. And
- 2 there it must be expressed in French.
- 3 The United States requires care instructions for
- 4 apparel but not for home furnishings. And the instructions
- 5 must be expressed in English. Care code symbols are
- 6 currently not acceptable, though we're moving rapidly
- 7 towards hopefully adopting some.
- 8 The result of the above is that companies selling
- 9 products in these countries or retailers importing their own
- 10 product directly must either maintain separate inventories
- 11 by country or develop a single, large label that meets all
- 12 requirements.
- The label is often, as I said, large, confusing to
- 14 the consumer, and expensive to produce.
- 15 The consensus of the committee is that the
- 16 mutually acceptable care code system would greatly simplify
- 17 labels by eliminating tri-lingual instructions, thus
- 18 reducing manufacturers' cost and eliminating the need for
- 19 apparel and home furnishing manufacturers to carry
- 20 country-specific inventory.
- 21 Further, the committee feels that with some
- 22 education, a label with care code symbols will be more
- 23 consumer friendly.
- 24 In order for the United States to accept a care
- 25 code symbol system, current labeling laws must be amended.

- 1 This effort is, in fact, under way. And the Federal Trade
- 2 Commission, recognizing this need, requested comments last
- 3 fall on the use of the care code symbol system and published
- 4 just last week its proposed recommendations with requests
- 5 for comments on a condition exemption allowing for the use
- of certain care code symbols.
- 7 The proposed system has been developed by ASTM
- 8 during 1991 in response to ISO adopting a care code symbol
- 9 system over the United State's vehement opposition.
- 10 The ISO system was developed by GINETEX an
- 11 international care labeling organization that licenses the
- 12 system to member countries and requires users, in most
- 13 cases, to pay a fee.
- 14 The U.S. industry opposed this system as it
- 15 required a licensing fee and does not meet the technical
- 16 requirements of the U.S. law or consumer needs.
- 17 The industry needs a voluntary system that is
- 18 simple and easy to understand and still conveys all the
- 19 necessary information to the consumer. Our goal is to seek
- 20 simplicity and flexibility. We need to ensure that the
- 21 system the U.S. adopts meets our needs domestically and
- 22 similarly can be used as the basis for harmonization
- 23 internationally.
- 24 I want to take this opportunity to express the
- 25 industry's appreciation for all the efforts put forth by the

- 1 FTC in developing its recommendation for a care code symbol
- 2 system.
- 3 It has taken industry comments and consumer needs
- 4 into account and proposed the basis for a very usable
- 5 system. With trade among the NAFTA partners in textile and
- 6 apparel increasing over 30 percent to \$5.4 billion in the
- 7 first full year of NAFTA and with the greater emphasis on
- 8 exporting within the industry, the need to harmonize our
- 9 standards increases daily.
- 10 Once the United States adopts care code symbols,
- 11 the basis for harmonization will be in place. Without
- 12 symbols the chance for harmonization within NAFTA and on a
- 13 global basis is non-existent within the care codes for
- 14 textiles and apparel.
- 15 I would only add that there's a great urgency to
- 16 move forward with care codes and to move onto other issues I
- 17 mentioned before. The FTC is in a unique position to be
- 18 able to help the industry domestically while considering the
- 19 international trade implications and still meeting consumer
- 20 needs.
- 21 While our immediate need is for harmonization
- 22 under NAFTA, we need to continue to think globally. What
- 23 happens when Chile joins NAFTA? Do we start over? What
- 24 about the free trade agreement of the Americas and the
- 25 possibility of free trade with the EU where GINETEX is the

- 1 accepted system?
- 2 I would urge the FTC to continue its work to
- 3 harmonize with the NAFTA countries but to continue to think
- 4 about the need for global harmonization in all decisions.
- 5 Concurrent to the work on harmonizing care
- 6 labeling within the NAFTA countries, several domestic sector
- 7 members of the Tri-lateral Labeling Committee and members of
- 8 the ASTM subcommittee on care labeling met with GINETEX last
- 9 fall to try and work towards a system that would be mutually
- 10 acceptable and hopefully could be proposed as the new
- international standard when ISO meets to review the current
- 12 standard in 1996.
- While GINETEX has expressed interest, much has yet
- 14 to be done. The system the FTC proposed is a step towards
- 15 meeting this goal.
- 16 The recommendation of the FTC to adopt a care code
- 17 symbol system would be a major first step in gathering the
- 18 support necessary to the work toward ISO adopting an
- 19 international standard acceptable by the U.S.
- 20 As we all look to expand our markets beyond our
- 21 borders, we're going to be faced with numerous challenges.
- 22 International standards are going to play an increasingly
- 23 important role in the world of international trade. We need to work to

- 1 non-tariff barriers. We must continue to share information
- 2 and work together to achieve this goal.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you very much.
- 5 And thanks for your -- I'll take them as very complimentary
- 6 comments. I appreciate it.
- 7 Our final group of speakers today -- we have a
- 8 tandem to conclude this round before we get into our panel
- 9 discussion. We will hear, as we did this morning, from the
- 10 Canadians. And we will hear the Canadian experience and
- 11 receive a Canadian perspective on all of this.
- Our witnesses today are Mr. Zane Brown, who's the
- 13 Director General of Consumer Products Directorate at
- 14 Industry Canada; and Mr. Joseph Hoffman, who is the Director
- 15 of Policy for the Ontario Ministry of Consumer & Commercial
- 16 Relations.
- 17 They will speak today about the Canadian
- 18 experience in harmonizing provincial and national laws and
- 19 the lessons to be learned from this experience as well as
- 20 the potential future for U.S.-Canada efforts at
- 21 harmonization.
- 22 Gentlemen, thank you coming. I appreciate it.
- 23 Thanks for patiently waiting all this time.
- 24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 25 First of all, let me say on behalf of Joseph and

- 1 myself how pleased we are to be invited here to address the
- 2 Commission today, and hopefully some of the comments that we
- 3 have will certainly help the Commission in the hearings.
- 4 Joseph and I are here in our roles as co-chairs of
- 5 the Consumer Measures Committee, which is a committee
- 6 established under Chapter 8 of the Internal Trade Agreement
- 7 which has just been ratified in Canada.
- 8 We would like to share with you some of the
- 9 lessons we have learned as co-chairs of the process and,
- 10 hopefully, some of the those lessons can be applied on the
- 11 international level as well.
- 12 Much of our experience has been accrued through a
- 13 process of formal negotiation among 13 jurisdictions to
- 14 eliminate barriers to trade, investment and mobility within
- 15 Canada through the agreement. Our particular focus has been
- on harmonizing national provincial consumer policies.
- 17 If you bear with us for a few slides, we will

- 1 have a chapter which is titled "Consumer-Related Measures
- 2 and Standards." And that's a chapter that Joseph and I
- 3 co-chaired through the negotiation process.
- 4 Now, the inclusion of consumer protection in the
- 5 agreement is especially noteworthy in two respects. The
- 6 protection of consumers was recognized as one of the few
- 7 legitimate objectives where the objective of protecting
- 8 consumers is acknowledged as sufficiently important that it
- 9 should prevail over trade rules under some circumstances.
- 10 The recognition that the effects of different
- 11 consumer standards can create barriers to trade, internally
- intentionally or unintentionally, impose unnecessary costs
- 13 to business as well as consumers, as well as provide
- 14 consumers in a national marketplace for the confusing and
- 15 variable degree of rights.
- 16 You will notice a similarity or the parallels
- 17 between the national and international dimension.
- 18 The consumer chapter is noteworthy in one more
- 19 respect: Each sector chapter was negotiated by a separate
- 20 sectoral group. The sector negotiators for the consumer
- 21 chapter were all consumer protection officials, few of whom
- 22 had any previous exposure to trade negotiations. As a
- 23 result, we enjoyed one of, unfortunately, infrequent
- 24 occurrences in public policy, really being able to have it
- 25 both ways.

1	Because of the consumer rather than the trade
2	perspective shared by the group, we were able to reduce
3	differing laws and standards while trying to use each
4	opportunity to broadly improve the level of consumer
5	protection.
6	The second slide simply gives you an outline and
7	some of the areas and tasks that we have established under
8	the Consumer-Related Measures Standard chapter.
9	It gives you an overview of the objective and the
10	look undertaken in the consumer chapter. And I just want to
11	point out that this has all taken place within the last 12
12	months, so it's a fairly tight time frame.
13	Briefly, we have committed ourselves to action in
14	several specific areas: Harmonization of direct sale and
15	contract and consolation rights, the harmonization of
16	registration requirements, adoption of uniform standards.
17	So far the most ambitious area in the
18	harmonization of legislation is the governing of cost-of-
19	credit disclosure. This includes fixed consumer credit,
20	open credit, such as credit cards, mortgage of real
21	property, supplier credit such as conditional sales
22	agreements and long-term leases of consumer goods.
23	I'm happy to report that all of these tasks are
24	proceeding quickly and on target.

25

The list of the harmonization commitments is not a

- 1 closed one, however. New areas of harmonization may be
- 2 added. Nor are we confined to harmonizing what already
- 3 exists. Cooperative actions on new areas of consumer policy
- 4 can be pursued.
- 5 We have also committed ourselves to the
- 6 exploration of ways to improve cooperative enforcement of
- 7 consumer measures.
- 8 We will also use the opportunity to establish a
- 9 permanent Inter-government Consumers Measures Committee.
- 10 This group, of which we are the current co-chairs, has
- 11 several responsibilities.
- We have to monitor the implementation of consumer
- 13 aspects of the trade agreement.
- 14 We are charged with facilitating the process of
- 15 reconciling consumer standards and identifying new areas for
- 16 possible future harmonization.
- 17 We will act as a forum for intergovernmental
- 18 discussions on any issues relating to consumer measures and
- 19 facilitating dispute resolution if and when needed.
- I will pass the rest on to Joseph.
- MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Zane.
- 22 Commissioner Starek, I will just briefly, before I
- 23 pick up where Zane left off, reemphasize what a pleasure it
- is to be here today.
- 25 If we could put up the third slide and our last

- 1 slide.
- What I think we would like to do with the
- 3 remaining time is talk a little bit about the lessons that
- 4 we learned through this experience. And I think I should
- 5 emphasize the lessons as perceived by Zane and I in our
- 6 capacity as co-chairs, trying to keep somewhat of a broad
- 7 look at things. And we've narrowed down a list to what we
- 8 saw as really the six essentials.
- 9 The first is recognizing the meaning of
- 10 harmonization. While a shared vision is, of course, very
- desirable as a point of departure, however, parties at the
- 12 very least have to recognize the implications of committing
- 13 to harmonizing differing standards. It may mean

- 1 establish consumer standards.
- 2 The third was agreeing that, to the greatest
- 3 extent possible, any reconciliation that occurred under the
- 4 agreement would be to a high and effective level of consumer
- 5 protection.
- 6 And the fourth -- and I think in our shared view,
- 7 the most important -- we agreed that no party would be
- 8 compelled to lower the level of consumer protection that it
- 9 had in place when the agreement was signed.
- In effect, this last point means that we committed
- 11 ourselves to consensus, that we chose consensus as opposed
- 12 to a majority as the mode of operation. And I think the
- 13 results of all of that was a level of comfort established,
- 14 leveled out the group to focus on the merits of
- 15 harmonization proposals more objectively.
- In the Canadian context, our experience is that
- 17 differing standards appear to be a far greater concern, both
- 18 to consumers as well as to industries, than the presence of
- 19 the high standard.
- 20 So far, our experience seems to be working out
- 21 well. Where some provinces, in the case of the specific
- 22 harmonization commitments that Zane listed, where some
- 23 provinces have come down to a particular standard, it's been
- 24 because the others have come up. And even in cases where
- 25 the result might be the appearance of a lower standard in a

- 1 specific jurisdiction -- and we have 13 jurisdictions
- 2 involved in this exercise -- even in cases where the results
- 3 appears to be lower, for Canadian consumers, generally, in
- 4 every case, the marketplace standard is now higher or will
- 5 be at the end of this all.
- 6 The second lesson is choosing the right things to
- 7 harmonize. We learned that there is not necessarily a link
- 8 between the simplicity of a standard and the ease of
- 9 harmonization.
- 10 Apparently simple and straightforward issues --
- 11 what information, for example, should go on a label and
- 12 where to put it, picking a dollar threshold for door-to-door
- 13 sales -- proved almost more challenging than agreeing to

- 1 Third lesson, the importance of definitions. And
- 2 this may seem very obvious to you as we talk about it, but
- 3 to us it was somewhat of a surprise.
- 4 Despite being a group of consumer officials, we
- 5 found very early on in the process that we really meant
- 6 different things when we used terms like "consumer
- 7 standards," "level of protection."
- 8 Initially, we discussed definitions almost because
- 9 we were compelled to legally. We were, after all,
- 10 negotiating a legal trade agreement. However, there came a
- 11 point when we discovered how important a shared
- 12 understanding of language was, not the least of which was
- 13 because our group encompassed two languages as well as two
- 14 distinct legal codes.
- 15 And we invite you to try it for yourself. Write
- down your own definition of "economic interests of
- 17 consumers and see how it compares with the definition of
- 18 the person beside you or, in fact, compare it to ours.
- 19 The next lesson learned has to do with
- 20 authoritative participation. Zane talked a little bit about
- 21 the interesting attributes of the composition of our group
- 22 in terms of their past experiences as consumer officials as
- 23 opposed to the few of us who had any trade experience.
- On a more practical note, we learned about the
- 25 difficulties encountered when different members -- and we

- 1 tend to avoid the term "negotiators" -- came to the table
- 2 with varying authority to make decisions.
- 3 And I emphasis that this isn't a problem of

