RULING

Before the court is Plaintiffs' the Eleral Trade Commission ("FTC") and the California Department of Financial Protien and Innovation's ("DFPI") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Summary Judgment (the "Motion") against Individual Defendants Michael Nabati (Tabati"), Armando Solis Braon ("Barron"), Dominic Ahiga ("Ahiga"), and Roger Scott Dyer ("Dyer") (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"). Dkt. 184 ("Mot"). On August 142023, the court found this matter appropriate for resolution without orangument and vacated the hearing set for September 22, 2023. Dkt. 248eFed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); Local Rule 7-15.

For reasons discussed below, the court GRANTS the Motion and ENTERS summary judgment against the Individual Defendants.

BACKGROUND

This matter arises from an alleged ngarge assistance relief services scam, through which Plaintiffs claim Defendantsoprrised homeowners lower interest rates, reduced principal balances, and loan forgessenin exchange for large sums of money, but ultimately failed to deliver anyromised services. Mot. at 7The relevant, undisputed facts are as follows.

¹ Plaintiffs also sued Corporate DefentaGreen Equitable Solutions, South West Consulting, Apex Consultingnfocom Entertainment, Equity Relief Funding, Advent ConsultingTc -0.002 Tw -15.605 -1.7ndiporate Def 0.001 Tc -0.0012 21 16.93632 Td (

A. Mortgage Relief Services Scam

The Individual Defendants orchestrated a fraudulent scheme to extract large sums of upfront payments by promising ecoluce homeowners' mortgage interest rates and principal balances. Dkt. 185 ("SUF") ¶ 37. The Individual Defendants marketed their "services" to homeowners parily through telemarketing, including by calling numbers on the national Do Not Call registry, and falsely represented that the consumers' homes could not be tobosed while they were paying for the fraudulent services, the homeowners need and should not make their regular mortgage payments or commicate with their mortgage providers, and that the services were associated with a governmeetogram relate to Covid-19 relief assistanceld.

B. Temporary Restraining Order

In September 2022, Plaintiffs filethe instant action and applied partefor a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO"). Dkt. In support of their TRO application, Plaintiff submitted over a dozen sworn deraltions from consumers who had been harmed by both the Individual and Corporate Defendants' practices. See Dkts. 13-18. The court entered the TRO, and later a preliminary injunctifter Defendants failed to respond to the court's order to show why a preliminary injunction should not be entered. Dkts. 25, 40.

C. Plaintiff's Discovery Requests

On December 27, 2022, Plaintiffs served requests for production of documents, interrogatories, and requests for admission on the Individual Defendants seeking information regarding their involvement inetscheme. SUF ¶ 51. As relevant here, Plaintiffs asked each Individual Defendatoriadmit that he served as an officer, director, shareholder, manager, employee, and agent of each of the Corporate Defendants.Id. ¶ 52. None of the Individual Defendants served responses to Plaintiffs' discovery requests by the deadliof January 26, 2023, and were deemed to have admitted the matters set forth Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission d. at ¶¶ 53-58; Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(3). Defendant Nabati lateesponded on March 3, 2023, and admitted he served as an officer and agent of each of the Corporate Defendants. SUF ¶ 59. Docu

evidence and justifiable infences "in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party." Id. at 630–31. However, a party candefeat summary judgment based solely on the allegations or denialstole pleadings, conclusory statements, or unsupported conjectured ernandez v. Spacelabs Med., In 1843 F.3d 1107, 1112 (9th Cir. 2003) see also FTC v. Publ'g Clearing House, Into 4 F.3d 1168, 1171 (9th Cir. 1997) ("A conclusory, self-servired fidavit, lacking deailed facts and any supporting evidence, is insidifent to create a genuine issuff material fact.").

II. Analysis

Plaintiffs seek summary judgment against the Individual Defendants on grounds that the Corporate Defendants violate downs federal statutes, and the Individual Defendants, therefore, are liable as a madfew by virtue of their admissions of agency of each of theorem Defendants Defendants e generall Mot. The court agrees, and discusses first the Corporate Defendants' liability, and then addresses the liability of the Individual Defendants.

