1	DAVID C. SHONKA Acting General Counsel		
2	HONG PARK, DC Bar No. 475930		
3	Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., CC-9528 Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2158 (direct), -3197 (fax) hpark@ftc.gov		
4			
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9			
10	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Case No		
11	Plaintiff,		
12			
13	V.		
14	JAMIE L. WHITE,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		

1	the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A), 6102(c),		
2	and 6105(b).		
3	DEFENDANT		
4			
5	6. Defendant Jamie L. White resides in Utah, and in connection with		
6	the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and		
7	throughout the United States.		
8	COMMERCE		
9			
10	7. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant has maintained a		
11	substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in		
12	Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.		
13	DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS ACTIVITIES		
14			
15	8. Beginning sometime in March of 2015 and continuing until at least		
16	February 27, 2017, Defendant and her associates orchestrated a scheme to provide		
17	order fulfillment and payment processing services to telemarketing operations		
18	engaged in deceptive practices. Defendant and her associates provided these		
19			
20	services to telemarketing operations owned and managed by Carl E. Morris, Jr.		
21	and located primarily in and around Phoenix, Arizona (collectively,		
22	"Telemarketing Operations").		
23	9. The Telemarketing Operations deceived consumers by peddling		
24			
25	purported opportunities involving Amazon-linked websites and grants, with false		
26	promises of substantial income. No consumers generated income through these		
	opportunities. Defendant knew that the Telemarketing Operations were making		
27	opportunities. Defendant knew that the Telemarketing Operations were making		

1	these false promises throughout the course of Defendant's participation in the			
2	scheme.			
3	10. Defendant provided order fulfillment services to the Telemarketing			
4				
5	Operations. For consumers purchasing Amazon-linked website opportunities,			
6	Defendant used a template to create nominal websites linked to Amazon.com. The			
7	websites were practically indistinguishable from each other, did not function in			
8	numerous instances, and were not designed to generate the web traffic necessary			
9				
10	to provide the promised income.			
11	11. For consumers purchasing the grant opportunities, Defendant			
12	provided purported training material for applying for grants. The training material			
13	contained general information and could never lead to successful grant			
14	applications because the grants promised to consumers did not exist.			
15	applications because the grants promised to consumers the not exist.			
16	12. In addition, Defendant participated in all of the critical decisions to			
17	provide the Telemarketing Operations with straw merchant accounts to process			
18	consumer credit card payments for the worthless opportunities. In order to process			
19				
20	credit card payments, a business needs a merchant account with an "acquirer" (i.e.,			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	4			

## acquirers.

2	13. Defendant and her associates obtained the straw merchant accounts		
3			
4	by first recruiting individuals to serve as "nominees." The nominees served as the		
5	principals of straw companies in whose names the merchant accounts were		
6	opened. Defendant forged financial documents to fabricate corporate histories for		
7	the straw companies, and provided these documents to her associates for the		
8	purpose of obtaining merchant accounts. Defendant's associates used these		
9			
10	documents, along with		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	5		

1	straw merchant accounts into bank accounts opened by the nominees in the straw			
2	companies' names. Defendant and her associates maintained the credentials to			
3				
4	control the funds deposited into these bank accounts.			
5	16. Typically, the nominees were unsophisticated individuals who were			
6	not aware that Defendant and her associates used their personal and corporate			
7	information to submit merchant account applications, and did not know that the			
8	Telemarketing Operations used the merchant accounts to process consumer credit			
9				
10	card payments for the worthless opportunities. The nominees received a small			
11	percentage of the consumer credit card payments processed through the merchant			
12	accounts for their services.			
13	17. On or about May 2, 2016, Defendant and her associates prepared and			
14	17. On of about Way 2, 2010, Defendant and her associates prepared and			
15	submitted a merchant account application to CardFlex, Inc. d/b/a Cliq			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	6			

1	18. On or about May 18, 2016, Defendant and her associates prepared		
2	and submitted a merchant account application to CardFlex using: nominee Ronald		
3	Bourgard's personal information; the corporate information for Bay Harbor		
4 5	Associates Inc., which Bourgard provided at the direction of Defendant's		
6	associates; and financial documents forged by Defendant. On or about May 20,		
7	2016, CardFlex processed the application and opened a merchant account with		
8	Merchant Number ending in 3518. The merchant account operated under a		
9			
10	written agreement with Bay Harbor Associates Inc., BMO, and PPS, that		
11	authorized only the processing of credit card transactions between Bay Harbor		
12	Associates Inc. and its customers.		
13	19. On or about June 13, 2016, Defendant and her associates prepared		
14			
15	and submitted an application for a merchant account to CardFlex using: nominee		
16	Jack Gouverneur's personal information; the corporate information for Bay		
17	Harbor Associates LLC, which Gouverneur created at the direction of Defendant's		
18 19	associates; and financial documents forged by Defendant. On or about June 14,		
20	2016, CardFlex processed the application and opened a merchant account with		
21	Merchant Number ending in 4390. The merchant account operated under a		
22	written agreement with Bay Harbor Associates LLC, BMO, and PPS, that		
23	authorized only the processing of credit card transactions between Bay Harbor		
24			
25	Associates LLC and its customers.		
26	20. On or about June 14, 2016, Defendant and her associates prepared		
27	and submitted a merchant account application to CardFlex using nominee Hugh		
28	7		