- 1 essentially, three stages.
- 2 The first, to establish a firm and binding
- 3 commitment in advance to a specific outcome.
- 4 For example, that we would complete negotiations
- 5 on the element of harmonized cost-of-credit disclosure
- 6 nationally by a fixed date even before we started debating
- 7 the details.
- 8 The second stage, that we would achieve final
- 9 political approval and determine the most appropriate step
- 10 towards concurrent legislative change and other
- 11 implementation considerations because some things can be
- 12 done without legislation.
- 13 So far this sequencing appears to work; although,
- 14 we have recognized a possible problem in accommodating some
- 15 political realities.
- 16 Many of our governments are at different points in
- 17 the political cycle, and that makes legislative scheduling
- 18 somewhat uncertain. Cabinet ministers change, often very
- 19 unexpectedly. And this may necessitate revisiting the time
- 20 tables for briefings, for approvals, getting all of our
- 21 ministers to a common table to consider and sanction work is
- 22 seen as extremely important to this process.
- Now, we also discovered that as political figures,
- 24 as political leaders, our department heads' portfolios were
- 25 really quite varied. All of them had consumer

- 1 responsibilities; but some came to the table as
- 2 representatives of ministers, responsible for trade or
- 3 industry. Others had housing in there; some were
- 4 responsible for recreation; quite a few were, I guess, the
- 5 equivalent of state attorneys general.
- 6 The last point that I'd like to talk about is
- 7 really the need for a strategic approach to consultation.
- 8 Among several lessons learned in this area, we
- 9 discovered the difficulties and opportunities presented by
- 10 work that was not seen as being owned by a single
- 11 government.
- 12 The difficulty is really that consultation efforts
- 13 need to respect the presence of stakeholders at both the
- 14 national and provincial levels. However, in 11 or 13, if
- 15 you include the territories, of the jurisdictions, each one
- of those jurisdictions conducting their own consultation is
- 17 an awfully difficult and not necessarily source-efficient
- 18 way of approaching consultation in a harmonic fashion.
- On the other hand, we're a committee. We're not a
- 20 secretariat. We don't have dedicated staff, so we have some
- 21 limitations on our capacity to consult stakeholders
- 22 directly. So we've tried, so far, to handle this important
- 23 issue by taking a number of steps.
- 24 First, as part of our negotiating exercise,
- 25 ensuring that we have developed a single consultation

- 1 document for common use in all jurisdictions.
- 2 Secondly, ensuring that all stakeholders at all
- 3 levels are identified at least on some kind of master list
- 4 so that there is awareness of who is being consulted and
- 5 where.
- 6 The third, and connected with the one I just
- 7 mentioned, is respecting the right of governments to conduct
- 8 their own consultation but developing, in effect, some
- 9 protocols for sharing the results of those findings.
- 10 And I'd like to maybe diverge here and point out
- 11 that the cost-of-credit harmonization negotiations, which
- 12 Zane mentioned, are extremely complicated.
- I think there are really only two provinces that
- 14 opted to do any of their own provincial-level consultations.
- 15 Everyone else was very comfortable allowing the co-chairs of
- 16 the negotiating team, which is a subcommittee of the group
- 17 that Zane and I co-chair, to, in fact, conduct the
- 18 consultation and do the kind of dialogue where it was
- 19 necessary and to synthesize the results and share those
- 20 amongst all of the parties.
- 21 Connected with this, really, was the need for
- 22 developing common communications materials for use really
- 23 anywhere in the country and designating the working group
- 24 chairs as the primary external contacts.
- 25 And the last point in this area was providing our

- 1 political leaders with some distance from the direct issuers
- 2 until the final proposals were reached at a working group
- 3 level and developed for political approval so that we can
- 4 take forward to ministers, really, the recommendations for a
- 5 complete harmonization proposal, rather than delegate up, so
- 6 to speak, disagreements.
- And so far, we have been fortunate in that regard.

  Although, I should add a point that ties in with, perhaps,

- 1 we achieved success on each chunk of the -- whatever we were
- 2 tackling -- it seemed to strengthen the relationship in the
- 3 parties. It also increased the trust level amongst the
- 4 parties. Or it made things easier as we went along.
- 5 And it bodes well for the future of the work of
- 6 the committee. We will be tackling, probably, stuff like
- 7 electronic marketing, some of the issues that we were
- 8 talking about today. Certainly some of the fall out, like
- 9 the privacy issue, negative option marketing. And one of
- 10 the things that we were moving ahead very fast on is
- 11 cooperative enforcement amongst the various parties within
- 12 Canada.
- However, as we also have recognized, these issues
- 14 are universal in nature and any resolution should reflect
- 15 the international dimensions of today's trading patterns.
- 16 I could mention that we have a number of such
- 17 initiatives, of course, already well underway. Under
- 18 chapter 9 of NAFTA we are involved with textile labeling.
- 19 We have certainly commented on the care labeling proposals
- 20 put fourth by the FTC. We are also involved with the ISO
- 21 subcommittees working on eco-labeling or environmental
- 22 labeling.
- 23 We are members or participants in the NIST work

1 So there is there are long-standing work
--

- 2 arrangements that are in existence and will continue.
- Now there are several specific interests for
- 4 further attention.
- 5 Number one is looking at the role of legislatively
- 6 recognized industry codes to provide greater flexibility in
- 7 adjusting consumer regulations as the marketplace evolves.
- 8 The second one is exploring opportunities for
- 9 cross-border cooperation and consumer education in
- 10 partnership with business and consumer agencies.
- 11 Taking advantage of existing opportunities under
- 12 chapter 9 of NAFTA to address other consumer protection and
- 13 future issues.

Similarly, to expand work owimistn...93Co 0.43.22 1 70étaAF}

- 1 agency officials together to compare the respective
- 2 priorities and plans and to share information. It was a
- 3 very successful meeting, I can add.
- We hope that you will be able to benefit from our
- 5 experiences. We certainly intend to build on our experience
- 6 as we move forward to address other consumer-related
- 7 measures and standards in a much more flexible, proactive
- 8 manner.
- 9 I want to thank, again, the Commission for giving
- 10 us this opportunity.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you both for
- just a fascinating presentation. I'm absolutely amazed that
- 14 you had the strength left to get on the plane and come down
- 15 here. If we were ever to undertake such an exercise in the
- 16 United States, with our 50-plus jurisdictions, in addition
- 17 to the Federal Government, I only could say I hope my term
- 18 has expired.
- 19 Well, I think it's fascinating. I think you have
- 20 laid a foundation for our discussion. And that is: How can
- 21 we, or should we, even begin to talk about harmonization of
- 22 both our standard-setting procedures on an international
- 23 basis and our law enforcement policies?
- 24 Should we seek harmonization and standardization?
- 25 And, if so, how? And how do we avoid the pitfalls that both

- 1 you and Bruce Silverglade have pointed out in this process?
- Is the model that you have demonstrated for us
- 3 something we should encourage our friends in Europe to use
- 4 when they have to work out their problems?
- 5 These are things that I hope we can address when
- 6 we reconvene, after about a 10-minute break, for a
- 7 discussion where we will joined by some other panelists as
- 8 well.
- 9 So let's take about 10 minutes and come back for
- 10 what I think will be a fascinating discussion.
- 11 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
- 12 COMMISSIONER STAREK: All right. I think maybe we
- 13 can gather around and try to continue with our discussion.
- 14 For this part of the program, we are adding three
- 15 discussants. The first is Toni Guarino, who is Counsel to
- 16 the law firm of Buc, Levitt & Beardsley, who specializes in
- food and drug law, advertising law, and consumer product
- 18 safety law.
- 19 Secondly, another additional panelist is Carl
- 20 Priestland, who is the Chief Economist of the American
- 21 Apparel Manufacturers Association. Mr. Priestland has been
- 22 in this capacity for 20 years and has represented AAMA and
- 23 its members at international negotiations on trade for the
- 24 past 20 years.
- 25 And, finally, Michael Thompson, who is the

