

When citing the transcript of a deposition, the party shall cite to the transcript by setting forth the exhibit number, page number, and line number, and the letters "Dep." or "HT," and the transcript number. Do not use first initials unless there is more than one witness with the same last name. The citation following the statement of fact shall be in parentheses and in the following format: (Dep. 101-102, 101:10-12, HT 101:10-12).

When deposition testimony or its summary is admitted in evidence by a party, and the opposing party has an objection to the admission of such testimony, the objection shall be stated in writing and shall be limited to the proposed finding, or such objection shall be deemed waived.

Do not use "id." as a cite for proposed findings of fact or reply findings of fact.

Do not cite to more than one copy of the same document. CX200 and CX200 are different copies of the same document. The exhibit number shall be used to identify the document.

Reply briefs shall be limited to the issues raised by the opening briefs and should not be used merely to bolster arguments made in these opening briefs.

Reply briefs shall reply to the arguments presented by the opposing party in its opening briefs.

Reply findings of fact shall set forth the opposing party's proposed findings of fact in single space and then set forth the reply findings of fact. Findings of fact shall be numbered to correspond to the findings that the reply findings are refuting and shall use the same outline headings as used by the opposing party in its opening proposed findings of fact. If you have a specific response to the opposing party's proposed finding of fact, set forth the opposing party's proposed finding of fact and state that you have no specific response or do not disagree.

An example of the format for reply findings of fact that do not disagree:

39. Jernatt Inc. was a corporation organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, publicly traded on the American Stock Exchange, with its principal place of business at 1740 Baltimore Blvd., York, Pennsylvania, 17402. (CX200 at 101:10-12, 101:10-12, HT 101:10-12).

Response to Finding No. 39:

Respondent has no specific response.

Patent [redacted]
proposed [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted]
with [redacted]

ORDERED.

Patent
D. [redacted]
Chicago [redacted]

Date: June 27, 1918

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on June 27, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Closing Hearing Record, Order on Post-Trial Brief, with:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 110
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 172
Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on June 27, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Closing Hearing Record, Order on Post-Trial Brief, upon:

Seth Wiener
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
seth.wiener@apks.com
Respondent

Matthew Shultz
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
matthew.shultz@apks.com
Respondent

Albert Teng
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
albert.teng@apks.com
Respondent

Michael Williams
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.williams@kirkland.com
Respondent

David Zott
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
dzott@kirkland.com
Respondent

Matt Reilly
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
matt.reilly@kirkland.com
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
andrew.pruitt@kirkland.com
Respondent

Susan Davies
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
susan.davies@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael Becker
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
mbecker@kirkland.com
Respondent

Karen McCartan DeSantis
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
kdesantis@kirkland.com
Respondent

Megan Wold
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
megan.wold@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael DeRita
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.derita@kirkland.com
Respondent

Charles Loughlin
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cloughlin@ftc.gov
Complaint

Cem Akleman
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cakleman@ftc.gov
Complaint

Thomas Brock
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
TBrock@ftc.gov
Complaint

Krishna Cerilli
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
kcerilli@ftc.gov
Complaint

Steven Dahm
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
sdahm@ftc.gov
Complaint

E. Eric Elmore
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eelmore@ftc.gov
Complaint

Sean Hughto
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission

Federal Trade Commission
cwaldeck@ftc.gov
Complaint

Katherine Clemons
Associate
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
katherine.clemons@arnoldporter.com
Respondent

Eric D. Edmondson
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eedmondson@ftc.gov
Complaint

David Morris
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
DMORRIS1@ftc.gov
Complaint

Zachary Avallone
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
zachary.avallone@kirkland.com
Respondent

Rohan Pai
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
rpai@ftc.gov
Complaint

Rachel Hansen
Associate
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
rachel.hansen@kirkland.com
Respondent

Peggy D. Bayer Femenella
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
pbayer@ftc.gov
Complaint

Grace Brier
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
grace.brier@kirkland.com
Respondent

Alicia Burns-Wright
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
aburnswright@ftc.gov
Complaint

Janet Kim
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission

jkim3@ftc.gov
Complaint

Lynnette Pelzer
Attorney