1	Hubbard's personal information; the corporate information for Texport Electronic		
2	Sales Company, which Hubbard created at the direction of Defendant's associates;		
3	and financial documents forged by Defendant. On or about July 1, 2016, CardFlex		
4	processed the application and opened a merchant account with Merchant Number		
5			
6	ending in 8895. The merchant account operated under a written agreement with		
7	Texport Electronic Sale Company, BMO, and PPS, that authorized only the		
8 9	processing of credit card transactions between Texport Electronic Sales Company		
10	and its customers.		
11	21. On or about July 27, 2016, Defendant and her associates prepared		
12	and submitted a second merchant account application to CardFlex using		
13	Hubbard's personal information; the corporate information for Texport Electronic		
14 15	Sales Company; and financial documents forged by Defendant. On or about		
16	August 1, 2016, CardFlex processed the application and opened a merchant		
17	account with Merchant Number ending in 6433. The merchant account operated		
18	under a written agreement with Texport Electronic Sales Company, BMO, and		
19 20	PPS, that authorized only the processing of credit card transactions between		
20	Texport Electronic Sales Company and its customers.		
22	22. Defendant and her associates forged the nominees' signatures on		
23			
24	each of the merchant account applications identified in Paragraphs 17 to 21		
25	("Applications") and submitted the Applications without the knowledge or consent		
26	of the nominees.		
27	23. Each of the merchant accounts identified in Paragraphs 17 to 21		
28	8		

1	("Merchant Accounts") processed consumer credit card payments for the	
2	Telemarketing Operations and not the corporate entities named in the Applications	
3	or the corresponding agreements with BMO and PPS.	
4		
5	24. Despite Defendant's efforts to minimize chargebacks, by October	
6	2016, CardFlex had notified the nominees that each of the Merchant Accounts	
7	were terminated for excessively high chargeback rates (47% for the Merchant	
8	Accounts, collectively).	
9	25 Prior to terminating the Marchant Accounts, the Marchant Accounts	
10	25. Prior to terminating the Merchant Accounts, the Merchant Accounts	
11	processed approximately \$3,074,000 in net consumer credit card payments for	
12	deceptive products and services sold by the Telemarketing Operations.	
13	26. Upon information and belief, Defendant and her associates obtained	
14	additional fraudulant marchant accounts used to process consumer credit card	
15	additional fraudulent merchant accounts used to process consumer credit card	
16	payments for the Telemarketing Operations, by submitting false applications and	
17	causing acquirers and their payment processors to enter into agreements with	
18	straw companies.	
19 20	VIOLATIONS OF THE TSR AND THE FTC ACT	
20	27. Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and	
22	deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15	
23		
24	U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. The FTC adopted the original Telemarketing Sales Rule in	
25	1995, extensively amended it in 2003, and amended certain provisions thereafter.	
26	16 C.F.R. Part 310.	
27	28. Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §	
28	9	

1	6102(c) and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of		
2	the TSR constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting		
3	commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).		
4			
5	29. The TSR prohibits any person from providing substantial assistance		
6	or support to any seller or telemarketer when that person knows or consciously		
7	avoids knowing that the seller or telemarketer is making a false or misleading		
8	statement to induce any person to pay for goods or services. 16 C.F.R. §§		
9 10	310.3(a)(4) and (b) (prohibition against assisting and facilitating).		
11	30. The Telemarketing Operations are telemarketers under the TSR.		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	10		

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
2	Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to	Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15		
3	U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b. Section 6(b) of the 7	U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, Section 6(b) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §		
4				
5	6105(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:			
6	A. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC			
7	Act and the TSR by Defendant;			
8	B. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to			
9		5 5 5		
10	consumers resulting from Defendant's violations of the FTC Act and the TSR,			
11	including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the			
12	refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and			
13	C. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such			
14				
15	other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.			
16				
17	Res	pectfully submitted,		
18		rid C. Shonka		
19	Acti	ing General Counsel		
20	Dated: December 6, 2017 /s/ H	Hong Park		
21	Hon	ng Park		
22		eral Trade Commission Pennsylvania Ave., NW		
23	Mai	1 Drop CC-9528		
24		shington, DC 20580 rk@ftc.gov		
25	-	2) 326-2158 (direct), -3197 (fax)		
26	Atto	orneys for Plaintiff		
27	FED	DERAL TRADE COMMISSION		
28	13			
	15			