UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Joseph J. Simons, Chairman

Noah Joshua Phillips

Rohit Chopra

Rebecca Kelly Slaughter Christine S. Wilson

DOCKET NO. C-

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having reason to believe that Buddy's Newco, LLC ("Buddy's"), a corporation, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Respondent," has violated the provisions of said Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its Complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

Nature of the Case

1. This action concerns purchase agreements of consumer reac-1 (en)1 (t)-1 (s16.41 2.025 0bT1 Leen)

- competing RTO company that has a store in close proximity to the closing store. This unilateral decision to sell a closed store's consumer rental contracts to a competitor is common in the RTO industry.
- 3. The conduct challenged in this complaint involves the instances when Buddy's did not make a unilateral decision to sell a closed store's consumer rental contracts to a competitor. Buddy's instead entered into reciprocal purchase agreements whereby Buddy's agreed to close an RTO store or stores and sell the closed store's or stores' consumer rental contracts to an RTO competitor, contingent on that RTO competitor agreeing to close a different RTO store or stores and sell those closed store's or stores' consumer rental contracts to Buddy's.
- 4. These reciprocal purchase agreements included reciprocal non-compete agreement clauses, whereby Buddy's and the RTO competitor agreed not to compete within a specified geographic market for a specific time-period, typically three years, in the area or areas where the stores were closed.
- 5. The reciprocal purchase agreements with reciprocal non-compete agreement clauses constitute an unfair method of trade, violating Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

Respondent

6. Respondent Buddy's is a limited liability company organized, existing, and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the United States, with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 4705 Apopka Vineland Road, Suite 206, Orlando, FL 32819.

Jurisdiction

- 7. At all times relevant herein, Buddy's has been, and is now, a corporation as "corporation" is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
- 8. The acts and practices of Buddy's, including the acts and practices alleged herein, are in commerce or affect commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

Overview of the Traditional Brick and Mortar Rent-to-Own Industry

9. The traditional brick and mortar RTO industry focuses on renting durable goods, such as furniture, appliances, and electronic goods, to customers who lack access to traditional credit. RTOs operate large-format stores carrying a selection of new and returned mer,3dc 0 02 Tw

an overall agreement between [] and Purchaser regarding the respective subject matter of each of the Purchase Agreements. [] and Purchaser agree that their performance obligations under each of the Purchase Agreements are expressly conditioned upon both parties' performance under both of the Purchase Agreements and that they shall each perform their obligations under both Purchase Agreements, or not at all. For avoidance of doubt, in the event of the termination of either of the Purchase Agreements, the other Purchase Agreement shall automatically terminate as well, shall be considered void ab initio, and the parties shall take all actions reasonably necessary to return to the status quo immediately prior to entering into the Purchase Agreements.

16. The reciprocal purchase agreements also explicitly require the selling party to exit and remain out of the market for a specified period, using the following (or similar) language:

Non-competition. [] agrees to not engage in any rent-to-own, rental purchase, or other substantially similar business including the renting or selling of electronics, computers, appliances, residential or office furniture, and rims and tires, either directly or indirectly, for its or their own account or for another, during the Non-Compete Time and within the Non-Compete Territory specified in the Addendum, if any.

Non-Compete Time: [] agrees that the Non-Compete time will be three (3) years following the Effective Date.

Non-Compete Territory: [] agrees that the Non-Compete Territory will be within a five (5) mile radius of the Rental Locations.

Anticompetitive Effects of the Reciprocal Purchase and Non-Compete Agreements

17. The relevant product market or line of commerce in which to analyze the competitive effects of Buddy's challenged conduct is the traditional brick and mortar retail RTO (t)-cO1i-4 (l)-s1

agreements through store closures that may not have occurred absent the reciprocal purchase agreements, leading to:

- i. Impairing quality and service competition in the affected geographic markets; and
- ii. Reducing the number of locations and product selection available to consumers.
- 20. The reciprocal purchase and non-compete agreements have the effect of allocating geographic markets between existing horizontal competitors.

Lack of Procompetitive Efficiencies

- 21. Buddy's did not offer procompetitive efficiencies that outweigh the anticompetitive effects of certain Reciprocal Asset Purchase Agreements.
- 22. Any legitimate objectives of Buddy's conduct as alleged were achievable through less restrictive means.

Violations Alleged

- 23. As set forth above, Buddy's violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, by negotiating and executing these reciprocal purchase and non-compete agreements.
- 24. The acts and practices of Buddy's, as alleged herein, constitute unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45. Such acts and practices, or the effects thereof, will continue or recur in the absence of appropriate relief.

	S WHEREOF, the Federal Trade Commission, having caused this ed by the Secretary and its official seal affixed, at Washington, D.C., this
day of	, 2020, issues its complaint against Respondent.
By the Comm	ission.
	April J. Tabor Acting Secretary

SEAL: