UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

)	
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION)	Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,)	PLAINTIFF'S CONSENT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF STIPULATED FINAL
V.)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR
SAN JUAN IPA, INC.)	PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND CIVIL PENALTY AND
Defendant.)	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT
		_)

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), having filed its Complaint in the above-captioned case, and hgwfiled on this date a Stipauted Final Judgment and Order for Permanent Injunction and Civil Penalty ("Sutipated Order"), hereby moves this Court for entry of the Stipulated Order against Defendant San Juan IPA, Inc. ("San Juan"). By agreement of the parties, the Final Judgmentovides for the payment by San Juan of civil penalties in the amount of \$263,000 and imposes additional intigured elief. The Commission has conferred with San Juan regarding this motion and San charasents to the request for the Court to enter the Final Judgment.

BACKGROUND

The Commission filed this action pursuant Section)sate (d 16(a)(1) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1/95(nd 56(a)(1), to seek relifeter violations of its final administrative order. As alleged in the Complaint, San Juan violated the Commission's Decision and Order issued in Docket No. C-4 (**#27 C Order*). Following an investigation

by the Commission, San Juan and the Commission and a settlement of the Complaint allegations for civil penalties and additional injunctive relief.

STATEMENT OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

This Court's role in evaluating the reasonableness of a proposed consent order is limited. See Citizens for a Better Env't v. Gorsur 188 F.2d 1117, 1125-26 (D.C. Cir. 1983). As a district court has the "power to enteromesent decree without first determining that a statutory violation has occurred." (citing Swift & Co. v. United State 176 U.S. 311, 327 (1928)), its duty is only to "satisfy itself of thet ement's 'overall fairnes to beneficiaries and consistency with the public interest." (quoting United States v. Trucking Emps., In 1861 F.2d 313, 317 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

In approving a settlement, this Court "need inquire into the precise legal rights of the parties nor reach and resolve the merits of the claims or controvense" Idaho Conservation League 811 F.3d 502, 515 (D.C. Cir. 2016) (quoting tro. Hous. Dev. Corp. v. Vill. of Arlington Heights, 616 F.2d 1006, 1014 (7th Cir. 1980)). Rather, this Court "need only determine that the settlement is fair, adequate, reasonable paraphriate under the particular facts and that there has been valid sent by the concerned partield".

The proposed settlement memorialized hie Stipulated Order is fair, adequate, reasonable, and appropriate. The negotiate hostipulated of \$263,000 is reasonable in view of San Juan's violations and financial condition. The Stipulated Order also imposes record retention, order distribution, and compliance requirements on Saluan. In addition, the Stipulated Order allows any payor to terminate any convirted and and extends the FTC Order by five years. The injunctive relief is appropriate to allow the Commission to adequately monitor San Juan and extends in the future.

The civil penalty and injurtive relief will serve the public interest by deterring San Juan from future violations and signalingotther respondents to Commission orders that Commission orders cannot be violated withoutsequence. It will also demonstrate the Commission's commitment to monitoring the compliance by respondents subject to Commission orders and to enforce its orderenwhespondents fail to comply. In addition, entry of the Stipulated Order is in the publitite rest because it will vindicate the authority of the Commission and rule of law.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the Commission results that the Court enter the attached Final Judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLY VEDOVA Digitally signed by HOLLY VEDOVA Date: 2022.09.28 18:26:49

-04'00'

Holly L. Vedova

Director

Bureau of Competition

MARIBETH PETRIZZI

Digitally signed by MARIBETH PETRIZZI Date: 2022.09.28

13:42:17 -04'00'

Maribeth Petrizzi (D.C. Bar #435204)

Assistant Director

Bureau of Competition

ERIC ROHLCK Digitally signed by ERIC

ROHLCK

Date: 2022.09.28 13:55:16 -04'00'

Eric D. Rohlck (D.C. Bar #419660)

Deputy Assistant Director Bureau of Competition

KENNETH LIBERT 2022.09.29 11:39:15 -04'00'

Jeffery Dahnke
Sebastian A. Lorigo
Kenneth A. Libby
Bureau of Competition
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Mail Drop CC-8422
Washington, D.C. 20580
202-326-2564, mpetrizzi@ftc.gov