- 1 Director of Governmental Relations for the Whirlpool
- 2 Corporation, has joined us. Whirlpool manufactures in 11
- 3 countries and markets products under 10 major names in more
- 4 than 120 countries.
- I wonder if any of our new panelists would like to
- 6 make a brief statement or add something or make a comment on
- 7 what they heard, because I know you were all here for the
- 8 first half of this afternoon's session.
- 9 Mr. Thompson.
- 10 MR. THOMPSON: Thanks, Commissioner Starek. I
- 11 just wanted to make a few comments about the appliance
- 12 labeling rule.
- When I was asked to come here and speak as a
- 14 discussant, Elaine asked me to identify areas where,
- 15 perhaps, the FTC could work more closely with our
- 16 counterparts in Canada and Mexico to help minimize the
- 17 burdens and, therefore, the costs of labeling under three
- 18 different national labels.
- 19 So I would like to give you a couple of minutes of
- 20 a Whirlpool perspective on the appliance energy labeling
- 21 program that the FTC has.
- 22 Whirlpool Corporation, as you indicated, is a
- 23 global manufacturer of major home appliances. And you gave
- 24 a pretty good overview of where we're -- you know, we're
- 25 located in over 120 countries around the world.

In the United States we have 10 plants in 7 1 2 states; but we also have manufacturing facilities in Canada and in Mexico. And we manufacture all of the appliances 3 that are currently covered under the FTC's energy guide 4 labeling rules; and they include refrigerators, freezers, 5 6 clothes washers, dishwashers, and room air conditioners. When the FTC rule was originally crafted back in 7 the late 70's, I was there at the very tail end of it. When 8 the FTC -- as a commenter on behalf of the Whirlpool 9

Cou6.3 -av

1	In	the	spirit	οf	NAFTA,	we	strongly	support	the
---	----	-----	--------	----	--------	----	----------	---------	-----

- 2 harmonization of labeling. Right now there is a lot of
- 3 problems with that harmonization process.
- 4 And the problems are predominantly in the area of
- 5 formatting. The United States has one format on which the
- 6 energy descriptors are KWH per year, energy efficiency
- 7 ratio, and annual operating cost. You know, that's where it
- 8 is right now.
- 9 Mexico shows only KWH per year.
- 10 Canada has KWH per year and EER for room air
- 11 conditioners.
- 12 There are different ranges of comparability, in
- other words, most and least efficient, in every nation.
- 14 Mexico does not have its own range of
- 15 comparability but has some kind of identifier that shows
- 16 different and more efficient products with A through D
- 17 ratings.
- 18 The language is obviously different, and the
- 19 format is considerably different.
- 20 The major obstacles to harmonization are basically
- 21 the format itself, which we believe can be overcome. But
- 22 some past interpretations by both the U.S. and the Canadian
- 23 Governments with respect to dual labeling -- which is
- 24 something we would like to do in the absence of a complete
- 25 harmonization process -- in the past, the FTC has

- 1 interpreted certain provisions in the existing law, where we
- 2 would like to maybe do back-to-back labeling, as being
- 3 unacceptable because one provision says -- and I'll just
- 4 kind of read it real quickly: "No marks or identification
- 5 other than that specified in this part shall appear on or
- 6 directly adjoining this label except for a part or
- 7 publication number for indication."
- 8 Strictly interpreted, what that means is: You
- 9 can't even dual label.
- 10 Now I know that you're leaning towards that right
- 11 now. I would encourage more direct contact -- and I'm
- 12 talking about face-to-face contact between the Federal Trade
- 13 Commission, Natural Resources Canada, and the folks that are
- 14 your counterparts in Mexico.
- 15 I would encourage a modification of sections that,
- 16 strictly interpreted, would prohibit us from dual and
- 17 tri-national labeling right now.
- 18 I would encourage, to the extent practical, that
- 19 everybody within the FTC work with their counterparts to
- 20 harmonize these labels.
- 21 To give you an idea of what some of the benefits
- 22 are, at a minimum it's hundreds of thousands of dollars to
- 23 us; but I'm not speaking just to Whirlpool Corporation,
- 24 because those dollars ultimately translate in dollars that
- is saved by the consumer.

1	We	reduce	our	administrative	burdens	considerably	v

- 2 It's a 12- to something like 18-step process on just the
- 3 approval of one of these labels. And if you would multiply
- 4 that times three for each nation, it gets even more complex.
- 5 Our printing and labor costs go down considerably
- 6 if we can harmonize or dual label.
- 7 SKU, Stock Keeping Units, the label numbers go
- 8 down considerably. And even the model numbers, the number
- 9 of model numbers that we produce, go down.
- 10 The dollar savings, we believe, can be translated
- 11 into better, more worthwhile ventures if we had harmonized
- 12 labeling or at least the ability to do a label through
- 13 reinvestment and research and development, through improved
- 14 consumer features investment, investments in our processes
- in our plants.
- 16 And that's one of the reasons why we have been so
- 17 competitive over the years, as an industry, because we
- 18 constantly improved our processes. And by doing so, we have
- 19 maintained our competitive posture with other manufacturers
- 20 and can bring those benefits to consumers.
- 21 So I would strongly encourage the harmonization
- 22 and, in the interim, that the FTC consider bi-national or
- 23 tri-national energy guide labeling.
- 24 There are a lot of obstacles over and above what I
- 25 have mentioned to you right now, differing test procedures

- 1 between Mexico and the U.S. that are largely harmonized
- between the U.S. and Canada.
- But, ultimately, I believe that we ought to be
- 4 able to come to a common energy descriptor that shows KWH
- 5 per year. That would help. And that can be common to all
- 6 three countries, with a common test procedure, hopefully,
- 7 that would be readily identifiable by any consumer in each
- 8 of those three countries.
- 9 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Another challenge raised by
- 10 NAFTA.
- 11 Did any of our other speakers -- yes. Toni.
- MS. GUARINO: Thank you, Commissioner Starek and
- 13 Commissioner Steiger.

