UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)
Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. a corporation;) Docket No. 9366
Pallottine Health Services, Inc. a corporation;)))
and))
St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. a corporation.)))

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUBPOENA AD TESIFICANDUM TO CONGRESSMAN EVAN JENKINS UNDER RULE OF PRACTICE 3.36

INTRODUCTION

As per this Court's Order of January 5, 2016, granting the parties permission to seek to take discovery from nonparty Congressman Evan Jenkins after the close of fact discovery, Complaint Counsel brings this motion pursuant to Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") Rule of Practice 3.36, 16 C.F.R. § 3.36, for the issuance of a Subpoena *Ad Testificandum* to Congressman Jenkins, an elected official representing West Virginia's Third District.

Respondents do not oppose Complaint Counsel's request for the issuance of a subpoena but take no position on the contents of this motion. Because the material sought meets the requirements set out in Rule 3.36, we respectfully ask that the Motion be granted and that the subpoena be issued as requested. An unsigned Subpoena *Ad*

ARGUMENT

Rule 3.36(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice requires the party seeking issuance of a subpoena for the appearance of an official or employee of a governmental agency to make a specific showing regarding the requested subpoena. With respect to a subpoena *ad testificandum* to be served within the United States, the party must show that:

- (1) the information sought from the official or employee of the governmental agency is reasonable in scope;
- (2) for discovery, the appearance of the official or employee of the governmental agency falls within the limits of discovery under Rule 3.31(c)(1); and
- (3) for discovery, the information sought from the official or employee of the governmental agency cannot reasonably be obtained by other means.

The proposed Subpoena Ad Testificandum to Congressman Jenkins meets all

requirements of Rule 3.36(b). Movant Complaint Counsel is sensitive to the responsibilities of, and potential burden upon, Congressman Jenkins. Because Respondents have placed Congressman Jenkins on their Final Proposed Witness List (which was served on March 7, 2016), however, Complaint Counsel is c.2(pla)- Tc -0.0009 Tw 1te0 1(v4s have plalebthe p03 Tw monyon s)-4n

topics, which is reasonable in scope relative to the Respondents' naming of Congressman Jenkins as a witness in this matter.

The testimony sought is relevant to Complaint Counsel's allegations in the Complaint

and Respondents' defenses. As Respondents have named Congressman Jenkins as a proposed

witness expected to testify about Respondents' defenses, the testimony sought from

Congressman Jenkins falls within the limits of discovery under Rule 3.31(c)(1), which requires

that discovery be "reasonably expected to yield information relevant to the allegations of the

complaint . . . or to the defenses of any respondent."

The testimony cannot be reasonably obtained by other means. As Respondents have

named Congressman Jenkins as a proposed witness expected to testify about Respondents'

defenses, the testimony sought from Congressman Jenkins cannot be reasonably obtained by

other means.

CONCLUSION

This Court has recognized that issuance of a subpoena to a governmental agency is

appropriate if the moving party meets the criteria under Rule 3.36. In the Matter of Intel

Corporation, FTC Docket No. 9341 (Order dated Jun. 9, 2010), available at

https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/cases/2010/06/100609intelorder.pdf. For the

reasons stated above, therefore, Complaint Counsel respectfully requests that the Court grant the

Motion and issue the subpoena to Congressman Jenkins.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 10, 2016

/s/ Alexis J. Gilman

Alexis J. Gilman

3

Tara Reinhart Mark D. Seidman Michelle M. Yost Elizabeth C. Arens Jeanine Balbach Thomas H. Brock Stephanie R. Cummings Melissa Davenport Svetlana S. Gans Elisa Kantor David Laing Matthew McDonald Jeanne Nichols Michael Perry Amy Posner Samuel I. Sheinberg

Complaint Counsel 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2579

Facsimile: (202) 326-2655

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)
Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. a corporation;) Docket No. 9366
Pallottine Health Services, Inc. a corporation;)))
and)
St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. a corporation.	,))

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MEET AND CONFER STATEMENT

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order issued on December 4, 2015, Complaint Counsel submits this statement in support of its <u>Motion for Subpoena Ad Testificandum Under Rule of</u>
Practice 3.36 to Congressman Evan Jenkins.

On March 9, 2016, Complaint Counsel provided Respondents via electronic mail with a copy of Complaint Counsel's proposed Motion for Subpoena *Ad Testificandum* and subpoena to Congressman Evan Jenkins. On March 9, 2016, Respondents advised Complaint Counsel that they do not oppose Complaint Counsel's request for the issuance of the subpoena.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 10, 2016 /s/ Jeanine Balbach
Jeanine Balbach, Esq.

On behalf of Complaint Counsel

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)
Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. a corporation;) Docket No. 9366
Pallottine Health Services, Inc. a corporation;)))
and)
St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc. a corporation.)))

