1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JAMES W. HARLOW Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 386 Washington, D.C. 20044 PHONE: (202) 514-6786 FAX: (202) 514-8742 E-MAIL: James.W.Harlow@usdoj.gov MD Bar (no ID numbers issued) Attorney for Plaintiff		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	United States of America,)	CASE NO.	
11	Plaintiff,)		
12	V.)	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES	
13	Yelp Inc.,	AND OTHER RELIEF	
14) Defendant.)		
15)		
16			
17	Plaintiff, the United States of America, actingon potification and authorization to the Attorney		
18 19	General by the Federal Trade Commission ("F T C"Commission"), for its Complaint alleges		
20	that:		
21	1. Plaintiff brings this action under Secti 5(a)(1), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b), 16(a), and 19		
22	of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC A)ctl 5 U.S.C. §§ 45(a)(1), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b),		
23	56(a), and 57b, and Sections 1303(c) and 1306(th)e Children's Online Privacy Protection		
24			
25	Act of 1998 ("COPPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 6502(c) and 6500,5 (to obtain monetary civil penalties, a		
26	permanent injunction, and otheruitable relief for Defendant'siolations of the Commission's		
27	Children's Online Privacy Protection Rule ("Ruor "COPPA Rule"), 16 C.F.R. Part 312.		
28			l
- 1	1		

<u>COMMERCE</u>

7. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant has maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as function as defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 8. Since 2004, Yelp has provided a free særvthrat aims "to connect people with great local businesses" and purts to be the "leading local guide for real word-of-mouth on everything from boutiques and mechanics to restasurand dentists." Yelp allows users to read and create reviews of local businesses, and connect with other and at local events. Businesses may advertise with Yelp, communicate users publicly and rivately, and create special deals for Yelp users.
- 9. In 2008, Yelp launched its first mobile appaltion for Apple, Inc.'s iOS operating system. Since then, Yelp has released malphelications for Googlenc.'s Android operating system, and for Microsoft Corporation's Wi

15. In 2009, Defendant introduced a regititra feature in the Yelp App, allowing users to register for new accouttrough the application. Previdususers could only register through the website, where Defendant a screening mechanism to hibit users under the age of 13 from registering. However, Defendational to implement a functional age-screen mechanism in the new in-app registration weat As a result, the Yelp App accepted registrations from users who input dates of binthicating they were undeline age of 13. Over a year later, as part of naobile certification process, Deendant hired a third party who performed a privacy review of the Yelp App. The July 2010 ressuof the third-party test erroneously noted that the icasplication prohibited registratins from users under the age of 13. In fact, both the iOS and Android versions the Yelp App accepted these registrations; indeed, an iOS user registered with an age under very same day as the test. Defendant did not test the age-restriction aspecthe registration feature the iOS version of the Yelp App again, and never tested it in the Androidsiden. From April 2009 to April 2013, both the iOS and Android versions of the Yelp App accepted strations from users who inputted any date of birth, including dates of bith indicating that the usewas under the age of 13.

16. Users who initiated regisstion through the Yelp App by providing a first name. last name, email address, and ZIP code and, topiosainy date of birth and gender, were then required to confirm their email addresses to detectheir registrationAll users who completed registration, including to the who provided birthdates inditing that they were under 13, were granted full access to the Yelp service through the App and the Yelp website. For example, they could add information to their persopatifiles, including photostheir current city, hometown, and any other information they chosper to vide in free-form text fields. They could also "check-in" at local businesse and post "Tips" and "Commentation such businesses.

- 17. Yelp also collected certain informati automatically from the phones of Yelp App users. Specifically, Yelp collected usersoldwile Device IDs, or unique identifiers assigned to devices, in order to obtain metrics about itsoline user base. In adiatin, in order to provide location-based services such assallosearch results, Yelp collecte precise locations of users' phones based on Global Positioning Systems conditionable phones of those users who chose to allow Yelp to use their location.
- 18. As set forth in Paragraphs 15ologh 17, Defendant collected personal information, including but not limited to full names and email addresses, from several thousand individuals who input birthdates dicating that they were bettern the ages of 9 and 13. A portion of these users completed the gistration process and thusere able to post reviews and provide other information through Yelp's service.
- 19. Because Defendant collected information users who provided birthdates indicating that they we under 13, Yelp is deemed to handed "actual knowledge" under the COPPA Rule that it was collecting informatifrom several thousand children under 13, in violation of the COPPA Rule.
- 20. Despite the fact that Yelp's Privacy Policy states that Yelp "is intended for general audiences and is noted to children under 13," logilling to implement a functional age-screen, Yelp:
 - a. did not clearly, completely, or accuratelysclose all of Defendant's information collection, use, and disclosure practites children under age 13, as required by the Rule;
 - b. did not provide parents with a direct rootiof its information practices prior to collecting, using, or disclosing chileh's personal information; and

c. did not obtain verifiableonsent from parents prior to collecting, using, or disclosing children's personal information.

DEFENDANT'S VIOLATION OF THE COPPA RULE

21. In numerous instances, in connection operating the Yelp App, Defendant collected, used, and/or disclosed, with alchange wledge, personal formation online from

disclosure practices for such information, among other required content; and

- c. Section 312.5(a)(1) of the Rule, 16 CRF§ 312.5(a)(1), which requires an operator to obtain verifiable parentainsent before any collection, use, and/or disclosure of personal information from children.
- 24. Defendant's acts or practices, as descrin Paragraph 23 above, violated the COPPA Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 312.
- 25. Pursuant to Section 1303(c) of PPA, 15 U.S.C. § 6502(c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the Rule constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in offecting commerce, in violation of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

THE COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

- 26. Defendant violated the Rule as detacti above with therlowledge required by Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A).
- 27. Each collection, use, or disclosure as fichild's personal information in which Defendant violated the Rule in one or more the ways described above a separate violation for which Plaintiff seek monetary civil penalties.
- 28. Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 165.S.C. § 45(m)(1)(A), as modified by Section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties and Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, and Section 1.98(d) of the FTC's Ress of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 1.98% (authorizes this Court to award monetary civil penalties of not moreanth\$16,000 for each such violation of the Rule on or after February 10, 2009.

29. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.\$53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court rdeş m appropriate to hadhd redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff United States Afmerica, pursuant to Sections 5(a)(1), 5(m)(1)(A), 13(b) and 16(a) of the FTC Act5 U.S.C. §§ 45(a)(1), 45(m)(1)(A), 53(b), and 56(a), and the Court's own equitalplewers, requests that the Court:

(1)

FOR THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION:	FOR PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
MANEESHA MITHAL Associate Director	JOYCE R. BRANDA Acting Assistant Attorney General
, ,	JONATHAN F. OLIN
Assistant Director	Deputy Assistant Attorney General Civil Division
	MICHAEL S. BLUME
Attorney	Director
Federal Trade Commission	Assistant Director
(202) 326-2203 (voice)	/s/ James W. Harlow
	JAMES W. HARLOW Trial(75)-305()]
Attorney	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Federal Trade Commission	1.0. Box 666
(202) 326-3185 (voice)	
	COMMISSION: MANEESHA MITHAL Associate Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection CHRISTOPHER OLSEN Assistant Director Division of Privacy and Identity Protection MELINDA CLAYBAUGH Attorney Division of Privacy and Identity Protection Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (202) 326-2203 (voice) (202) 326-3062 (fax) NITHAN SANNAPPA Attorney Division of Privacy and Identity Protection Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.