A. Corporate Liability

Plaintiffs argue the Corporate Defe**nds**are liable under the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), Motgage Assistance Relief Services ("MARS") Rule, Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR"), Colvil 9 Consumer Protection Act ("CCPA"), and California Consumer Financial Prote

SJO (OPx), 2007 WL 9728965, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2007). Plaintiffs have provided ample evidence that the Corperatefendants violated the FTC Act. In support of their TRO application and

their services. 12 C.F.R. § 1015.5. The MARGS also requires providers to make certain disclosures to consumers prioptoviding relief services. 12 C.F.R. § 1015.4(b)(1)-(3), (c). For the same repass articulated above, and additionally because Plaintiffs have established to make porate Defendants illegally instructed clients not to contact their lenders, misresperred various aspects of their services, and failed to make requisite disclosures, the cotinds the Corporate Defendants violated the MARS Rule as a their of law. Dkt. 9 at 14-17.

3. <u>TSR</u>

The TSR requires telemarketers to page to access the National Do Not Call Registry (the "Registry") and prohibitelemarketers from contacting consumers registered on the list. 16 C.F.R. §§ 310380.4(b)(1)(iii)(B). Plaintiffs have not located any records indicating the Coaster Defendants paid for access to the Registry, SUF ¶ 98, nor have the Individual fendants claimed as such. Additionally, Cabral stated in her declaration that was "not aware of anyone at the company

consumer with ... modifying the terms of any extension of credit[] or avoiding foreclosure," qualifies as a "financial potuct or service." Cal. Fin. Code § 90005(k)(8)(B). For the same reasons above, the court finds the Corporate Defendants engaged in unlawfunfair, and deceptive practices with respect to financial services inviolation of the CCFPL.

B. Common Enterprise

Plaintiffs also allege it is undisputed the Corporate Defendants acted as a common enterprise. Mot. at 19. "Whenee or more corporate entities operate in common enterprise, each may be held liable the deceptive acts and practices of the others." F.T.C. v. John Beck Amazing Profits, LL865 F. Supp. 2d 1052, 1082 (C.D. Cal. 2012). In making this determination, courts look to four factors: "(1) common control; (2) sharing office space and office(3) whether business is transacted through a 'maze of interrelated compess; and (4) commingling of funds." IdThe court finds all four factors have been satisfied.

First, by failing to respond to Plaintiffs' Requests for Admission, each Individual Defendant admitted by were officers, directors, and managers of each of the Corporate Defendants. SUF ¶¶ 54-556, 62. Indeed, Defendant Nabati affirmatively admitted so in his untimely response. ¶ 59. Cabral too confirmed in her declaration that the "company was mandatoge four men: [Barron, Nabati, Ahiga, and Dyer]." SUF ¶ 74. Second, the porate Defendants all used the same office space to conduct operations. SUF ¶¶ 21,1705, Third, each of the Corporate Defendants used essentially the sameetrædnes to conduct business and employees regularly performed work for each of thes

C. Liability of the Individual Defendants

Individual liability for corporate wrongdoing exists where "(1) the corporation committed misrepresentations of a kinsdually relied on by a reasonably prudent person and resulted in consumer injury, an)dr(2ividuals participated directly in the violations or had authority to control the entities."T.C. v. Grant Connect, LL,0763 F.3d 1094, 1101 (9th Cir. 2014). Though a putitiff must show that each individual had "knowledge that the corporation or one of its agents engaged in dishonest or fraudulent conduct," it "need not show that dechedant intended to defraud consumers" and "the extent of an individual's inlocement in a fraudulent scheme alone is

Next, in addition to stating Defendablarron was one of the four men who managed the Corporate Defendablar id.¶ 74, Cabral also attested Defendant Barron "was responsible for determining what kinds of offers the Sales representatives could present to the client. For example would tell the Sales representatives what interest rates they could offer to attendar client. He would instruct employees on the Submissions team as to whether our offers made by mortgage companies and/or servicers were good enough relay to consumers. Id. ¶ 76.4 Defendant Barron also admitted he was an officer editor, shareholder, mager, employee, and agent of each of the Corpore Defendants by failing to respond timely to Plaintiffs' requests for admission.