- 1 the leather guides, industry guides on leather products, I
- 2 would propose that the things that are in the proposed guide

1	So the comment I just made is contained in the
2	formal comments that were filed on the 15th by the footwear
3	Distributors and Retailers of America.
4	I have a couple of other observations. I was
5	asked to comment briefly on an organization that I'm
6	associated with, the International Bar Association. I serve
7	as a Vice Chairman of one of the committees of the
8	International Bar Association. And I would just briefly
9	mention, I think the Commission is at least formally aware
10	of this organization because there have been Commission
11	representatives at some of its meetings.
12	I serve as a Vice Chairman of the Products
13	Liability Unfair Competition Advertising and Consumer
14	Affairs Committee of the International Bar Association.
15	COMMISSIONER STEIGER: In your spare time.
16	MS. GUARINO: In my spare time, yeah. And that's
17	a very long title. And it's noteworthy that "unfair
18	competition" is part of the title of that committee in the
19	context that Mari Ann and Bill were talking about earlier.
20	The idea is an interesting organization. It's
21	composed of individual lawyers and bar associations from
22	countries, really, around the world.
23	And while it does not have as one of its goals

for learning about how other countries are doing things and

"harmonization of legal standards," it is an excellent forum

24

25

- 1 potentially affecting some of the approaches.
- 2 A couple of years ago, there was a session that
- 3 was a session of the committee I'm serving on that dealt
- 4 with advertising of environmental claims and claims for food
- 5 products and claims for cosmetic products and there were
- 6 representatives from a number of European countries as well
- 7 as the EU and Australia. And it was just an excellent forum
- 8 for hearing about the different laws and standards in those
- 9 areas that I know are of importance to the Commission.
- 10 And I would just give you a little preview of

- 1 but it is a good association and some opportunities for
- 2 getting views expressed, if no tangible results can be
- 3 really be measured.
- 4 Beyond that, if I could just take a minute to
- 5 respond to a couple of comments that were made earlier by
- 6 the other speakers.
- 7 First of all, these are just in the nature of
- 8 observations. I was very much involved in the development
- 9 of the regulations to put the Nutrition Labeling and
- 10 Education Act into practice at the FDA. And when the new
- 11 regulations finally came out in, I believe, early 1993, I
- 12 participated in some seminars for Canadians up in -- I think
- we did a session in Toronto, as well as a session in
- 14 Brussels. And the purpose was to explain to the Canadians

- 1 got and that, you know, maybe nothing could have been done,
- 2 but it is good to hear that, at least going forward, there
- 3 is more of a global consideration that's being given to the
- 4 development of new regulations.

- 1 example, when the USDA Safe Handling regulations were coming
- 2 out a couple of years ago, there was an interesting
- 3 experience. One of the initially proposed symbols was, I
- 4 believe, a cake of soap, which was -- there are symbols, as
- 5 you may know from looking at your meat packages, associated
- 6 with this Safe Handling regulations for meat and poultry.
- 7 And I think one of the initially proposed symbols
- 8 was a cake of soap which was supposed to tell people:
- 9 You're supposed to wash your hands before you -- or rather,
- 10 after you handle meat.
- 11 And somebody observed that that may communicate to
- 12 people that they were supposed to wash their meat with a
- 13 cake of soap. So the final symbol came out with, I think,
- 14 soapy hands or something like that.
- 15 But thank you for the opportunity to comment.
- 16 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Carl, did you want to offer
- 17 any initial comments?
- 18 MR. PRIESTLAND: I would like to make one comment.
- 19 In the area of labeling, the U.S. was the only
- 20 country of the three that did not have the ability to use
- 21 the care symbol system. So we are very pleased now that the
- 22 Commission has decided to, at least, look at this more
- 23 specifically.
- 24 And with that, the possibility of harmonizing a
- 25 care symbol system within NAFTA has increased considerably.

1	And also, because of the international structure,
2	we think that that would be quite useful to all of the
3	members of the U.S. and Canada and Mexico in terms of
4	international trade of apparel and textiles.
5	So we are quite pleased that the care symbol
6	system is getting a significant look at. And, hopefully,
7	the Commission, when it finishes, will take care of the
8	make the care symbol system part of the requirements or at
9	least opportunity to use the care symbol system rather than
10	written material.
11	I think this is very important to the whole
12	community in terms of the retailers and the apparel
13	manufacturers. And it's something that I think we need.
14	And this is the best forum to start looking at things like
15	that.
16	Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you.
18	Let me suggest this, since we've talked so much
19	about the OECD, why don't we use one of their procedures,
20	which is, if you would like to say something, take that
21	little sign in front of you and stand it up like this; and
22	then we'll know who wants to talk, and we can try to keep
23	track and keep it in order.
24	Commissioner Steiger, I believe, has a question?
25	COMMISSIONER STEIGER: I would be interested in

- 1 whether any of you think that there are particular problems
- 2 that are posed by some industries.
- 3 One that comes to mind is the great variety it
- 4 seems to me of treatment of marketing of pharmaceuticals.
- 5 We are in an era here where you are seeing a lot
- of transference from formerly prescription medications into
- 7 the over-the-counter market where consumers now have wider
- 8 range of purchase options.
- 9 But it seems to me that standards vary greatly as
- 10 to, number one, what is and is not a prescription medication
- 11 abroad? And as to what, if anything, can be said about a
- 12 pharmaceutical product.
- 13 Has this posed any particular interest for any of
- 14 you?
- 15 And are there similar industries where there might
- 16 be such a variety of the current practice in marketing that
- 17 it could create barriers?
- 18 Mari Ann.
- 19 MS. BLATCH: I agree with you about the differing
- 20 regulations on pharmaceuticals; and it's too bad there's not
- 21 someone here from Pfizer because I know they chair all the
- 22 international committees.
- 23 But I would say, from the point of view of Readers
- 24 Digest, when we are selling a page of advertising to a
- 25 pharmaceutical company, we can never sell a global page.

- 1 We're always selling magazine by magazine because each
- 2 country, they have to set the new page for the particular
- 3 requirements; and the product will be considered an
- 4 over-the-counter in one country and a pharmaceutical in
- 5 another. It wouldn't even be allowed to be advertised in
- one if it's considered pharmaceutical.
- 7 And then the question of what other
- 8 recommendations -- you know, what contraindications -- you
- 9 know, in some places we sell an extra page, which we like;
- 10 but our readers don't really like to see that extra page of
- 11 print, to be honest.
- 12 And there's also -- my favorite topic, sales
- 13 promotion. In the EU analysis of sales promotion, they

- 1 someone wanting to advertise a washing machine, a dryer?
- 2 Do you find that there is this problem of how you
- 3 can label or format in an ad, globally, what the energy
- 4 requirements, for example, are?
- 5 MS. BLATCH: Well, in fact, what happens is that
- 6 the advertiser and the advertising agencies have huge legal
- 7 staffs that keep track of all that sort of thing; and then
- 8 they come to you us, and in our case, because we have
- 9 separate magazines, we can accommodate them and make sure
- 10 that they abide.

