ANALYSIS OF AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDERS TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT

In the Matter of Holcim Ltdand Lafarge S.A.File No. 1410129

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has acceptablect to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Orscl ("Consent Agreement") designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects resulting the proposed acquisition bafarge SA ("Lafarge") by Holcim Ltd. ("Holcim"). Under the terms of theoroposed Consta Agreement Lafarge is required to divest to Continental Cement Compand pontinental") its Davenport cement plant and guarry located in Buffalo, lowa along with cement terminals and associated distribution assets in Minneapolis and St. PallInnesota; La Crosse, Wisconsin; Memphis, Tennessee; Convent and New Orleansouisiana. The Consent Agreement also requires Holcidin/test its Skyway slag cement plant located in Chicago, Illinois to Eagle Materials "Fragle"), its slag cementplant located in Camden, New Jersey and its terminal near Boston, Massachusetts EssrocCement Corporation ("Essroc"and its cement terminals in Grandville and Elmira, Michigan and Rock Island, Ilinois to Buzzi Unicem USA ("Buzzi"). Finally, the Consent Agreement requires Holcim to divest to a buyer or buyers approved by the Commission (1) Holcim's Trident, Montana cement plant and two relaterchinals in Alberta, Canada, and (2) Holcim's Mississaugæement plant located in Ontario, Canada anderelatmenterminals in Duluth, Minnesota; Detroit and Dundee, Michigan; Cleveland, Odnid; Buffalo, New York.

Lafarge is a verticallyntegrated global building materials company incorporated in France and headquartered in Patiafarge primarily produces and sells cement, agggtes, and readymix concrete. In the United States, Lafarge

must accomplish the divestitures to these buyers within ten days after the proposed acquisition is accomplished.

The Commission's goal in evaluating possible purchasers of divested assets is to maintain the competitive evironment that existed prior to the proposed acquisition. If the Commission determines that any of the identified buyisrs of an acceptable acquire proposed Order requires the parties to divest the assets to a Commission ved acquirer within 90 days of the Commission notifying the parties that the proposed acquirer is not acceptable. If the Commission determines that the manner in which any divestiture was accomplished is not acceptable, the Commission may direct the parties, or appoint a divestiture thouseffect such modifications as may be necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Order.

Finally, the proposed Consent Agreement requires Holcim to divest to a buy eye or bu approved by the Commission (1) a cement plantident, Montana and two distribution terminals in Alberta, Canadthe "Trident Assets", and (2) a cement plant in Mississauga, Ontarioand cement terminals in Minnesota, Michigan, Oairod New York(the "Great Lakes Assets") The divestiture of the Trident plawbuld eliminate the proposed merger's potential anticompetitive impact on purchasers of portland cement located in western Montana. The two Alberta terminals distribute cement produced at Trident plant and are included in the Consent Agreement in order to preserve the viability and marketability of the Trident Assetsim's Mississauga plant supplies portland cement into the United States both directly terroninals located in Dluth; Detroit; Dundee, Michigan; Cleveland, Ohio; and Buffalo, New York. The divestiture of the Great Lakes Assetsuld remedy the proposed merger's anticompetitive effects in the Dulth and Detroit areas. The Cleveland and Buffalo terminals are indial utlee Consent Agreement in order to preserve the viability and marketability of the Great Lakes Assets. The Trident Assets and Great Lakes Assets are also part of a larger group of Holcim assetsocated in Canada that the Respondents have agreed to divest in order to resolve competitive concerns raised by the Canadian Competition Bureau ("CCB"). Commission staff worked cooperatively ith staff from the CCB o ensure that our respective posed remedies would beconsistent and effective.

The proposed Order provides that Holcim must farbulyer(or buyers) for the Trident Assets and the Great Lakessets, at no minimum price, that is acceptable to the Commission, no later than 20 days from the date on which the parties consummate the proposed acquisition. The Consent Agreement also contains an Order to Hold Separate and Maintain Assets, which will serve to ensure that these assets are held separate and operated independently from the merged company and protect the viability, marketability, and competitiveness of the divestiture asset packages until the assets divested to a buyer buyer sapproved by the Commission.

To ensure compliance with the proposed Orther Commission has agreed to appoint an Interim Monitorto ensure that Holcim and Lafargeomply with all of their obligations putsant to the Consent Agreement and to keep the Commission informed about the status of the transfer of the rights and assetts appropriate puchasers