Defendant Barron requests the court allow him to withdraw his admission, relying on Dillon v. United States 357 F. Supp. 3d 49 (D. Mass. 2019). Barron Opp'n at 8. As an initial matter, Dillois not binding on this court. More importantly, the court in Dillongranted the government's motion to withdraw admissions after it "inadvertently failed to respond." Dillon, 357 F Supp. 3d at 55. Here, Defendant Barron has made no showing of inadvertence or anything less than conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' discovery requests, and inexplicably waited until the filing of his opposition to request withdrawalhis admission. Though Defendant Barron did state in separate discovery responses the "did not engage in any unlawful mortgage loan modification schemes, and was not ... are of fidirector, controller in

⁴ Defendant Barron disputes this fact, both not meet his burden to produce any evidence to the contrary. Instead, he residely on boilerplate evidentiary objections based on lack of foundation. These objections not cognizable at this stage of the proceedings See Fraser v. Goodale, 342 F.3d 1032, 1036-37 (9th Cir. 2003) ("At the summary judgment stage, we do not focushonadmissibility of the evidence's form. We instead focus on the admissibility of its contents?" ("To surveisummary judgment, a party does not necessarily have to produce the note in a form that would be admissible at trial, as long as the party satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.").

D. Relief Defendant

Plaintiffs also seek disgorgementrind Relief Defendant for assets received from the common enterprise. Most 27-28. To obtain disgorgement against a relief defendant, a plaintiff must show that thominal defendant "(1) received ill-gotten funds and (2) do[es] not have gillemate claim to those funds. SEC v. World Capital Mark1 T-d

Rel8 ef Defendant)]TJ 0.0011 Tc -0.00

2

4

56

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the court GRANTS summary judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and enters judgment against each of the Individual Defendants. The court further ORDERS as follows:

I. DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this Order, the following definitions apply:

- A. "Assisting Others" includes:
 - performing customer service functions, including receiving or responding to consumer complaints;
 - 2. formulating or providing, or arranging for the formulation or provision of, any advertising or marketing material, including any telephone sales script, direct mail solicitation, or the design, text, or use of images of any Internet website, email, or other electronic communication;
 - formulating or providing, or arranging for the formulation or provision of, any marketing support material or service, including web or Internet Protocol addresses or domain name registration for any Internet websites, affiliate marketing services, or media placement services;
 - 4. providing names of, or assisting in the generation of, potential customers; or
 - 5. performing marketing, billing, or payment services of any kind.
- B. "Corporate Defendants" means Advent Consulting, Inc.; Apex Consulting & Associates Inc., also d/b/a Golden Home Services America and Home Matters USA Consulting; Equity Relief Funding, Inc., also d/b/a Academy Home Services America, Atlantic Pacific Service United, Golden Home Services United, and Home Matters USA Group; Green Equitable Solutions, also d/b/a Academy

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- any right to reinstate the mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation or redeem a dwelling or other collateral;
- e. obtain any waiver of an acceleration clause or balloon payment contained in any promissory note or contract secured by any dwelling or other collateral; or
- f. negotiate, obtain, or arrange (i) a short sale of a dwelling or other collateral, (ii) a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, or (iii) any other disposition of a mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation other than a sale to a third party that is not the secured or unsecured loan holder.

The foregoing shall include any manner of claimed assistance, including auditing or examining a Person's application for the mortgage, loan, debt, or obligation.

- 2. With respect to any loan, debt, or obligation between a Person and one or more unsecured creditors or debt collectors, any Product or Service represented, expressly or by implication, to:
 - a. repay one or more unsecured loans, debts, or obligations;
 or
 - b. combine unsecured loans, debts, or obligations into one or more new loans, debts, or obligations.
- D. **"Defendants"** means all of the Individual Defendants and the Corporate Defendants, individually, collectiv

D. Dac fendants" payl 2/2 Tw-1 2.652 -loan ho

т

H.

"Receiver" means David P. Stapleton of the Stapleton Group.

I. "Relief Defendant" means MostCap Enterprises Corp, and its successors and assigns.

J. "**Telemarketing**" means any plan, program, or campaign which is conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services by use of one or more telephones, and which involves a telephone call, whether or not covered by the Telemarketing Sales Rule.

II. BAN ON DEBT RELIEF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

IT IS ORDERED that the Individual Defendants are permanently restrained and enjoined, whether acting directly or through an intermediary, from advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or selling, or Assisting Others in the advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or selling, of any Debt Relief Product or Service.