If they're trying to buy into say <a href="Economist"><u>Economist</u></a>

- 1 enlightened regulations in the world right now because in
- 2 most places you can't advertise prescription drugs at all.
- Fortunately, the FDA is currently reconsidering
- 4 its direct-to-consumer advertising policies. And the
- 5 indications are is that they are going to be substantially
- 6 revised. And there is a perfect example of where the United
- 7 States could exert some leadership, because we can find, I
- 8 am sure, many examples that are far more dramatic than those
- 9 that were not the cereal industry of therapies
- that can save lives that are disseminated far more rapidly throughout the economy as a result of the information being

- 1 period, that energy isn't one of your primary sellers. They
- 2 don't come in as consumers and ask: Give me the most
- 3 efficient refrigerator you can find. It would be nice
- 4 sometimes because we have some of the more efficient
- 5 products out there. But it's usually fifth to eighth on the
- 6 list before it ever come up.
- 7 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Interesting.
- 8 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you.
- 9 Toni?
- 10 MS. GUARINO: Just picking up, again, Commissioner
- 11 Steiger's question, the International Bar Association
- 12 meeting from two years ago that really focused on
- 13 advertising for food products and environmental claims and
- 14 things like that, really demonstrated the disparity and the
- 15 need for not only changing the language in different public
- 16 -- you know, in different countries where an ad was to be
- 17 run but accommodating different legal requirements.
- 18 And there were representatives from individual
- 19 companies as well as ad agencies demonstrating how they
- 20 changed, for example, on television commercials some of the
- 21 approach while trying to preserve as much of the visuals as
- 22 possible.
- 23 Of course, you know, you always do have to deal
- 24 with the language. So presuming that you're going to be
- 25 putting your ad in the local language, you do have to make

- 1 that change. So I don't want to minimize the problem; but,
- of course, there are expensive legal staffs in the companies
- 3 and agencies, which does add to the cost to consider not
- 4 only the translation but the differing legal requirements.
- 5 On the prescription drug advertising, Bill's point
- 6 was a very good one. And the FDA recently had public
- 7 hearings on the possibility of, you know, whether or not it
- 8 should change its currently very restrictive approach.
- 9 At that hearing, there was somebody from, I think
- 10 it was Health Canada who testified about the Canada
- 11 approach, which is just a total prohibition.
- 12 So even if we do change our current approach,
- 13 there are still a lot of barriers in that area, and I
- 14 imagine they will continue.
- 15 Although, there is some glimmer in terms of drug
- 16 approval, I know there is a little bit of an increased
- 17 willingness here in this country to accept studies that have
- 18 been really done in other countries as part of the drug
- 19 approval process here. So I think there's maybe a little
- 20 bit of an opening up of a recognition that there is value in
- 21 other systems as well as our own.
- 22 The CODEX value process, which was spoken about,
- 23 is an effort certainly at harmonization on a lot of issues
- 24 in the food area, but it is moving at a glacial pace and I
- 25 think has been going on for decades would be not on

- 1 exaggeration. So it's just going to be very tough and very
- 2 lengthy, I think, for all of these products.
- 3 MR. SILVERGLADE: Two points. On the role of
- 4 CODEX, CODEX was originally was conceived to be an
- 5 international standard setting organization that could
- 6 benefit less developed countries who could not develop their
- 7 own food safety standards, for example, so that they
- 8 couldn't engage in international trade because their
- 9 products weren't up to par. And it was there to set a
- 10 lowest common -- a minimal standard for countries across the
- 11 globe.
- 12 But more recently it's being looked to as a
- 13 harmonization effort. And those two goals are not the same.
- 14 And CODEX may have to take on a new form to really live up
- 15 to harmonization and not just reduce the whole world's
- 16 standards to the lowest common denominator.
- We are not here to discuss prescription drug
- 18 advertising, but I just wanted --
- 19 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Can I just interrupt before
- you go onto your second point?
- 21 How is the CODEX interacting or reacting with the
- 22 efforts by the EU to set standards or norms?
- 23 Is there a constant interaction there? Or don't
- 24 you know?
- 25 MR. SILVERGLADE: Well, the EU has a

- 1 representative on CODEX and speaks, you know, for the
- 2 European Union. And it depends on each issue, whether we're
- 3 talking about health claims or defining the term "natural"
- 4 or should a radiation be labeled and the positions somewhat
- 5 differ, depending on each situation.
- 6 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Excuse me. And I
- 7 interrupted your second point.
- 8 MR. SILVERGLADE: Oh, no. That's okay. I needed
- 9 a little break there.
- No, I just wanted to make a comment on
- 11 prescription drug advertising. CS is not directly involved
- 12 with that. But I think this is, again, something that the
- 13 FDA is going to have to move very slowly on. And the
- 14 consumer marketplace experience -- the actually experience
- 15 of the consumer in the marketplace may not match the
- 16 economic theories.
- 17 We've heard about the potential benefits of
- 18 prescription drug advertising. And I would urge everyone
- 19 just to talk to any friends or relatives, neighbors who have
- 20 gone to see their doctor because they saw an advertisement
- 21 for prescription drug and just anecdotally monitor what the
- 22 response of their physician was.
- 23 Usually the doctor will tell you, you don't have
- 24 any idea related to what this drug can do; and it's not
- 25 appropriate for you.

1 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank	you.
------------------------------	------

- 2 Professor?
- 3 MR. SPIVAK: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 4 May I change the subject to more general comments,
- 5 if we've discussed this sufficiently?
- 6 I'd like to summarize some of the discussion from
- 7 my own personal perspective, if I may, this afternoon in a
- 8 series of six or seven short thoughts.
- 9 One, simply a word of caution: I would like to
- 10 say that we should not, in these hearings and on the public
- 11 record, sound so jingoistic as to assume that the United
- 12 States always has the best, the strongest, the most
- 13 protective, the most innovative standards and regulations.
- 14 Although, I am equally proud of what it is we do
- 15 and what the Commission has done with the U.S. regulations
- 16 and the private sector standards, one can find numerous
- 17 examples that the Commission can learn from and, indeed,
- 18 does, from our partners in Canada and from other nations
- 19 around the world that are superbly well done.
- 20 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Like the Scandinavians, for
- 21 example.
- MR. SPIVAK: Pardon me?
- 23 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Like the Scandinavians, for
- 24 example.
- 25 MR. SPIVAK: That's correct. And there are many

1 regulators around the world, as you well know, from OECD who

- 1 that I am sure most of you are familiar with, the ISO 9,000
- 2 series of international quality management standards.
- 3 The most recent Mobil worldwide survey now shows
- 4 over 95,000 will probably -- the world will quickly be over
- 5 100,000 plant sites or corporate certifications of quality
- 6 management systems in compliance with third-party auditing
- 7 of corporate quality management systems. And that's in
- 8 compliance with the ISO 9,000 series.
- 9 Following on, it will be interesting to see what
- 10 the ISO 14,000 series of environmental management systems
- 11 that will be coming with an independent auditing system.
- 12 The system is already operating in the United Kingdom under
- 13 British standard 7750.
- 14 It is coming in the United States. And it will be
- 15 interesting to see whether we can develop internationally
- 16 harmonized labels for environmentally friendly or recycling
- 17 aspects as the Commission has had been active, and others,
- 18 and whether we can do that internationally.
- 19 Certainly the ISO is making every effort, and the
- 20 U.S. is playing a key role.
- 21 In summary, the larger message for the Federal
- 22 Trade Commission is that, no doubt -- and I commend you for
- 23 having these hearings. We are in a global world. These are
- 24 global markets not only for consumers but, as we have also
- 25 heard, global markets for business and industry.

