### PREPARED STATEMENT OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ON

### FINANCIAL IDENTITY THEFT

Before the

### SUBCOMMITTEE ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

and the

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

of the

# COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Washington, D.C.

April 22, 1999

another person's name; writing fraudulent checks using anothen'sersone and/or account number; and using personal information to access, and transfer money out of, another person's bank or brokerage account. In extreme cases, the identity thief may completely take over his or her victim's identity pening a bank **so**unt, getting multiple credit cards, buying a car, getting a home mortgage and even working under the victim's name. (3)

Identity theft almost always involves a financial services institution in some wasyalender, holder of a bank account, or credit card or debit card issumerause, as the bank robber Willie Sutton observed, that is where the money is. Identity theft involving financial services institutions, furthermore, is accomplished through a wide variety of means. Historically, identity thieves have been able to get the personal information they need to operate through simple, "lotwech" methods: intercepting orders of new checks in the mail, for example, or fling through the trash to get discarded bank account statements or preapproved credit card offers. Sometimes, identity thieves will try to trick others into giving up this information. As discussed in more detail below, one way in which identity thieves this is by "pretexting," or calling on false pretenses, such as by telephoning banks and posing as the account holder. In other cases, the identity thief may contact the victim directly. In one recent scheme, fraud artists have reportedly been preying on consumers' fears about Year 2000 computer bugs; a caller, for example, represents that he or sh the consumer's bank and tells the consumer that the caller needs certain information about the consumer's account (or needs to transfer money to a special account) in order to ensure the bank can comply with Year 2000 requirements.

 available, the available data suggest that the incidence of identity theft has been incr in recent years. The General Accounting Office, for example, reports that consumer inquiries to the Trans Union credit bureau's Fraud Victim Assistance Department increased from 35,235 in 1992 to 522,922 in 1997and that the Social Security Administration's Office of the Inspector General conducted 1153 social security number misuse investigations in 1997 compared with 305 in 1996.

#### II. The Federal Trade Commission's Authority

#### A. Overview

The FTC's mission is to promote the efficient functioning of the marketplace by protecting consumers from unfair or deceptive acts or practices and increasing consumer choice by promoting vigorous competition. The Commission's primary legislative mandate is to enforce the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commentation certain exceptions, the FTC Act provides the Commission with broad civil law enforcement authority over entities engaged in or whose business affects commence, provides the authority to gather information about such entitles The Commission also has

In addition, The FTC has taken an active role in addressing a range of issues involving consumer privacy, including the privacy of personal financial information. Thus, for example, the Commission has recently reported to or testified before Congress and/or held public workshops on online privacy, individual reference services, pretexting, financial privacy, and the implications of electronic payment systems for individual privacy.

#### C. The FTC's Role in Addressing Identity Theft

As an outgrowth of its broader concern about financial privacy, the Commission has been involved in the issue of identity theft for some time. In 1996, the Commission convened two public meetings in an effort to learn more about identity theft, its growth consequences, and possible responses. At an open forum held in August 1996, consumers who had been victims of this type of fraud, representatives of local police organizations and other federal law enforcement agencies, members of the credit industry, and consumer and privacy advocates discussed the impact of identity theft on industry and on consumer victims. Subsequent press coverage helped to educate the public about the growth of consumer identity theft and the problems it creates. In November 1996, industry and consumer representatives reconvened in working groups to explore solutions and ways to bolster efforts to combat identity theft.

Having thereby developed a substantial base of knowledge about identity theft, the Commission testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee in 10/98 in support of the Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act.

### III. The Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act of 1998

Last fall, Congress passed the Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act of 1998 ("Identity Theft Act" or "Act"). The Act addresses identity theft in two significant ways. First, the Act strengthens the criminal laws governing identity theft. Specifically, the Act amends 18 U.S.C. § 1028 ("Fraud and related activity in connection with identification documents") to make it a federal crime to:

knowingly transfer[] or use[], without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person with the intent to commit, or to aid or abet, any unlawful activity that constitutes a violation of Federal law, or that constitutes a felony under any applicable State or local law.

Previously, 18 U.S.C. § 1028 addressed only the fraudulent creation, use, or transfer of identification documents, and not theft or criminal use of the underlying personal information. Thus, the Act criminalizes fraud in connection with unlawful theft and misuse of personal identifying information itself, regardless of whether it appears or is used in documents. Furthermore, one who violates this prohibition and thereby obtains anything of value aggregating to \$1000 or more during any one-year period, is subject to a fine and imprisonment of up 15 years. These criminal provisions of the Act are enforced by the U.S. Department of Justice, working with investigatory agencies including the U.S. Secret Service, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the U.S. Postal Inspection Service.

The second way in which the Act addresses the problem of identity theft is by improv

assistance to victims. In particular, the Act provides for a centralized complaint and consumer education service for victims of identity theft, and gives the responsibility of developing this service to the Federal Trade Commission. The Act directs that the Commission establish procedures to: (1) log the receipt of complaints by victims of identity theft; (2) provide identity theft victims with informational materials; and (3) refer complaints to appropriate entities, including the major national consumer reporting agencies and law enforcement agencies.

Consumer Response Center have been trained to handle identity theft complaints, all general complaint database has been modified so as to permit entry of at least basic information about the identity theft complaints we already receive. In addition, we have recently issued a Consumer Alert that provides an overview of the steps consumers should take if they become victims of identity theft. We are also working with other government agencies to launch a web page in the near future devoted to identity theft information. The web page, which will include links to information from a number of government agencies, wil

provide the Committee with a copy of its complaint ar	nd the concurring and dissenting

FTC Act, the Commission may, in appropriate cases, bring actions to stop practices that involve or factidentity theft. The practices the Commission expects to focus its law enforcement resonance those when the effect is widespread and where civil remedies are likely to be effective. SeeTe.g.,J.K. Publications, Inc., et al, Docket No. CV 990044 ABC (AJWx) (C.D. Cal., filed January 5, 1999) (Alleging that defend obtained cosumers' credit card numbers without their knowledge and billed consumers' accounts for unordered or fictitious Internet services).

- 24. In the Identity Theft Act, Congress authorized the appropriation of such sums as may be necessary to carry out the FTC'sobligations under the Act. Pub. L. No. 1858 § 5(b), 112 Stat. 310 (1998). These plans are, of course, contingent on the actual appropriation of such funds. Should the volume of calls received from consumers approach the levels reported by Trans UnithretGeneral Accounting Office, the appropriation required to respond to these calls may be substantial.
- 25. The Commission has successfully undertaken a similar effort with respect to telemarketing fraud. The FTC's Consumer Sentinel network is a bi