III. BAN ON TELEMARKETING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants are permanently restrained and enjoined from participating in Telemarketing, whether directly or through an intermediary.

IV. PROHIBITION AGAINST MISREPRESENTATIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Individual Defendants, Individual Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other Persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, or selling of any Product or Service, are permanently restrained and enjoined from misrepresenting, or Assisting Others in misrepresenting, expressly or by implication:

A. any material aspect of the nature or terms of any refund, cancellation, exchange, or repurchase policy, including the likelihood of a consumer obtaining a full or partial refund, or the circumstances in which a full or

- partial refund will be granted to the consumer;
- B. that any Person is affiliated with, endorsed or approved by, or otherwise connected to any other Person; government entity; public, non-profit, or other non-commercial program, including any government homeowner assistance plan or government mortgage relief program related to COVID-19; or any other program;
- C. the nature, expertise, position, or job title of any Person who provides any Product or Service; or
- D. any other fact material to consumers concerning any Product or Service, such as: the total costs; any material restrictions, limitations, or conditions; or any material aspect of its performance, efficacy, time frame in which consumers can expect certain results; nature, or central characteristics.

V. PROHIBITION AGAINST UNSUBSTANTIATED CLAIMS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants, the Individual Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other Persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the promoting or offering for sale of any Product or Service, are permanently restrained and enjoined from making any representation or Assisting Others in making any representation, expressly or by implication, about the benefits, performance, or efficacy of any Product or Service, unless the representation is nonmisleading, including that, at the time such representation is made, they possess and rely upon competent and reliable evidence that is sufficient in quality and quantity based on standards generally accepted in the relevant fields, when considered in light of the entire body of relevant and reliable evidence, to substantiate that the representation is true.

VI. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF AGAINST INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment in the amount of Fifteen Million Eight Hundred Ninety-One Thousand and Five Hundred Thirty-Six Dollars and Ninety-Seven Cents (\$15,891,536.97) is entered in favor of Plaintiffs against the Individual Defendants, jointly and severally, as monetary relief.

VII. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF AGAINST RELIEF DEFENDANT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment in the amount of Fifty Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$50,900.00) is entered in favor of Plaintiffs against Relief Defendant as monetary relief.

VIII. MONETARY JUDGMENT FOR CIVIL PENALTY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment in the amount of Three Million and Ninety Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$3,095,000.00) is entered in favor of Plaintiff DFPI against the Individual Defendants, jointly and severally, as a civil penalty.

IX. ADDITIONAL MONETARY PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- A. The monetary judgments set forth in Sections V to VII are enforceable against any asset, real or personal, whether located within the United States or outside the United States, owned jointly or singly by, on behalf of, for the benefit of, in trust by or for, or as a deposit for future goods or services to be provided to, any Individual Defendant or the Relief Defendant, whether held as tenants in common, joint tenants with or without the right of survivorship, tenants by the entirety, and/or community property.
- B. In partial satisfaction of the judgment against the Individual Defendants in Sections V and VII, any financial or brokerage institution, escrow

agent, title company, commodity trading company, business entity, or Person, whether located within the United States or outside the United States, that holds, controls, or maintains accounts or assets of, on behalf of, or for the benefit of, any Individual Defendant, whether real or personal, whether located within the United States or outside the United States, shall, within ten (10) business days from receipt of a copy of this Order, turn over such account or asset to Plaintiffs or their designated agent, including, but not limited to:

Accounts in the Name of Roger Dyer

- Wells Fargo Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx4768 in the name of Roger Dyer.
- ii. Fidelity Brokerage shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in:
 - a. Account number xxxx0167 in the name of Roger Dyer;
 - b. Account number xxxx5103 in the name of Roger Dyer; and
 - c. Account number xxxx4656 in the name of Roger Dyer.
 - iii. JPMorgan Chase shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx5861 in the name of Roger Dyer.

Accounts in the Name of Dominic Ahiga

i. PNC Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx5848 in the name of Dominic Ahiga.

Accounts in the Name of Dominic Ahiga Revocable Living Trust, Dominic Ahiga Trustee

 Wells Fargo Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx7453 in the name of Dominic Ahiga Revocable Living Trust, Dominic Ahiga Trustee.