1	The Federal Trade Commission needs to represent
2	the best of your regulations, our regulations, in the
3	regional and global markets. And in a time of restricted
4	budgets and very little travel money and downsizing of some
5	of the government, that's the real challenge; and that's the
6	challenge for the Commissioners to deal with with your
7	staffs.
8	The possibility of providing some of this
9	international exchange an the Internet, in cyberspace, as we
10	have heard, amongst peer regulators in the U.S. and its
11	counterpart countries can be very helpful if that can allow
12	the interchange.
13	What the Federal Trade Commission, in my opinion,
14	should do with some of this global discussion is, as I
15	believe you are doing, maintain a broad, wide vision, pick
16	and choose amongst the best of what we can do and you are
17	doing not only in the United States, but pick and choose,
18	steal, plagiarize, adopt, where appropriate, other nations'
19	national standards, international standards if appropriate,
20	and certainly international standards of the ISO and others
21	where they can help to provide a larger basis of appropriate
22	action and development by the Federal Trade Commission in
23	protection of U.S. consumers.
24	So my final comment is the thought that this is a

time of world markets. We all need to have that global

25

- 1 vision. I hope that I am reflecting that as a part of the
- 2 panel. And that the Federal Trade Commission, which I
- 3 commend, is looking in the larger vein to maximize your
- 4 efforts and protection nationally by also thinking globally
- 5 and internationally.
- 6 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you, Professor.
- 7 I think we have Bruce and then Michael.
- 8 MR. SILVERGLADE: Yes. I just want to clarify my
- 9 remarks to say that I concur completely with Professor
- 10 Spivak that, at times, other countries do have stronger
- 11 consumer protection, environmental protection, occupational
- 12 safety and health rules.
- In Japan, for example, in a room this size, there
- 14 would not only be an exit sign --a fire safety rule
- 15 requiring an exit sign at the door, there would be one in
- 16 the floor that would be lighted with an arrow pointing
- 17 towards the door so in case the room filled with smoke and
- 18 you couldn't see the exit sign at the top of the doorway,
- 19 you could look on the floor and it would point you to the
- 20 direction out.
- 21 The tone of my previous remarks I think is due to
- 22 the fact, however, that all controversy in the United States
- 23 seem to be pressing for lower standards.
- 24 You know, no one's ever clamoring -- I don't know
- 25 any American trade associations that's clamoring for a

- 1 higher standard.
- 2 And so the tone of my remarks comes from my
- 3 personal experience day to day in my office because the
- 4 controversies that come before me on my desk are, you know,
- 5 some association wants us to have as weak a pesticide
- 6 standard as they have as somewhere else in the world.
- 7 So that is, unfortunately, the cause for the tone
- 8 of my previous remarks.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Michael.
- 11 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. With you your indulgence, I'd
- 12 just like to show, in 30 seconds, a reason why I also agree
- 13 with the professor regarding why we don't necessarily have a
- 14 market on the best standards -- or in this case, the best
- 15 label.
- 16 Our preference would be for simpler. That's the
- 17 Canadian guide. And it isn't just in deference to our good
- 18 friends here today.
- 19 Our experience has been that consumers can respond
- 20 to something that's very simple to understand. It's very
- 21 generic and it doesn't get real complicated.
- We have cost grades currently in our labels.
- 23 Again, we're graduating to different types of energy
- 24 descriptors in the new label, which is an improvement over
- 25 what we have.

1 But	ideally,	with a	a common	denominator	and	а
1 But	: ideally,	with a	a common	denominator	and	а

- 2 common energy descriptor, more along the lines of what the
- 3 Canadians have, I think we're better off than trying to
- 4 build complexity into the labels that we have. Because
- 5 confusion does result.
- 6 I'll give you one example of why we advocate this.
- 7 Over the years, we have experienced a lot of -- I won't say
- 8 it's a lot. But we have experienced consumer complaints
- 9 with the annual operating costs being depicted on the label
- 10 simply because we change those labels about every two years
- or so in response to the changes from the DOE's changes in
- 12 annual unit energy cost for electricity and natural gas and
- 13 the other common energy sources that we use to plug into
- 14 equation.
- 15 We've had cases where consumers have brought our
- 16 dealers and our distributors to the Federal Trade Commission
- for unfair advertising, buying one product, you'd save \$51 a
- 18 year; and then getting, in delivery, something that shows
- 19 \$65 or \$70 dollars a year. It's the same model, and the
- 20 only difference was the changes in the annual unit energy
- 21 costs that we use in the equation to calculate annual energy
- 22 costs.
- So, in this case, I would take a hard look at it,
- 24 if you would, sir.
- 25 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Michael, uses less energy

- 1 than, what? More energy than? I can't see from here.
- What was -- can we presume a common comparison
- 3 base there?
- 4 MR. THOMPSON: Well, what I wanted to show you was
- 5 ideally, in this label, you would have, say, 600 kilowatt
- 6 hours per year in this box. And then there would be an
- 7 arrow pointing somewhere along this bar to where that
- 8 product fits in the same model and product classification
- 9 with all the other competing models out there.
- 10 So maybe the most efficient would be on this end,
- 11 uses least energy consumption; and the least efficient would
- 12 be --
- 13 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: But we would have some
- 14 numerical standard there as a reference?
- 15 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, we would. And it would
- 16 reflect it in terms of annual energy use.
- 17 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Thank you.
- 18 I think Bill was next.
- 19 MR. MacLEOD: I wanted to respond to a point that
- 20 Bruce made because I didn't want him to leave with the
- 21 missimpression that the only complaints are about standards
- 22 that are too high.
- 23 As a matter of fact, I mentioned one that I am
- 24 hearing a great deal of people complaining about right now
- 25 that are too low. And those are some of the eco seal

- 1 standards that are beginning to originate in Europe.
- 2 Right now, Canadian diaper manufactures have to
- 3 cut down more trees and deliver more wood to their plants in
- 4 order to add wood pulp to their diapers so they conform with
- 5 the Swedish eco seals for disposable diapers in Sweden.
- 6 That is not a deception-based standard.
- 7 One thing that we have to remember about standards
- 8 -- and this agency constantly reminds us of that fact -- is
- 9 that they cannot only be informative of consumers, but they
- 10 can also be anti-competitive, exercises by competitors
- 11 against one another.
- 12 That has happened a number of times. And it
- 13 wouldn't surprise me again, if you begin to depart from
- 14 deception-based standard, what you're more likely to find
- 15 behind it are a group of competitors and not folks who are
- looking out for the interests of consumers.
- 17 COMMISSIONER STAREK: We have had that experience
- 18 here. And I suspect you did when you were directing the
- 19 Bureau of Consumer Protection.
- I think Joseph was next.
- 21 MR. HOFFMAN: This is, to some extent, a reaction
- 22 to various comments that have been made so far.
- 23 It strikes me, listening to the discussion, and
- 24 just picking up on the comments that people who have heard
- 25 the discussion and the presentations over the last four days

