

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

COMMISSIONERS: **Lina Khan, Chair
Noah Joshua Phillips
Rebecca Kelly Slaughter
Christine S. Wilson**

In the Matter of

Hackensack Meridian Health, Inc.,

and

Englewood Healthcare Foundation.

Docket No. 9399

**RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S EMERGENCY
MOTION FOR A 60-DAY CONTINUANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS &
ITS MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE MATTER FROM ADJUDICATION**

Respondents Hackensack Meridian Health, Inc. ("HMH") and Englewood Healthcare Foundation ("Englewood") respectfully oppose both Complaint Counsel's Emergency Motion for a 60-Day Continuance of Administrative Proceedings and its Motion to Withdraw the Matter from Adjudication, both filed on April 5, 2022. For the reasons set forth in more detail in Respondents' Motion to Dismiss Complaint filed on April 5, 2022, Respondents believe the appropriate course of action is the dismissal of this administrative proceeding. Respondents have jointly agreed to terminate their merger agreement, withdrawn their HSR filings, and abandoned the proposed transaction following decisions from the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. (c)4 ((a)4(ve

never be consummated. Accordingly, dismissal of the Administrative Complaint as moot, rather than withdrawal of the matter from adjudication, is the appropriate relief.

In both its Motions, Complaint Counsel asserts that continued delay—and not dismissal—of the administrative proceeding is necessary to allow the Commission to evaluate “whether further relief is warranted based on the facts of this specific case.” But neither Motion articulates what “further relief” is appropriate or necessary here, nor under what basis or legal standard the Commission could obtain that relief absent a full trial on the merits. Further, nor is it clear what discussions will occur if the matter is withdrawn that will change the current reality before the Commission: The relief sought has been obtained—the proposed transaction has been abandoned and will never occur. Accordingly, full dismissal, not more delay, is appropriate.

The only additional relief mentioned in discussions with Complaint Counsel was a notice requirement for future mergers in the relevant market. However, as explained in Respondents’ Motion to Dismiss, such relief is already available to the FTC under the HSR Act, given the size of those who provide services in the relevant market. Any additional notice requirement would require a trial on the merits—over a transaction that has now been terminated—which would involve a tremendous expenditure of resources by the parties and third parties and would not be in the public interest. The Commission has previously dismissed administrative complaints—not merely withdrawn them—at least four times since December 2020, with similar requests for relief, after the parties abandoned their transaction and withdrew their HSR filings. Respondents’ Motion to Dismiss Complaint at 4. The Commission should not depart from that practice here.

Finally, Complaint Counsel claims it is driven to seek a 60-day continuance out of concern for the burdens on third parties, Complaint Counsel, and Respondents that would be

caused by the current schedule in the administrative proceeding. Respondents also wish to avoid the unnecessary burdens that would be imposed on all parties for an administrative hearing that may not proceed, particularly for witnesses from third parties and Respondents who are involved in the healthcare industry during a global pandemic. To the extent the Commission needs additional time beyond April 22 to reach a decision on Respondents' Motion to Dismiss, Respondents do not object to a limited continuance for that purpose. Nonetheless, beyond such a limited continuance, the solution to these unnecessary burdens is dismissal, not more delay, which only postpones these substantial burdens for a later date concerning a transaction that will never occur.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Respondents respectfully request that the Commission deny Complaint Counsel's Motion for a 60-Day Continuance and its Motion to Withdraw the Matter from Adjudication, while granting Respondents' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint.

Dated: April 8, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey L. Kessler
Jeffrey J. Amato
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10163
Telephone: 212-294-4698
Facsimile: 212-294-4700
jkessler@winston.com
jamato@winston.com

Paul H. Saint-Antoine
John S. Yi
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
600 Campus Drive
Florham Park, NJ 07932
Telephone: 973-549-7000
Facsimile: 973-360-9831
paul.saint-antoine@faegredrinker.com
john.yi@faegredrinker.com

Heather P. Lamberg
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
1901 L Street, NW
Washington DC 20036
Telephone: 202-282-5274
Facsimile: 202-282-5100
hlamberg@winston.com

Kenneth M. Vorrasi
John L. Roach, IV
Jonathan H. Todt
Alison M. Agnew
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
1500 K Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202-842-8800
Facsimile: 202-842-8465
kenneth.vorrasi@faegredrinker.com
lee.roach@faegredrinker.com
jonathan.todt@faegredrinker.com
alison.agnew@faegredrinker.com

David E. Dahlquist
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: 312-558-5660
Facsimile: 312-558-5700
ddahlquist@winston.com

Daniel J. Delaney
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-569-1000
Facsimile: 312-569-3000
daniel.delaney@faegredrinker.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Opposition was electronically filed using the FTC's administrative e-filing system, causing the document to be served on the following registered participants:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC, 20580

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20590

I further certify that I have served via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing on the following:

Jonathan Lasken
Rohan Pai
Nathan Brenner
Samantha Gordon
Harris Rothman
Anthony Saunders
Cathleen Williams
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Bureau of Competition
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20580
jlasken@ftc.gov
rpai@ftc.gov
nbrenner@ftc.gov
sgordon@ftc.gov
asaunders@ftc.gov
cwilliams@ftc.gov