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM TO CONGRESSMAN EVAN JENKINS

On March 10, 2016, pursuant to Rule 3.36 of the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice, Federal Trade Commission Complaint Counsel filed a motion for an order authorizing the Secretary of the Commission to issue a Subpoena *Ad Testificandum* to Congressman Evan Jenkins, an elected official representing West Virginia's Third District, and thus a government official as described in Rule 3.36(a).

Rule 3.36(b) requires the party seeking issuance of a subpoena requiring the appearance of an official or employee of another government agency to make a showing that: the material sought is reasonable in scope; the material is within the limits of discovery under Rule 3.31(c)(1); and the information or material sought cannot reasonably be obtained by other means. Complaint Counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the

Additionally, on January 5, 2016, this Court granted the parties permission to seek to take discovery, pursuant to Rules 3.34 and 3.36, from nonparty Congressman Evan Jenkins after the close of fact discovery and no later than seven days after th

EXHIBIT A

SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM DEPOSITION

Provided by the Secretary of the Federal Trade Commission, and Issued Pursuant to Rule 3.34(a), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(a) (2010)

1. TO		2. FROM
1. 10		Z. FROW
		LINUTED OTATEO OF AMEDICA
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
		FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
This subpoena requires you to appear and give testimony at the taking of a deposition, at the date and time specified in Item 5, and at the request of Counsel listed in Item 8, in the proceeding described in Item 6.		
3. PLACE OF DEPOSITION		4. YOUR APPEARANCE WILL BE BEFORE
		5. DATE AND TIME OF DEPOSITION
		1
7. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE		8. COUNSEL AND PARTY ISSUING SUBPOENA
DATE SIGNED	SIGNATURE OF COUNSEL ISS	UING SUBPOENA
	CENEDAL IN	STRUCTIONS

APPEARANCE

MOTION TO LIMIT OR QUASH

The Commission's Rules of Practice require that any motion to limit or quash this subpoena must comply with Commission Rule 3.34(c), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c), and in particular must be filed within the earlier of 10 days after service or the time for compliance. The original and ten copies of the petition must be filed

RETURN OF SERVICE

\circ	I hereby certify that a duplicate original of the within subpoena was duly served: (check the method used) in person.
\bigcirc	by registered mail.
\bigcirc	by leaving co/i1 1 1 SCNtrn.Cr3rn.Cr34CmM
	on the person named herein on:
	(Month, day, and year)
	(Name of person making service)
	(Official title)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March [x], 2016, I served via FedEx and reference mail delivery a copy of the attached Subpoeted Testificandum Congressman Evan Jenkins, a copy of the Administrative Law Judge's ordeauthorizing the subpoena, and copy of the Protective Order governing this matter to:

Congressman Evan Jenkins c/o Eleni M. Roumel, Esq. Assistant Counsel Office of General Counsel U.S. House of Representatives 219 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-3902 Eleni.Roumel@mail.house.gov

I further certify that I served the foregogi on the following counsel via electronic mail:

Geoff Irwin
Kenneth W. Field
Jones Day
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 879-3963
cabell_service@jonesday.com
Counsel for Respondent Cabell
Huntington Hospital, Inc.

James Bailes
Thomas Craig
Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC
401 10th Street, Suite 500
Huntington, WV 25701
(304) 697-4700
tlc@bcyon.com
jrb@bcyon.com
Counsel for Responde@abell
Huntington Hospital, Inc.

David Simon
H. Holden Brooks
Foley & Lardner LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20007
(202) 945-6033
MILW-SMMCSERVICE@foley.com
Counsel for RespondePallottine
Health Services, Inc. an St. Mary's
Medical Center. Inc.

Dated: March [x], 2016

/s/ Jeanine Balbach Jeanine Balbach, Esq. On behalf of Complaint Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 10, 2016, I filed the foregoing Complaint Counsel's Motion for Subpoena *Ad Testificandum* to Congressman Evan Jenkins under Rule of Practice 3.36 electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I delivered via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document to:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that I served, via electronic mail, the foregoing document on the following counsel:

Geoff Irwin
Kenneth W. Field
Jones Day
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 879-3963
cabell_service@jonesday.com
Counsel for Respondent Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.

Thomas Craig
James Bailes
Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC
401 10th Street, Suite 500
Huntington, WV 25701
(304) 697-4700
tlc@bcyon.com
jrb@bcyon.com
Counsel for Respondent Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.

David Simon
H. Holden Brooks
Foley & Lardner LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20007
(202) 945-6033
MILW-SMMCSERVICE@foley.com
Counsel for Respondent Pallottine Health Services, Inc. and
St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.

Eleni M. Roumel, Esq.
Assistant Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. House of Representatives
219 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-3902
Eleni.Roumel@mail.house.gov
Counsel for Congressman Evan Jenkins

Dated: March 10, 2016

/s/ Jeanine Balbach

Jeanine Balbach, Esq.

On behalf of Complaint Counsel

CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

March 10, 2016 By: <u>/s/ Jeanine Balbach</u>