- 1 that, at root here, is a question for the FTC of choice:
- 2 What really can you afford with limited resources to engage
- 3 in? And what can you afford to go buy?
- 4 And, as a regulator, I have some sympathy for
- 5 having to make that choice.
- And I don't want to overstate this as an example;
- 7 but I thought the recent slide that was up there on the
- 8 energy rating, which was a Canadian label, is a good case
- 9 study. I think the evidence is pretty clear that it doesn't
- 10 rank very high in terms of what consumers' choices are based
- 11 on.
- 12 And, somehow, the challenge for the FTC is,
- perhaps, to figure out: What is the methodology that you
- 14 use that helps you kind of measure the confluence of
- 15 consumer priority with industry needs? And use that to
- 16 guide you in making the decisions about where you invest, as
- 17 a regulator, your energy or where you step back and say that
- 18 there is, you know, sufficient evidence that industry itself
- 19 can handle development of some kind of standard in this
- 20 fashion, that our involvement needn't be more than the kind
- 21 of passive observation.
- 22 And I'm not trying to suggest that energy ratings
- 23 for appliance isn't an appropriate issue. But I think we've
- 24 learned in our experience that these are incredibly costly
- 25 exercises. Costly not so much in terms of the direct, you

- 1 know, bottom line budget costs but costly in terms of time,
- 2 costly in terms of the devotion of resources, and that it's
- 3 very easy to use up an awful lot of energy harmonizing
- 4 something that provides relatively little value-added to the
- 5 consumer and being distracted, essentially, from identify
- 6 what has the most value-added contribution that can be made
- 7 to consumers that could be arrived at through a process of
- 8 harmonization.
- 9 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Can you give us any example
- 10 where your think that your thinking and that of other
- 11 Canadian consumer experts has evolved?
- 12 Is there an area where you had extensive
- disclosures, say 10 to 15 years ago, or an area that had,
- 14 perhaps, substantial regulation where you would now say: We
- 15 have learned that we can do with less?
- 16 MR. HOFFMAN: I think it's a question not so much
- of concluding doing with less. But partly doing with less,
- 18 partly doing very differently.
- 19 I think some examples have to do with direct
- 20 selling, which is a good example. Direct selling.
- 21 Door-to-sales is probably high on the kind of list --
- 22 everyone's list of regulations, at least at a state and
- 23 province level, I don't know, but nationally and in the
- 24 United States.
- 25 And I think if we look now at when those statutes

- were developed and the investment that still is made in
- 2 terms of registering people who are involved in sales, et
- 3 cetera, et cetera, managing complaints, setting the
- 4 thresholds for recision rights, that really direct selling,
- 5 in the traditional sense of the word, occupies such a narrow

- 1 you examine it carefully, it reflects a choice in terms of
- 2 where governments want to be responsive to consumer policy,
- 3 not necessarily an abandonment or an eschewing of the
- 4 validity of consumer protection.
- 5 COMMISSIONER STEIGER: Thank you, very much.
- 6 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Any other comments?
- 7 Yes?
- 8 MR. MEIER: I just have a brief observation.
- 9 First of all, I agree with -- I always hesitate to
- 10 say "all" -- but it might be all of Dr. Spivak's comments,
- 11 since I didn't write them "all" down. He made some very
- 12 good observations.
- I just want to throw in one other point of view on
- 14 this question of whether U.S. interests are looking for
- 15 higher or lower standards aboard.
- 16 Certainly in my anecdotal experience, the thing
- 17 that they seem to want most is identical standards, that
- 18 frequently they are concerned when they see another country
- 19 is doing something that's different. And they say, somewhat
- 20 logically to them: Well, it's good enough for us and it
- 21 works perfectly fine and it meets all the U.S. regulations.
- 22 Why don't they accept it over there? And, particularly if
- "over there" is a lesser developed country, they say: It's
- 24 got to be good enough for them.
- 25 And we have to explain, well, every country sets

- 1 its own regulations and standards.
- So I would just make that observation.
- 3 So the corollary to that is change -- you know,
- 4 they say, well, last week we could get our product in
- 5 Country X against this set of standards; and this week or
- 6 this year, they have changed their standards; can't we do
- 7 something about that?
- 8 And, obviously, we can't on case-by-case basis.
- 9 And that's where the international cooperation development
- 10 of international standards comes into play.
- 11 I would also just observe that I note with some
- 12 interest that a great deal of the conversation and
- 13 discussion here today has been about labeling issues, and I
- 14 think they are very important. But they are, perhaps, the
- 15 most troublesome in our -- in the trade policy field.
- 16 Because our trade policy instruments don't really deal very
- 17 effectively with labeling. And I certainly take great pains
- 18 to move those labeling problems off my desk as fast as
- 19 possible, and they are very difficult.
- 20 And I certainly commend some of the efforts that
- 21 have been made by Mr. Priestland, for example, on trying to
- 22 address labeling issues, whereas, I noted in my remarks we
- 23 do have a little bit more of a mechanism to deal with some
- 24 of the more difficult issues.
- 25 Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER STAREK: Elaine?
2	MS. KOLISH: I sort of have a follow-up question
3	for you.
4	There have been a lot of recommendations that we
5	should harmonize more. And my question goes to process and
6	that under the NAFTA model there was a tri-lateral committee
7	set up, initially, in industry and government one and then a
8	formal one set up under the agreement.
9	Should that be the process for all of our
10	harmonization efforts? Or are there other models that we
11	could use, such as the Canadian model where the, you know,
12	the actual consumer regulators at each level got involved
13	and hammered out the details?
14	What should our role be vis-a-vis your office?
15	MR. MEIER: Well, in fact, my office does not
16	necessarily promulgate harmonization efforts. We are
17	somewhat, you know, neutral on that except to the extent
18	where we see that it addresses problems that have been
19	brought to our attention.
20	I think NAFTA was somewhat the exception there
21	because, one, it is a smaller group of countries; and, two,

because, one, it is a smaller group of countries; and, two, over the years, there have been a great deal of harmonization efforts between the United States and Canada; and I guess the third point is, there was a lot of industry involvement in harmonization and they brought those

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

22

23

24

25

- 1 suggestions to bear during the negotiating process.
- Nonetheless, as we have heard from a couple of
- 3 people on the panel, it's not a fast moving locomotive. So
- 4 it's very difficult.
- 5 So I think it has to be done on a case-by-case
- 6 basis, both in terms of countries involved and product
- 7 sectors. And we would, as a general rule, look to
- 8 international organizations as being the primary movers of
- 9 that process.
- 10 I think that's the most efficient -- it's not the
- only way to go, but that's certainly, we think, the most
- 12 efficient way to go.
- 13 COMMISSIONER STAREK: Well, thank you very much.
- 14 This has been most fascinating and I think a fitting way to
- 15 conclude our four very extensive days on consumer protection
- in the global marketplace.
- 17 I thank you all for coming and participating.
- 18 It's been quite helpful, to me anyway, and I'm sure to my
- 19 colleagues.
- 20 (Whereupon, at 5:30 p.m., the hearing was
- 21 recessed.)
- 22 //
- 23 //
- 24 //
- 25 //

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	DOCKET/FILE NUMBER: <u>P951201</u>
4	CASE TITLE: GLOBAL AND INNOVATION-BASED COMPETITION
5	HEARING DATE: November 21, 1995
6	
7	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the transcript contained
8	herein is a full and accurate transcript of the notes taken
9	by me at the hearing on the above cause before the FEDERAL
10 11 12 13 14 15	TRADE COMMISSION to the best of my knowledge and belief.  DATED: November 21, 1995
16 17 18 19 20	SIGNATURE OF REPORTER  GREGG J. POSS (NAME OF REPORTER - TYPED)