



06 05 2018  
591004

**ORIGINAL**

Respondents complete this review before calling any witnesses in its case—including witnesses Respondents intend to call during the next three days.

Complaint Counsel's request would impose an unnecessary burden on Respondents at a

*parties aren't going to have to perform surgery on expert reports and submit redacted versions,* but those orders are issued thinking that the parties know what's public and what's not and that we don't have this much testimony in camera." Trial Tr. 1746:6-12 (emphasis added). Now Complaint Counsel is asking the Court to impose a one-sided rule that Respondents undertake just that obligation, even though the Court was correct that the parties are familiar enough with the case to know what information is public or non-public. *See also id.* at 1746:17-20 (noting that public earnings calls are "obviously public"). The end result would be to waste Respondents' time and resources, and risk a potential delay of trial, for no good reason.

For the foregoing reasons, Respondents ask the Court to deny Complaint Counsel's motion to compel. Complaint Counsel and Respondents can and will continue to work together to follow the Court's instructions about presentation of evidence in open court. But the order Complaint Counsel requests is unnecessary and unfairly burdensome to Respondents.

Dated: June 5, 2018

Respectfully Submitted By: /s/ Michael F. Williams, P.C.  
Michael F. Williams, P.C.  
Matthew J. Reilly, P.C.  
Karen McCartan DeSantis  
Megan Wold  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.  
Suite 1200  
Washington, D.C. 2005  
(202) 879-5000  
(202) 879-5200 (facsimile)  
[michael.williams@kirkland.com](mailto:michael.williams@kirkland.com)  
[matt.reilly@kirkland.com](mailto:matt.reilly@kirkland.com)  
[karen.desantis@kirkland.com](mailto:karen.desantis@kirkland.com)  
[megan.wold@kirkland.com](mailto:megan.wold@kirkland.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR TRONOX LIMITED**

James L. Cooper  
Peter J. Levitas  
Ryan Z. Watts  
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE  
SCHOLER LLP  
601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001-3743  
(202) 942-5000  
(202) 942-5999 (facsimile)  
[james.cooper@apks.com](mailto:james.cooper@apks.com)  
[peter.levitas@apks.com](mailto:peter.levitas@apks.com)  
[ryan.watts@apks.com](mailto:ryan.watts@apks.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR NATIONAL  
INDUSTRIALIZATION COMPANY  
(TASNEE), THE NATIONAL  
TITANIUM DIOXIDE COMPANY  
LIMITED (CRISTAL), AND CRISTAL  
USA INC.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 5, 2018, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark  
Secretary  
Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113  
Washington, DC 20580  
[ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov](mailto:ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov)

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell  
Administrative Law Judge  
Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110  
Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I caused the foregoing document to be served via email to:

Chuck Loughlin  
Dominic Vote

Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20580  
[cloughlin@ftc.gov](mailto:cloughlin@ftc.gov)  
[dvote@ftc.gov](mailto:dvote@ftc.gov)

*Counsel supporting Complaint*

/s/ Michael F. Williams  
Michael F. Williams

Counsel for Respondents Tronox Limited



# **EXHIBIT A**

7 "Williams, Michael F." <[mwilliams@kirkland.com](mailto:mwilliams@kirkland.com)>  
) June 5, 2018 at 9:27:49 AM EDT  
u "Vote, Dominic E." <[dvote@ftc.gov](mailto:dvote@ftc.gov)>, "DeSantis, Karen McCartan" <[kdesantis@kirkland.com](mailto:kdesantis@kirkland.com)>, "Cooper, James L. ([James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com](mailto:James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com))" <[James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com](mailto:James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com)>, "Levitas, Pete ([Peter.Levitas@aporter.com](mailto:Peter.Levitas@aporter.com))" <[Peter.Levitas@aporter.com](mailto:Peter.Levitas@aporter.com)>  
# "Loughlin, Chuck" <[doughlin@ftc.gov](mailto:doughlin@ftc.gov)>, "Lee, Joonsuk" <[jlee4@ftc.gov](mailto:jlee4@ftc.gov)>, "Oberschmied, Simone" <[soberschmied@ftc.gov](mailto:soberschmied@ftc.gov)>, "Durand, Caitlin" <[cdurand@ftc.gov](mailto:cdurand@ftc.gov)>  
o k u # ) V

Dominic — Following up further on your email of yesterday morning. We have reviewed the transcripts and expert reports that you asked us yesterday to re-designate — all of the deposition transcripts, investigative hearing transcripts, and expert reports that you might decide to use in cross-examination. To have us re-review the documents that are currently in camera would be extremely burdensome during the middle of trial. I expect Complaint Counsel is familiar enough with this case at this point to understand that we are seeking in camera treatment of information that is internal to the respective respondents or third parties, and we have no problem with public discussion of information that is public. If you have any questions about whether we consider a particular matter to deserve in camera treatment, I am happy to answer them.

Could you please answer my question of yesterday afternoon regarding expedited post-trial briefing? Thank you.

U#=-07 † @Uo h# ' M&M V) ' -000h  
655 15th Street, NW, Suite 1200 | Washington, DC 20005  
1+202-879-5123 PH | <http://www.kirkland.com/mwilliams>

On Jun 4, 2018, at 11:11 AM, Williams, Michael F. <[mwilliams@kirkland.com](mailto:mwilliams@kirkland.com)> wrote:

Dom -- Thank you for your email of a few minutes ago. As I understand your message, you are requesting that we redact certain transcripts no later than tomorrow for Wednesday's testimony and that we review and revisit the deposition transcripts for all of the witnesses we intend to call to trial. This strikes me as burdensome and unnecessary. Moreover, given that we had corresponded over the weekend about Judge Chappell's instructions, it is unclear why you did not raise this request sooner. We will need to review your request and get back to you.

U#=-07 † @Uo h# ' M&M V) ' -000h  
655 15th Street, NW, Suite 1200 | Washington, DC 20005  
1+202-879-5123 PH | <http://www.kirkland.com/mwilliams>

7 Vote, Dominic E. <[dvote@ftc.gov](mailto:dvote@ftc.gov)>  
o Monday, June 4, 2018 11:00AM  
u Williams, Michael F. <[mwilliams@kirkland.com](mailto:mwilliams@kirkland.com)>; DeSantis, Karen McCartan <[kdesantis@kirkland.com](mailto:kdesantis@kirkland.com)>; Cooper, James L. ([James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com](mailto:James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com)) <[James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com](mailto:James.Cooper@arnoldporter.com)>; Levitas, Pete ([Peter.Levitas@aporter.com](mailto:Peter.Levitas@aporter.com)) <[Peter.Levitas@aporter.com](mailto:Peter.Levitas@aporter.com)>  
#



<[soberschmied@ftc.gov](mailto:soberschmied@ftc.gov)>; Durand, Caitlin <[cdurand@ftc.gov](mailto:cdurand@ftc.gov)>

o Tronox/Cristal, Docket No. 9377

Counsel –

I am writing to address Judge Chappell's statement last week that significantly more of the trial should be held in public session going forward. In order to comply with Judge Chappell's directive, Complaint Counsel will need to know what materials the parties will continue to claim *in camera* treatment for. In particular, we note that the entirety of the deposition and investigational hearing transcripts for Mr. Stoll, Mr. Romano, and all of the witnesses that appear on your witness list, are currently deemed *in camera* in their entirety. Moreover, we note that the expert reports of Dr. Shahadeh, Mr. Stern, and Mr. Imburgia are also currently designated *in camera* in their entirety. In order to appropriately prepare public direct and cross examinations, and in order to avoid the unintentional disclosure of any sensitive confidential material, we request that Respondents provide Complaint Counsel with appropriately redacted versions of the investigational hearing and deposition transcripts of Mr. Stoll and Mr. Romano as soon as possible, but no later than tomorrow. We further request that you provide appropriately redacted versions of each of Respondents' expert reports, as well as the transcripts of any witnesses Tronox and Cristal intend to call at trial, at least 72 hours before that witness is called. This information will allow Complaint Counsel to properly determine what information is appropriate for public session and what information must be dealt with *in camera*. Please let us know by 3pm today whether you agree to provide revised *in camera* designations for the transcripts of the remaining witnesses. If we cannot come to an agreement on this issue, we intend to file a motion with the Court. We are available if you would like to discuss. Thanks.

Dom

Dominic E. Vote  
Deputy Assistant Director  
Mergers II Division  
Bureau of Competition  
Federal Trade Commission  
(202) 326-3505  
[dvote@ftc.gov](mailto:dvote@ftc.gov)

Notice of Electronic Service

**I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, with:**

D. Michael Chappell  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Suite 110  
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark  
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Suite 172  
Washington, DC, 20580

**I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, upon:**

Seth Wiener  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
seth.wiener@apks.com  
Respondent

Matthew Shultz  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
matthew.shultz@apks.com  
Respondent

Albert Teng  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
albert.teng@apks.com  
Respondent

Michael Williams  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
michael.williams@kirkland.com  
Respondent

David Zott  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
dzott@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Matt Reilly  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
matt.reilly@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
andrew.pruitt@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Susan Davies  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
susan.davies@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Michael Becker  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
mbecker@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Karen McCartan DeSantis  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
kdesantis@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Megan Wold  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
megan.wold@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Michael DeRita  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
michael.derita@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Charles Loughlin  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
cloughlin@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Cem Akleman  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
cakleman@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Thomas Brock  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
TBrock@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Krishna Cerilli  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
kcerilli@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Steven Dahm  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
sdahm@ftc.gov  
Complaint

E. Eric Elmore  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
eelmore@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Sean Hughto  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission

shughto@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Joonsuk Lee  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
jlee4@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Meredith Levert  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
mlevert@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Jon Nathan  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
jnathan@ftc.gov  
Complaint

James Rhilinger  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
jrhilinger@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Blake Risenmay  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
brisenmay@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Kristian Rogers  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
krogers@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Z. Lily Rudy  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
zrudy@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Robert Tovsky  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
rtovsky@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Dominic Vote  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
dvote@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Cecelia Waldeck  
Attorney

Federal Trade Commission  
cwaldeck@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Katherine Clemons  
Associate  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
katherine.clemons@arnoldporter.com  
Respondent

Eric D. Edmondson  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
eedmondson@ftc.gov  
Complaint

David Morris  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
DMORRIS1@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Zachary Avallone  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
zachary.avallone@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Rohan Pai  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
rpai@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Rachel Hansen  
Associate  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
rachel.hansen@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Peggy D. Bayer Femenella  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
pbayer@ftc.gov  
Complaint

Grace Brier  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
grace.brier@kirkland.com  
Respondent

Alicia Burns-Wright  
Attorney  
Federal Trade Commission  
aburnswright@ftc.gov  
Complaint

**I hereby certify that on June 05, 2018, I served via other means, as provided in 4.4(b) of the foregoing Respondents' Response to Complaint Counsel's Motion to Compel, upon:**

Seth Weiner  
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP  
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt  
Attorney