Accounts in the Name of Michael D. Grinnell

 Capital One Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx2884 in the name of Michael D. Grinnell.

Accounts in the Name of Armando Solis Barron

- i. Wells Fargo Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in:
 - a. Account xxxx8611, in the name of Armando Solis Barron;
 - b. Account number xxxx50177, in the name of Armando Solis Barron; and
 - c. Account number xxxx8140 in the name of Armando Solis Barron.
- ii. Navy Federal Credit Union shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in:

1	e.	Account number xxxx7629 in the name of Michael R.
	C.	
2	c	Nabati;
3	f.	Account number xxxx3602 in the name of Michael R.
4		Nabati;
5	g.	Account number xxxx3306 in the name of Michael R.
6		Nabati;
7	h.	Account number xxxx5971 in the name of Michael R.
8		Nabati;
9	i.	Account number xxxx5292 in the name of Michael R.
10		Nabati;
11	j.	Account number xxxx7931 in the name of Michael R.
12		Nabati;
13	k.	Account number xxxx1227 in the name of Michael R.
14		Nabati;
15	1.	Account number xxxx7524 in the name of Michael R.
16		Nabati;
17	m.	Account number xxxx1504 in the name of Michael R.
18		Nabati;
19	n.	Account number xxxx7098 in the name of Michael R.
20		Nabati;
21	0.	Account number xxxx8652 in the name of Michael R.
22		Nabati;
23	p.	Account number xxxx9776 in the name of Michael R.
24	1	Nabati;
25	q.	Account number xxxx3123 in the name of Michael R.
26	4.	Nabati;
27	r.	Account number xxxx9685 in the name of Michael R.
28	1.	Nabati;
20		naban,

1		s.	Account number xxxx0914 in
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
1415			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			_
20		_	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

located within the United States or outside the United States, shall, within ten (10) business days from receipt of a copy of this Order, turn over such accounts or assets to the Receiver or his designated agent, including, but not limited to:

Accounts in the name of MostCap Enterprises, Corp.

- i. Wells Fargo Bank shall, within ten (10) business days of receipt of a copy of this Order, transfer to the Receiver or his designated agent all funds, if any, in account number xxxx3506 in the name of Mostcap Enterprises, Corp.
- D. The Individual Defendants and the Relief Defendant shall disclose all assets, including personal property, not previously disclosed to Plaintiffs and the Receiver.
- E. The Individual Defendants and the Relief Defendant shall cooperate fully with Plaintiffs and the Receiver and shall takes steps as any of them may require to transfer possession of the assets covered by Sections V to VII and to assist in the final liquidation of the assets, including executing any documents, procuring the signatures of any person or entity under their control, providing access to the assets, providing any necessary information, and turning over the assets.
- F. The asset freeze is modified to permit the transfers identified in this Section. Upon satisfaction of the monetary judgments set forth in Sections V to VII, the asset freeze as to the Individual Defendants and Relief Defendant is dissolved.
- G. The Individual Defendants and Relief Defendant relinquish dominion and all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in all assets transferred pursuant to this Order and may not seek the return of any assets.

reporting on any delinquent amount arising out of this Order, in accordance with 31 U.S.C. §7701.

X. CUSTOMER INFORMATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants, the Individual Defendants' officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other Persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the promoting or offering for sale of any Product or Service, are permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly:

- A. failing to provide sufficient customer information to enable Plaintiffs to efficiently administer consumer redress. If representatives of the Plaintiffs request in writing any information related to redress, the Individual Defendants must provide it, in the form prescribed by the Commission, within fourteen (14) days;
- B. disclosing, using, or benefitting from customer information, including the name, address, telephone number, email address, social security number, other identifying information, or any data that enables access to a customer's account (including a credit card, bank account, or other financial account), that any Defendant obtained prior to entry of this Order in connection with any Debt Relief Product or Service; and
- C. failing to destroy such customer information in all forms in their possession, custody, or control within thirty (30) days after receipt of written direction to do so from representatives of Plaintiffs.

Provided, however, that customer information need not be disposed of, and may be disclosed, to the extent requested by a government agency or required by law, regulation, or court order.

