UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Petitioner,

v.

RECKITT BENCKISER PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Respondent

Misc. No. 3:14-mc-005-REP

DECLARATION OF MARK R. LENT Z IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO TRANSFER

I, Mark R. Lentz, herebyæclare and state as follows:

1. I am an associate with the law firm **Jud**ines Day, counsel for Respondent Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and I submit **dleis** aration in support of Reckitt's Motion to Transfer the above-captioned act from the Eastern District of Vojinia to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. I have personal knowledge of the following and, if called upon to testify, could and would do so competently as to **three** uracy of the matters stated herein.

2. On September 2, 2014, I searched foc**as**les since 2003 in which the Federal Trade Commission has filed a petition seeking to enforce a subpoena or civil investigative demand. To perform this search, I utilized Prublic Access to Qurt Electronic Records (PACER) website's case locatfornction, located on the immeet at https://www.pacer.gov/pcl.html. Using the civil tab, I searched for cases in which the FTC was a party. I then filtered my search by Party Role, limiting the sumers to cases in which the FTC acted as a

Case 3:14-mc-00005-REP Document 16 Filed 09/03/14 Page 2 of 4 PageID# 272

petitioner. I downloaded the return for my search in spreadeset form. A true and correct version of this spreadsheet, edited return dability, is attached as Exhibit 1.

3. I then reviewed the dockets for each castled in Exhibit 1 to confirm that FTC did, in fact, petition to enforce subpoena or CID. Two case FTC v. Johnson No. 1:12-mc-42-GK (D.D.C.), and FTC v. IAB Marketing LP, No. 1:12-mc-540 (D.D.C.)—did not involve subpoena or CID enforcement. eTremaining eighteen results did.

4. Eight of these cases were filed in the District of Columbia. None involves a company located in that distri All but one, however, coerned antitrusinvestigations, including three pharmaceutical antitrust investigins against companies, or executives of companies, located in California, Nevada, Newsey, Connecticut, Delaware, and Minnesota. See, e.g., FTC v. Bisarbio. 1:10-mc-289-CKK (D.D.C.) (Web Pharmaceuticals, a Nevada company headquartered in California, but whos OCE aul Bisaro, was located in New Jersey); FTC v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharms., Inc., No. 1:09-mc-564-JMF (D.D.C.) (a Delaware company headquartered in ConnecticEII) v. Tarriff, No. 1:08-mc-217-RCL (executives of Par Pharmaceutical Cos. and Paddock Labs., Inc., which are located in Delaware and Minnesota, respectively). No pharmaceutical antitrustippens were filed in any other district.

5. Of the remaining ten cases, only on ETC v. Star Pipe Products, LtdNo. 4:11mc-399 (S.D. Tex.)—concerned allegaticof anticompetitive behavior. The other cases primarily concern false advertising other statutory violations.

¹ A second petition did involv**a**n antitrust-related investigation, but the FTC filed it in the Northern District of Ohio ogalafter the District of Columbidismissed an earlier petition for lack of jurisdiction. See FTC v. Promedica Health Sys., J. Mo. 1:10-mc-586-RMC (D.D.C.) (filed Oct. 4, 2010 and dismissed Oct. 12, 20 FO)C v. Promedica Health Sys. 3:10-cv-2340 (N.D. Ohio filed Oct. 13, 2010).

I declare under penalty **p**ferjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 3rd day of September, 2014.

/s/ Mark R. Lentz

Mark R. Lentz (VSB No. 77755) JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 Tel: (202) 879-3939 Fax: (202) 626-1700 Email: mrlentz@jonesday.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 3rd day of September, 2014, I electronically filed the

foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will then send a

notification of such filing (NEF) to the following:

Burke W. Kappler FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20580 T: (202) 326-2043 bkappler@ftc.gov

Robert P. McIntosh UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 600 E. Main St., 18th Floor Richmond, VA 23219 T: (804) 819-5400 Robert.Mcintosh@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Petitioner

<u>/s/ Mark R. Lentz</u> William V. O'Reilly (VSB No. 26249) Mark R. Lentz (VSB No. 77755) JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 Tel: 202.879.3939 Fax: 202.626.1700 woreilly@jonesday.scom mrlentz@jonesday.com

Counsel for Respondent

Case 3:14-mc-00005-REP Document 16-1 Filed 09/03/14 Page 1 of 2 PageID# 275

Exhibit 1

case_title court_id nos cs_date_filed case	e_no case_link
Federal Trade Commission v. IFC Credit Corporation iIndce 999 11/10/2005 1:20	005-cv-06444 https://ecf.ilnd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?193172
Federal Trade Commission v. Nissan of Fontanacacdce8908/1/2007 2:20	007-cv-04976 https://ecf.cacd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?393468
Federal Trade Commission v. Dinamica Financiera LLC cacdce 890 7/16/2008 2:20	008-cv-04649 https://ecf.cacd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?420847
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC. dcdce 890 6/5/2008 1:20	008-mc-00360 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?131530
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. TARRIFF et al dcdce 890 4/16/2008 1:20	008-mc-00217 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?130739
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. POLYPORE INTERNATIONAL, INC. dcdce 890 2/3/2009 1:20	009-mc-00050 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?135019
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. dcdce 890 10/23/2009 1:20	009-mc-00564 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?139057
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. BISARO dcdce 890 4/27/2010 1:20	010-mc-00289 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?141902
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. PROMEDICA HEALTH SYSTEM, INC. et al dcdce 890 10/4/2010 1:20	010-mc-00586 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?144354
Federal Trade Commission v. Response Makers, LLC casdce 410 8/24/2010 3:20	010-cv-01768 https://ecf.casd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?331694
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. CHURCH & DWIGHT CO., INC. dcdce 890 2/26/2010 1:20	010-mc-00149 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?140886
Federal Trade Commission v. ProMedica Health System, Inc. ohndce 890 10/13/2010 3:20	010-cv-02340 https://ecf.ohnd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?169530
Federal Trade Commission v. Star Pipe Products Ltd txsdce 9/13/2011 4:20	011-mc-00399 https://ecf.txsd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?918240
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. IAB MARKETING ASSOCIATES LP et al dcdce 890 10/12/2012 1:20	012-mc-00540 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?156481
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. JOHNSON dcdce 890 1/19/2012 1:20	012-mc-00042 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?152351
Federal Trade Commission v. Chi casdce 450 2/1/2013 3:20	013-cv-00256 https://ecf.casd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?405927
Federal Trade Commission v. National Processing Co. et al flsdce 890 9/24/2013 1:20	013-mc-23437 https://ecf.flsd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?428534
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION v. MOBILEFUNSTER, INC. dcdce 890 2/7/2014 1:20	014-mc-00112 https://ecf.dcd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?164468
Federal Trade Commission v. Countrywide Periodicals, LLC mtdce 5/20/2014 9:20	014-mc-00002 https://ecf.mtd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?45522
Federal Trade Commission v. Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc. vaedce 8/8/2014 3:20	014-mc-00005 https://ecf.vaed.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?307911