XI. ORDER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants and Relief Defendant obtain acknowledgments of receipt of this Order:

- A. Each Individual Defendant and Relief Defendant, within seven (7) days of entry of this Order, must submit to Plaintiffs an acknowledgment of receipt of this Order sworn under penalty of perjury.
 - B. For five (5) years after entry of this Order, each Individual Defendant for any business that such Defendant, individually or collectively with any other Defendant(s), is the majority owner or controls directly or indirectly must deliver a copy of this Order to: (1) all principals, officers, directors, and LLC managers and members; (2) all employees having managerial responsibilities for conduct related to the subject matter of the Order and all agents and representatives who participate in conduct related to the subject matter of the Order; and (3) any business entity resulting from any change in structure as set forth in the Section titled Compliance Reporting. Delivery must occur within seven (7) days of entry of this Order for current personnel. For all others, delivery must occur before they assume their responsibilities.
 - C. From each individual or entity to which an Individual Defendant delivered a copy of this Order, that Defendant must obtain, within thirty (30) days, a signed and dated acknowledgment of receipt of this Order.

XII. COMPLIANCE REPORTING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants make timely submissions to the Commission:

A. One (1) year after entry of this Order, each Individual Defendant must submit a compliance report, sworn under penalty of perjury, that must: (a) identify all telephone numbers and all physical, postal, email and Internet addresses, including all residences; (b) identify all business activities, including any business for which such Defendant performs

- services whether as an employee or otherwise and any entity in which such Defendant has any ownership interest; and (c) describe in detail such Defendant's involvement in each such business, including title, role, responsibilities, participation, authority, control, and any ownership.
- B. For twenty (20) years after entry of this Order, each Individual Defendant must submit a compliance notice, sworn under penalty of perjury, within fourteen (14) days of any change in the following:
 - 1. Each Individual Defendant must report any change in: (a) any designated point of contact; or (b) the structure of any Corporate Defendant or any entity that the Individual Defendant has any ownership interest in or controls directly or indirectly that may affect compliance obligations arising under this Order, including: creation, merger, sale, or dissolution of the entity or any subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Order.
 - 2. Additionally, each Individual Defendant must report any change in: (a) name, including aliases or fictitious name, or residence address; or (b) title or role in any business activity, including any business for which such Defendant performs services whether as an employee or otherwise and any entity in which such Defendant has any ownership interest, and identify the name, physical address, and any Internet address of the business or entity.
- C. Each Individual Defendant must submit to the Commission notice of the filing of any bankruptcy petition, insolvency proceeding, or similar proceeding by or against such Defendant within fourteen (14) days of its filing.
- D. Any submission to the Commission required by this Order to be sworn under penalty of perjury must be true and accurate and comply with 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

U.S.C. § 1746, such as by concluding: "I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on: _____" and supplying the date, signatory's full name, title (if applicable), and signature.

E. Unless otherwise directed by a Commission representative in writing, all submissions to the Commission pursuant to this Order must be emailed to DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: FTC, et al. v. Green Equitable Solutions, et al.

XIII. RECORDKEEPING

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Individual Defendants must create certain records for twenty (20) years after entry of the Order, and retain each such record for five (5) years. Specifically, each Individual Defendant for any business that such Defendant, individually or collectively with any other Defendant(s), is a majority owner or controls directly or indirectly, must create and retain the following records:

- A. accounting records showing the revenues from all goods or services sold;
- B. personnel records showing, for each person providing services, whether as an employee or otherwise, that person's: name; addresses;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the purpose of monitoring the Individual Defendants' and the Relief Defendants' compliance with this Order, including any failure to transfer any assets as required by this Order:

- A. Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of a written request from a representative of Plaintiffs, each Individual Defendant and Relief Defendant must: submit additional compliance reports or other requested information, which must be sworn under penalty of perjury; appear for depositions; and produce documents for inspection and copying. Plaintiffs are also authorized to obtain discovery, without further leave of court, using any of the procedures prescribed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 29, 30 (including telephonic depositions), 31, 33, 34, 36, 45, and 69.
- B. For matters concerning this Order, Plaintiffs are authorized to communicate directly with each Individual Defendant and the Relief Defendant. The Individual Defendants and the Relief Defendant must permit representatives of Plaintiffs

Individual Defendants, pursuant to Section 604(1) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(a)(1).

XV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction, modification, and enforcement of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 2, 2024

